

Plan Change 78 - Intensification							
Further Submissions Report							
sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
5.1	John Jung	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
5.1	John Jung	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
5.1	John Jung	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
6.1	Thomas Loo	Amend the plan so that roads in new suburbs are wide enough to minimise congestion and mitigate unsafe parking or unsafe environment for residents.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
6.3	Thomas Loo	Amend the plan to allow medium density residences within 400m of all public transport routes such as bus and train routes.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS210	Alan McArdle	support
7.1	Karel Peeters	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
7.1	Karel Peeters	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
7.1	Karel Peeters	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
9.2	Jason Zhang	Rezone 25 Mount St John Avenue, Epsom to THAB Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning Proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose
11.1	Stephen	Reject the Terrace Housing and Apartment zoning of 25 Barbarich Drive, Stonefields.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning Proposal	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
17.1	Tim Cantlon	Proposed additional housing capacity exceeds projected demand.	Plan making and Procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS360	Patrick Faigan and Maryann Savage	support
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
20.1	Samuel Cormack	Remove Special Character as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
22.1	Upland Realty Ltd	Plan change not required especially for Remuera, Meadowbank and CBD suburbs. Auckland Council better suited planning Auckland - not central government.	Plan making and Procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS122	Grant Dickson	Support
24.1	Debra Dowd	Browns Bay has insufficient infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS376	Matvin Limited	oppose
26.1	Geoffrey William John Hinds	Amend plan change to require development to preserve mature native trees on private property, especially around Gilgit Road, Almorah Road, Almorah Lane in vicinity of Withiel Reserve, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS317	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Oppose
33.1	Oliver Moss	Reject the plan change as has not had a genuine or acceptable plan consultation process. Requires practical detail on how transport, schools and parks will be provided and work.	Plan making and Procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
33.4	Oliver Moss	Concern that new tall development close to boundaries will block natural light and sun, which will create mental and physical health problems, require more energy use, and reduce quality of life.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
34.4	Julia Neville	Concern that new tall development close to boundaries will block natural light and sun, which will create mental and physical health problems, require more energy use, and reduce quality of life.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
37.1	Abbie Reynolds	Approve the plan change without amendments. Support densification regardless of SCAR.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
37.1	Abbie Reynolds	Approve the plan change without amendments. Support densification regardless of SCAR.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
37.1	Abbie Reynolds	Approve the plan change without amendments. Support densification regardless of SCAR.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
38.4	Jeffrey Robertson	Concern that new tall development close to boundaries will block natural light and sun, which will create mental and physical health problems, require more energy use, and reduce quality of life.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
39.1	Aaron Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
40.1	Ngahine Rodgers	Add Warkworth as an exempt town.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
42.1	SLD Family Trust	Exempt Warkworth from the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
51.1	Jeffrey You	Happy with plan.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
51.1	Jeffrey You	Happy with plan.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
51.1	Jeffrey You	Happy with plan.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
55.1	Simon Garner	Building too dense, heights too high for sun, not enough outdoor living space. Building poor living environments and not enough parking.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
56.2	Stephen John Carey	New height to boundary rules will affect mental health and wellbeing.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
61.2	Julian Fordyce	Introduce qualifying matters for areas without infrastructure to support unplanned growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
63.1	Krista Holtz	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
63.1	Krista Holtz	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
63.1	Krista Holtz	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
68.1	Amber Johnston	Request to either disregard erosion for sites only showing predictions after 2080, or allow based on supporting geotech reports regarding stability of building site at 221 Garnet Road, Westmere.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
70.1	Barbara Larsen	Decline the plan change relating to Warkworth due to existing infrastructure not being able to cope with increase in dwellings. Present AUP allows for growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
72.1	David Lu	Remove the QM - Historic Heritage Extent of Place - Overlay from 11 Lippiatt Road, Otahuhu.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS137	Stephen Rendle	support
73.1	Hardik Panchal	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
73.1	Hardik Panchal	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
73.1	Hardik Panchal	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
74.1	Dipen Patel	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
74.1	Dipen Patel	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
74.1	Dipen Patel	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
78.1	Warwick Neilson	Reject the plan change as it increases Heat Island Effect and spread of contagious diseases.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
79.1	Drew Adams	Reject the plan change as plan change has not had a genuine or acceptable plan consultation process. Requires practical detail on how transport, schools and parks will be provided and work.	Plan making and Procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	support
79.2	Drew Adams	Upgrade infrastructure, especially sewerage and stormwater, to support the new policy. Takapuna and Devonport are an example of this. The public transport and roads need to be improved before even considering these plan changes.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	support
82.1	Michael Kelly	Include properties on Sandspit Road including 99 Sandspit Road, Warkworth as part of the walkable catchment of Warkworth.	Outside Urban Environment	Future Urban Land Proposals - Excluded from IPI PC	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose
82.1	Michael Kelly	Include properties on Sandspit Road including 99 Sandspit Road, Warkworth as part of the walkable catchment of Warkworth.	Outside Urban Environment	Future Urban Land Proposals - Excluded from IPI PC	FS331	The Kilns Limited	support in part
85.1	Shelby McKendry	Rezone 721 Remuera Road, Remuera to not allow apartment buildings of more than 3 storeys to be built.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning Proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
86.1	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. We should unambiguously support further intensification. Plans should go further.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
86.1	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. We should unambiguously support further intensification. Plans should go further.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
86.1	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. We should unambiguously support further intensification. Plans should go further.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
86.2	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. There should be no special character protection for any areas, only for individual buildings.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
86.2	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. There should be no special character protection for any areas, only for individual buildings.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
86.2	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. There should be no special character protection for any areas, only for individual buildings.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
86.2	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. There should be no special character protection for any areas, only for individual buildings.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
86.2	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. There should be no special character protection for any areas, only for individual buildings.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
86.2	Nathaniel Brown	Approve the plan change without any amendments. There should be no special character protection for any areas, only for individual buildings.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
87.2	Hanging Gardens	Reject the plan change as it does not contribute to well functioning urban environments, or improve the resilience to climate change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
90.1	Linda Knox	Support the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
90.1	Linda Knox	Support the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
90.1	Linda Knox	Support the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
96.1	Grant Knox	Support for the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
96.1	Grant Knox	Support for the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
96.1	Grant Knox	Support for the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
101.1	William Bell	[Inferred] Retain the zoning of 66 Hillside Road, Papatoetoe as Mixed Housing Urban Zone (not Single House Zone as for adjoining sites).	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS01	William Jeffrey Bell	Support
104.1	Mayfair Owners' Committee	Retain as notified the Single House [inferred LDR] Zone for the block bounded by Parnell Road, Cracroft Street and Heather Street, Parnell, which includes 9 scheduled buildings. Council identifies the properties as: 73 Parnell Road; 75 Parnell Road; 77 Parnell Road; 1 Heather Street; 1A Heather Street; 3-5 Heather Street; 7-9 Heather Street; 2 Cracroft Street; 6-8 Cracroft Street; 10-12 Cracroft Street; 14-16 Cracroft Street, Parnell. [See appended 2016 Unitary Plan evidence]	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS07	73 Parnell Road Limited	Oppose
104.2	Mayfair Owners' Committee	Retain as notified the Single House [inferred LDR] Zone for the block bounded by Parnell Road, Cracroft Street and Heather Street, Parnell. It is a block of outstanding heritage and character, and the Mayfair Building deserves protecting. Council identifies the properties as: 73 Parnell Road; 75 Parnell Road; 77 Parnell Road; 1 Heather Street; 1A Heather Street; 3-5 Heather Street; 7-9 Heather Street; 2 Cracroft Street; 6-8 Cracroft Street; 10-12 Cracroft Street; 14-16 Cracroft Street, Parnell. [See appended 2016 Unitary Plan evidence]	Qualifying Matters A-I	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS07	73 Parnell Road Limited	Oppose
104.3	Mayfair Owners' Committee	Retain historic heritage protection of the Mayfair building (Schedule 14, UID 01786) at 75 Parnell Road, Parnell. [See appended 2016 Unitary Plan evidence].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS07	73 Parnell Road Limited	Support
109.1	David Kosoof	Approve MDRS without amendment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
109.1	David Kosoof	Approve MDRS without amendment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
109.1	David Kosoof	Approve MDRS without amendment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
112.1	Diana Bassick	Reject intensification due to the impacts of coastal erosion which climate change will exacerbate; increased housing will add to runoff across this fragile coast in Fisherman's Bay/Whangaparāoa.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
113.1	Iain Butler	Remove all Special Character Areas and provisions; do not have this 'exemption' to MDRS. If the provisions are to remain, council needs to do more work to justify the 'character' being preserved.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
113.2	Iain Butler	Remove the Special Character status for Vauxhall Road, Devonport; it is not justified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
113.2	Iain Butler	Remove the Special Character status for Vauxhall Road, Devonport; it is not justified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
113.2	Iain Butler	Remove the Special Character status for Vauxhall Road, Devonport; it is not justified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	support
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS339	New Zealand General Real Estate Limited	support
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	support
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
113.3	Iain Butler	Amend the 1200m walkable catchments to 1500 metres at a minimum.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
114.1	David Cooper	Approve plan change without amendments: Intensification is great for our city.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
114.1	David Cooper	Approve plan change without amendments: Intensification is great for our city.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
114.1	David Cooper	Approve plan change without amendments: Intensification is great for our city.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
115.1	DD Family Trust	Approve plan change to address identified coastal hazards at 36 Balboa Drive, Hobbs Bay [inferred by retaining QMs of Coastal Inundation and Coastal Erosion].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
116.1	Thomas Dodd	Amend Special Character Areas so that more affluent suburbs also carry the load of three storey intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
117.1	Richard John Dunbar	Undertake a more detailed assessment of local centres to assess the potential for development, including any height variation controls, and the ability of the surrounding streets to service the development of both goods vehicles and shoppers (with particular reference to Grey Lynn Local Centre).	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.1	Richard John Dunbar	Undertake a more detailed assessment of local centres to assess the potential for development, including any height variation controls, and the ability of the surrounding streets to service the development of both goods vehicles and shoppers (with particular reference to Grey Lynn Local Centre).	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.2	Richard John Dunbar	Consider the separation of the four business blocks in the Grey Lynn Local Centre and the lack of servicing capacity as part of the assessment of development capacity and the classification of the local centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.2	Richard John Dunbar	Consider the separation of the four business blocks in the Grey Lynn Local Centre and the lack of servicing capacity as part of the assessment of development capacity and the classification of the local centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.3	Richard John Dunbar	[Inferred] Reject the THAB zoning in the Firth Road, Selbourne Street area, north end of Grey Lynn (Local Centre) due to potential detrimental impacts on special character residential [refer map, page 9, and reasons in the submission]. Properties affected are: 1 Surrey Crescent, 3 Surrey Crescent, 5 Surrey Crescent, 7 Surrey Crescent, 9 Surrey Crescent; 1 Firth Road, 3 Firth Road, 5 Firth Road, 7 Firth Road, 9 Firth Road, 11 Firth Road, 13 Firth Road, 15 Firth Road; 14 Firth Road, 16 Firth Road, 18 Firth Road, 20 Firth Road, 22 Firth Road; 14 Dryden Street, 16 Dryden Street, 18 Dryden Street, 20 Dryden Street, 22 Dryden Street; 17 Selbourne Street, 19 Selbourne Street, 21 Selbourne Street, 23 Selbourne Street, 25 Selbourne Street, 27 Selbourne Street, 29 Selbourne Street, 31 Selbourne Street, 35 Selbourne Street, 37 Selbourne Street.	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
117.3	Richard John Dunbar	[Inferred] Reject the THAB zoning in the Firth Road, Selbourne Street area, north end of Grey Lynn (Local Centre) due to potential detrimental impacts on special character residential [refer map, page 9, and reasons in the submission]. Properties affected are: 1 Surrey Crescent, 3 Surrey Crescent, 5 Surrey Crescent, 7 Surrey Crescent, 9 Surrey Crescent; 1 Firth Road, 3 Firth Road, 5 Firth Road, 7 Firth Road, 9 Firth Road, 11 Firth Road, 13 Firth Road, 15 Firth Road; 14 Firth Road, 16 Firth Road, 18 Firth Road, 20 Firth Road, 22 Firth Road; 14 Dryden Street, 16 Dryden Street, 18 Dryden Street, 20 Dryden Street, 22 Dryden Street; 17 Selbourne Street, 19 Selbourne Street, 21 Selbourne Street, 23 Selbourne Street, 25 Selbourne Street, 27 Selbourne Street, 29 Selbourne Street, 31 Selbourne Street, 35 Selbourne Street, 37 Selbourne Street.	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.4	Richard John Dunbar	[Inferred] Reject the THAB zoning in the Firth Road, Selbourne Street area, north end of Grey Lynn (Local Centre) due to potential detrimental impacts on special character business [refer map, page 9, and reasons in the submission]. Properties affected are: 1 Surrey Crescent, 3 Surrey Crescent, 5 Surrey Crescent, 7 Surrey Crescent, 9 Surrey Crescent; 1 Firth Road, 3 Firth Road, 5 Firth Road, 7 Firth Road, 9 Firth Road, 11 Firth Road, 13 Firth Road, 15 Firth Road; 14 Firth Road, 16 Firth Road, 18 Firth Road, 20 Firth Road, 22 Firth Road; 14 Dryden Street, 16 Dryden Street, 18 Dryden Street, 20 Dryden Street, 22 Dryden Street; 17 Selbourne Street, 19 Selbourne Street, 21 Selbourne Street, 23 Selbourne Street, 25 Selbourne Street, 27 Selbourne Street, 29 Selbourne Street, 31 Selbourne Street, 35 Selbourne Street, 37 Selbourne Street.	Height	Special Character Business - transitions/height next to SCAB	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.4	Richard John Dunbar	[Inferred] Reject the THAB zoning in the Firth Road, Selbourne Street area, north end of Grey Lynn (Local Centre) due to potential detrimental impacts on special character business [refer map, page 9, and reasons in the submission]. Properties affected are: 1 Surrey Crescent, 3 Surrey Crescent, 5 Surrey Crescent, 7 Surrey Crescent, 9 Surrey Crescent; 1 Firth Road, 3 Firth Road, 5 Firth Road, 7 Firth Road, 9 Firth Road, 11 Firth Road, 13 Firth Road, 15 Firth Road; 14 Firth Road, 16 Firth Road, 18 Firth Road, 20 Firth Road, 22 Firth Road; 14 Dryden Street, 16 Dryden Street, 18 Dryden Street, 20 Dryden Street, 22 Dryden Street; 17 Selbourne Street, 19 Selbourne Street, 21 Selbourne Street, 23 Selbourne Street, 25 Selbourne Street, 27 Selbourne Street, 29 Selbourne Street, 31 Selbourne Street, 35 Selbourne Street, 37 Selbourne Street.	Height	Special Character Business - transitions/height next to SCAB	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.5	Richard John Dunbar	[Inferred] Reject the THAB zoning in the Firth Road, Selbourne Street area, north end of Grey Lynn (Local Centre) due to potential detrimental impacts [refer map, page 9, and reasons in the submission]. Properties affected are: 1 Surrey Crescent, 3 Surrey Crescent, 5 Surrey Crescent, 7 Surrey Crescent, 9 Surrey Crescent; 1 Firth Road, 3 Firth Road, 5 Firth Road, 7 Firth Road, 9 Firth Road, 11 Firth Road, 13 Firth Road, 15 Firth Road; 14 Firth Road, 16 Firth Road, 18 Firth Road, 20 Firth Road, 22 Firth Road; 14 Dryden Street, 16 Dryden Street, 18 Dryden Street, 20 Dryden Street, 22 Dryden Street; 17 Selbourne Street, 19 Selbourne Street, 21 Selbourne Street, 23 Selbourne Street, 25 Selbourne Street, 27 Selbourne Street, 29 Selbourne Street, 31 Selbourne Street, 35 Selbourne Street, 37 Selbourne Street.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.5	Richard John Dunbar	[Inferred] Reject the THAB zoning in the Firth Road, Selbourne Street area, north end of Grey Lynn (Local Centre) due to potential detrimental impacts [refer map, page 9, and reasons in the submission]. Properties affected are: 1 Surrey Crescent, 3 Surrey Crescent, 5 Surrey Crescent, 7 Surrey Crescent, 9 Surrey Crescent; 1 Firth Road, 3 Firth Road, 5 Firth Road, 7 Firth Road, 9 Firth Road, 11 Firth Road, 13 Firth Road, 15 Firth Road; 14 Firth Road, 16 Firth Road, 18 Firth Road, 20 Firth Road, 22 Firth Road; 14 Dryden Street, 16 Dryden Street, 18 Dryden Street, 20 Dryden Street, 22 Dryden Street; 17 Selbourne Street, 19 Selbourne Street, 21 Selbourne Street, 23 Selbourne Street, 25 Selbourne Street, 27 Selbourne Street, 29 Selbourne Street, 31 Selbourne Street, 35 Selbourne Street, 37 Selbourne Street.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.6	Richard John Dunbar	Review how 'adjacent' is defined. Amend the 200m rule to take account of the impact on neighbouring Special Character Area Residential properties (in particular in Grey Lynn). Review and revise as best practice not followed and no rationale in the section 32 report for use of 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.6	Richard John Dunbar	Review how 'adjacent' is defined. Amend the 200m rule to take account of the impact on neighbouring Special Character Area Residential properties (in particular in Grey Lynn). Review and revise as best practice not followed and no rationale in the section 32 report for use of 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
117.7	Richard John Dunbar	Reject Grey Lynn Local Centre as a 'Large Local Centre'. Reclassify to a 'Small Local Centre' having regard for the constraints on future development and adverse impact on local Special Character Residential Areas.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.7	Richard John Dunbar	Reject Grey Lynn Local Centre as a 'Large Local Centre'. Reclassify to a 'Small Local Centre' having regard for the constraints on future development and adverse impact on local Special Character Residential Areas.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.8	Richard John Dunbar	Rezone the area adjacent to the Grey Lynn Local Centre to Low Density Residential Zone where it is zoned as Single House Zone in the AUP, pending completion of a survey to establish areas suitable for Special Character status.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.8	Richard John Dunbar	Rezone the area adjacent to the Grey Lynn Local Centre to Low Density Residential Zone where it is zoned as Single House Zone in the AUP, pending completion of a survey to establish areas suitable for Special Character status.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
117.9	Richard John Dunbar	Undertake a survey of the areas of Grey Lynn not currently classified as Special Character to assess their suitability for inclusion in the Special Character Areas Overlay, with particular reference to the west side of Grey Lynn Park.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
117.9	Richard John Dunbar	Undertake a survey of the areas of Grey Lynn not currently classified as Special Character to assess their suitability for inclusion in the Special Character Areas Overlay, with particular reference to the west side of Grey Lynn Park.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
118.1	Jane Dunningham	Amend provisions to avoid high-rise dwellings next to single level properties (in particular in relation to Riddell Road, Glendowie).	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
125.1	Mich Lynda Kora	Decline the plan change because of significant wastewater issues due to inadequate infrastructure in the Orewa/Red Beach area (in particular as it relates to 12 River Road, Orewa).	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS310	Shildon Ltd	oppose
125.2	Mich Lynda Kora	Decline the plan change. Parking is already at high capacity in the Orewa/Red Beach area (in particular as it relates to 12 River Road, Orewa).	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS310	Shildon Ltd	oppose
125.3	Mich Lynda Kora	Decline the plan change because there is already issues with the power lines and infrastructure surrounding the exchange for Orewa/Red Beach (in particular 12 River Road, Orewa).	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS310	Shildon Ltd	oppose
125.4	Mich Lynda Kora	Decline the plan change due to significant issues with the drinking water in the Orewa/Red Beach area, resulting in sickness (in particular 12 River Road, Orewa).	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS310	Shildon Ltd	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
126.1	Madue Properties Limited	[Inferred] Amend the Moderate Aircraft Noise Areas overlay to not apply the blanket density rule of 400m ² per site and instead treat applications on a case by case basis under the AUP (in particular in relation to 13 Sidley Avenue, Flat Bush). [See attached resource consent application].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS307	Board of Airline Rep	oppose
126.1	Madue Properties Limited	[Inferred] Amend the Moderate Aircraft Noise Areas overlay to not apply the blanket density rule of 400m ² per site and instead treat applications on a case by case basis under the AUP (in particular in relation to 13 Sidley Avenue, Flat Bush). [See attached resource consent application].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
127.1	Joshua Sean Marshall	Implement the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS UD in the Auckland Light Rail Corridor area (area excluded from the plan change).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
129.1	Soren Moller	Decline the plan change as intensification everywhere (beyond the AUP) will not allow infrastructure to develop to cope with the growth in multiple directions at the same time.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS398	Citizens Against The	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
129.2	Soren Moller	Decline the plan change; central government needs to provide more flexible and radical housing solutions (e.g. Government funded kitset housing factory, infrastructure support etc).	Plan making and Procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
129.3	Soren Moller	Decline the plan change and demand the Government return to the AUP with some modifications.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
130.1	Edward John Reid	Decline the plan change and zoning to MHU for the Mellons Bay/Howick area because of water and wastewater constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS54	Yue Wang	Oppose
130.2	Edward John Reid	Decline the plan change and zoning to MHU for the Mellons Bay/Howick area because of a poor public transportation system.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS54	Yue Wang	Oppose
130.3	Edward John Reid	[Inferred] Rezone the Mellons Bay/Howick area from MHU to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS54	Yue Wang	Oppose
131.1	Ronald Philip Tapply	Protect volcanic viewshafts by enforcing height restrictions.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
131.2	Ronald Philip Tapply	Provide protection of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
131.3	Ronald Philip Tapply	Protect Special Character Areas and character dwellings in all areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
131.4	Ronald Philip Tapply	Require all new builds to provide parking.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
131.5	Ronald Philip Tapply	Require any building over 100 years old to be preserved.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
132.1	Tony Watkins	[Inferred] Decline the plan change; density is the problem not the solution. Density causes issues in respect of transport, carbon emissions, noise, population, waste and housing. [See supporting density report].	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
134.1	Bell Barbara Ann	Decline the plan change and reject intensification in Mellons Bay/Howick area due to significant infrastructure constraints (stormwater, transport) and land that is near coastal cliffs.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS54	Yue Wang	Oppose
134.2	Bell Barbara Ann	[Inferred] Rezone Mellons Bay/Howick area; MHU zoning is inappropriate.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS54	Yue Wang	Oppose
135.1	Coro Properties Ltd	Rezone 16-18 Pupuke Road, Hillcrest, 1/16 and 2/16 Pupuke Road, Hillcrest from LDR to Medium Density Residential [inferred MHU] as intensifying the site will not impact the Significant Ecological Area.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
136.1	Peter Crook	Reject the plan change for the Whangaparāoa Peninsula (in particular Island View Drive, Clansman Terrace, Belle-mer Place, Shakespear Road, Gulf Harbour Drive, in Okoromai Bay/Gulf Harbour) on the basis of inadequate infrastructure, relating to water, wastewater and transportation; these matters should all be 'qualifying matters' justifying exemption of these areas from intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS357	Boezo Limited	oppose
136.2	Peter Crook	Reject the plan change for the Whangaparāoa Peninsula (in particular Island View Drive, Clansman Terrace, Belle-mer Place, Shakespear Road, Gulf Harbour Drive, in Okoromai Bay/Gulf Harbour) on the basis of coastal erosion which would be further exacerbated by further intensification and should be a 'qualifying matter' justifying exemption of these areas from intensification.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS357	Boezo Limited	oppose
136.2	Peter Crook	Reject the plan change for the Whangaparāoa Peninsula (in particular Island View Drive, Clansman Terrace, Belle-mer Place, Shakespear Road, Gulf Harbour Drive, in Okoromai Bay/Gulf Harbour) on the basis of coastal erosion which would be further exacerbated by further intensification and should be a 'qualifying matter' justifying exemption of these areas from intensification.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	oppose
138.2	Joel Bouzaid	Reject QM Water and Wastewater constraints from Orewa as it will lower property values.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS310	Shildon Ltd	support
141.1	Christine Major	Approve plan change; Council's approach to special character areas supported without change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
141.1	Christine Major	Approve plan change; Council's approach to special character areas supported without change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
141.1	Christine Major	Approve plan change; Council's approach to special character areas supported without change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
143.1	Lindsay Esler	Decline the plan change; reject intensification and the blanket application of MDRS.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
143.1	Lindsay Esler	Decline the plan change; reject intensification and the blanket application of MDRS.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
145.1	Carolyn Nimmo	Add requirement for amalgamation of sites to create better urban design outcomes for six to twelve dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
152.1	Oliver Wilson	"Upzone everything" [Implied as meaning: Rezone all residential areas to THAB].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
152.2	Oliver Wilson	Remove the Special Character Overlay as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
152.2	Oliver Wilson	Remove the Special Character Overlay as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
152.2	Oliver Wilson	Remove the Special Character Overlay as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
152.2	Oliver Wilson	Remove the Special Character Overlay as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
152.2	Oliver Wilson	Remove the Special Character Overlay as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
152.2	Oliver Wilson	Remove the Special Character Overlay as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
153.1	Trudy Brisbane	Remove the Coastal Erosion QM from 8C Island View Terrace, Howick.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
154.1	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change due to infrastructure limitations and the poor quality of housing.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
154.2	Graeme McInnes	Reject the plan change recognising the poor quality of housing and infrastructure constraints specifically along Dominion Road.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
156.1	Withdrawn				FS358	James Hu	Oppose
156.2	Withdrawn				FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
157.1	Bhupinder S Dalal	Remove the QM of flooding from 154 Favona Road, Favona.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
161.7	Bruce Michael O'Brien	AUP provides for 30 years, 900,000 house provision so do not understand why the plan change has been pushed upon the people of Auckland	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
164.1	Omer Maqsood	Concerns relating to infrastructure, neighbourhood well being and environmental impacts associated with intensification. Existing AUP satisfactory in providing for intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
165.1	Susan Elizabeth Stevens	Concerns relating to public transport infrastructure, neighbourhood well being associated with intensification. The plan change does not recognise the identity and needs of Warkworth. Warkworth is already experiencing huge growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
171.1	Carl James Larcombe	Reject Warkworth being included in the plan change due to its unique character and lifestyle being reduced with intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
172.1	Elizabeth Jane Halliday	Reduce the walkable catchment around Sunnynook bus station so that Wairau Intermediate and Parkland Retirement Village is not included within the catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS258	Robert Halliday	support
174.1	Nikolas Rusten	Allow further intensification in central Auckland to reduce climate change impact.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
174.1	Nikolas Rusten	Allow further intensification in central Auckland to reduce climate change impact.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS360	Patrick Faigan and Maryann Savage	support
174.1	Nikolas Rusten	Allow further intensification in central Auckland to reduce climate change impact.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
174.1	Nikolas Rusten	Allow further intensification in central Auckland to reduce climate change impact.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
174.1	Nikolas Rusten	Allow further intensification in central Auckland to reduce climate change impact.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
174.2	Nikolas Rusten	Remove Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
176.1	Jock and Corrie Paterson	[Inferred] Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
180.1	Morris Chang	Request live urban zoning of the Future Urban area of Whenuapai residential catchment.	Outside Urban Environment	Future Urban Land Proposals - Excluded from IPI PC	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose
181.1	Paul Wood	Decline the plan change as the existing AUP provides for future growth.	Plan making and Procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
185.2	Hugh Blackley	Concerns relating to intensification directive from Wellington politicians.	Plan making and Procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
187.1	Yu Family Investments Limited	The proposed approach to zoning for subject site (65 Cobham Crescent, Kelston) is inconsistent with the approach adopted in the AUP(OP) in using controls and overlays to manage hazards and matters of national importance. The Independent Hearings Panel considered as part of their recommendations on the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan that the 'appropriate' land use zoning should be adopted regardless of these constraints, but that this in no way diminished the relevance of that or any other control in terms of importance to resource management decision-making.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
187.2	Yu Family Investments Limited	Recognise that limiting intensification based on flooding may not be the best approach as the flooding layer is not always accurate.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
187.3	Yu Family Investments Limited	The proposed Residential – Low Density Residential zoning does not consider the potential for flood hazards to be avoided, remedied or mitigated as part of any future development	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
187.4	Yu Family Investments Limited	Submitter considers that any site subject to a potential hazard should not be subject to a lesser intensity zone and should instead be subject to the standard suite of controls or overlays used in the AUP(OP) to manage these matters.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
187.5	Yu Family Investments Limited	Rezone 65 Cobham Crescent, Kelston as MHU.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
187.6	Yu Family Investments Limited	Make 65 Cobham Crescent, Kelston subject to the new residential development standards for MHU required to be incorporated into this relevant residential zone as listed in Schedule 3A of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
188.1	Louise Li	Remove flooding as a QM and rely on the E36 chapter to manage effects.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
188.1	Louise Li	Remove flooding as a QM and rely on the E36 chapter to manage effects.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support
188.1	Louise Li	Remove flooding as a QM and rely on the E36 chapter to manage effects.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support
188.1	Louise Li	Remove flooding as a QM and rely on the E36 chapter to manage effects.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Support
188.1	Louise Li	Remove flooding as a QM and rely on the E36 chapter to manage effects.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Support
188.1	Louise Li	Remove flooding as a QM and rely on the E36 chapter to manage effects.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	support
191.1	Richard John Sorrenson	Approve plan change as a sensible balance between intensification and ability of Watercare infrastructure to cope.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
191.1	Richard John Sorrenson	Approve plan change as a sensible balance between intensification and ability of Watercare infrastructure to cope.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
191.1	Richard John Sorrenson	Approve plan change as a sensible balance between intensification and ability of Watercare infrastructure to cope.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
191.1	Richard John Sorrenson	Approve plan change as a sensible balance between intensification and ability of Watercare infrastructure to cope.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
192.1	Ke Yan	Reject change from Single House Zone to Terrace Housing and Apartment Zone in Saint Mary's Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Urban environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS249	Central Apartments	support
193.1	Rahul Ranchhodji	Approve plan change and appreciate Council's approach to preserve high quality special character.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
193.1	Rahul Ranchhodji	Approve plan change and appreciate Council's approach to preserve high quality special character.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
193.1	Rahul Ranchhodji	Approve plan change and appreciate Council's approach to preserve high quality special character.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
196.1	Latitude 37 Bodies Corporate	Approve plan change in respect of I211 Viaduct Harbour Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I211 Viaduct Harbour Precinct	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose
196.2	Latitude 37 Bodies Corporate	Any submissions proposing to amend notified provisions of I211 Viaduct Harbour Precinct or the associated s.770(a), (f) and (j) qualifying matters be rejected to the extent that they materially affect or change these notified provisions	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I211 Viaduct Harbour Precinct	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
196.2	Latitude 37 Bodies Corporate	Any submissions proposing to amend notified provisions of I211 Viaduct Harbour Precinct or the associated s.770(a), (f) and (j) qualifying matters be rejected to the extent that they materially affect or change these notified provisions	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I211 Viaduct Harbour Precinct	FS392	Viaduct Harbour Bod	support in
199.1	Margaret Bilisland	Support the [special character] overlays identified for properties in the Grey Lynn Heights [inferred to be area containing properties bounded by Williamson Avenue, Coleridge Street, Great North Road and Sussex Steet] as qualifying matters. [Inferred, includes Williamson Avenue, Coleridge Street, Great North Road, Sussex Street, Northland Street, Harcourt Street, Elgin Street, Grosvenor Street, Beaconsfield Street, Ariki Street, and Turakina Street, Grey Lynn.]	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
199.1	Margaret Bilisland	Support the [special character] overlays identified for properties in the Grey Lynn Heights [inferred to be area containing properties bounded by Williamson Avenue, Coleridge Street, Great North Road and Sussex Steet] as qualifying matters. [Inferred, includes Williamson Avenue, Coleridge Street, Great North Road, Sussex Street, Northland Street, Harcourt Street, Elgin Street, Grosvenor Street, Beaconsfield Street, Ariki Street, and Turakina Street, Grey Lynn.]	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
199.2	Margaret Bilisland	Require single level housing in the neighbourhood adjacent to Elgin Street, Grey Lynn, to create the character required to sustain those values.[inferred in block bounded by Williamson Avenue, Grosvenor Street, Great North Road, and Harcourt Street, Grey Lynn.]	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
199.2	Margaret Bilisland	Require single level housing in the neighbourhood adjacent to Elgin Street, Grey Lynn, to create the character required to sustain those values.[inferred in block bounded by Williamson Avenue, Grosvenor Street, Great North Road, and Harcourt Street, Grey Lynn.]	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
199.3	Margaret Bilisland	Reject Policy 3d up-zoning in respect of 120 Williamson Avenue,120A Williamson Avenue, and 122 Williamson Avenue, and 24 Harcourt Street, Grey Lynn.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
199.3	Margaret Bilisland	Reject Policy 3d up-zoning in respect of 120 Williamson Avenue,120A Williamson Avenue, and 122 Williamson Avenue, and 24 Harcourt Street, Grey Lynn.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
199.4	Margaret Bilisland	Approve Special Character Overlay qualifying matter for Grey Lynn Heights [inferred to be area containing properties bounded by Williamson Avenue, Coleridge Street, Great North Road and Sussex Steet] as a matter of national importance in relation to s6((f)) of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
199.4	Margaret Bilisland	Approve Special Character Overlay qualifying matter for Grey Lynn Heights [inferred to be area containing properties bounded by Williamson Avenue, Coleridge Street, Great North Road and Sussex Steet] as a matter of national importance in relation to s6((f)) of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
199.5	Margaret Bilisland	Acknowledge the specific and extraordinary heritage values of Elgin Street, Grey Lynn in its assessment and justification for values protection.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
199.5	Margaret Bilisland	Acknowledge the specific and extraordinary heritage values of Elgin Street, Grey Lynn in its assessment and justification for values protection.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
200.2	Philip Malcom Granger	Approve requirement for potable water and reticulated effluent treatment to be provided for a given area or single proposal [inferred this applies to the Beachlands area] and approve relevant qualifying matter provision, that being Activity (A29B) from Table E38.4.2.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
200.3	Philip Malcom Granger	Support all changes made to the UP that strengthen provisions limiting growth in the Beachlands and/or Maraetai areas until such time as a comprehensive review of transport (roading/ferry) capacity and fully worked construction programs are in place [inferred the relief sought relates to the Beachlands area and includes seeking approval of relevant qualifying matter provisions identified, that being Activities (A29C) and(A29D) from Table E38.4.2.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Beachlands transport infrastructure constraint	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
200.3	Philip Malcom Granger	Support all changes made to the UP that strengthen provisions limiting growth in the Beachlands and/or Maraetai areas until such time as a comprehensive review of transport (roading/ferry) capacity and fully worked construction programs are in place [inferred the relief sought relates to the Beachlands area and includes seeking approval of relevant qualifying matter provisions identified, that being Activities (A29C) and(A29D) from Table E38.4.2.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Beachlands transport infrastructure constraint	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	oppose
200.4	Philip Malcom Granger	Approve all changes made in PC78 that strengthen provisions around extra trip movements needing to be factored in, also any need for potable water and reticulated effluent treatment to be provided for a given area or single proposal.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
201.1	Sushil Sehji	Reject the THAB zoning at 2 Main Highway, 18 Main Highway, 20 Main Highway, 22 Main Highway, 24 Main Highway, 26 Main Highway, 30 Main Highway, 32 Main Highway, 34 Main Highway, 36 Main Highway, 36A Main Highway, 38 Main Highway, 38A Main Highway, 40 Main Highway, 40A Main Highway, 2 Newpole Street, 4 Newpole Street, 2 Walpole Street, 4 Walpole Street, 1/4 Walpole Street, 2/4 Walpole Street, 3/4 Walpole Street , 6 Walpole Street and 6A Walpole Street, Ellerslie at the very edge of the Ellerslie Walkable Catchment [given] adverse effect [of rezoning] on the amenity of our neighbourhood, including but not limited to visual dominance, shading and privacy.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS181	Jenny Granville	support
202.10	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Remove MHU from 15 Summit Drive, Mount Albert and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
202.2	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Retain Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay over 15 Summit Drive, Mount Albert and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga.	Qualifying Matters A-I	D14 Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
202.6	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Approve the amendments proposed for the Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay (including height, earthworks, coverage and landscape controls and assessment criteria)	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
202.6	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Approve the amendments proposed for the Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay (including height, earthworks, coverage and landscape controls and assessment criteria)	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
202.7	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Retain the mapped extent of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay over the site at 15 Summit Drive and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
202.8	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Further strengthen the controls of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay through the introduction of a minimum impermeable surface control to maintain the open, highly vegetated character of the maunga slopes, reduce the effects of excessive runoff and to reinforce the landscaping control.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
202.8	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Further strengthen the controls of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay through the introduction of a minimum impermeable surface control to maintain the open, highly vegetated character of the maunga slopes, reduce the effects of excessive runoff and to reinforce the landscaping control.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
202.8	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Further strengthen the controls of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay through the introduction of a minimum impermeable surface control to maintain the open, highly vegetated character of the maunga slopes, reduce the effects of excessive runoff and to reinforce the landscaping control.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS317	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Oppose
202.9	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Further strengthen the controls of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay through the introduction of a more restrictive height in relation to boundary (HIRB) control that is more in keeping with the values of the maunga, more in keeping with the intentions of the height sensitive overlay controls and mitigates the impact of large imposing structures on the maunga slopes (the HIRB control of the current unitary plan zoning seems more appropriate).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
202.9	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Further strengthen the controls of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay through the introduction of a more restrictive height in relation to boundary (HIRB) control that is more in keeping with the values of the maunga, more in keeping with the intentions of the height sensitive overlay controls and mitigates the impact of large imposing structures on the maunga slopes (the HIRB control of the current unitary plan zoning seems more appropriate).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS317	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Oppose
202.10	Donald and Catherine Lyon Trust	Further strengthen the controls of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay through the introduction of additional or strengthened assessment criteria that address the matters raised [in submission points 8-9] and require applicants to undertake a comprehensive visual and landscape impact assessment that wholistically assesses the impact of additional site development or new buildings on the objectives and policies of the [Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas] Overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS317	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Oppose
204.1	Anne Paterson	Remove QM Floodplain from 43 Springfield Road, Western Springs (supporting reasons and attachments provided in submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
206.1	Avenit Kumar	Reject the deep soil area and canopy tree standards proposed within THAB outdoor living spaces.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
209.1	Mark Hardie	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
209.2	Mark Hardie	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS76	Edinburgh Trustees Limited (ETL)	Support
209.2	Mark Hardie	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
209.3	Mark Hardie	Replace the operative Special Character Areas Overlay in St Mary's Bay with Historic Heritage Character Areas. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS76	Edinburgh Trustees Limited (ETL)	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
209.5	Mark Hardie	Rezone any areas covered by Historic Heritage Area or Special Character Area overlays [inferred in St Marys Bay] as Low Density Residential zone (as depicted in the plan attached to the submission of the St Mary's Bay Association). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS76	Edinburgh Trustees Limited (ETL)	Support
211.1	Kathleen Hall	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
211.2	Kathleen Hall	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
212.1	Sara Hardie	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
212.2	Sara Hardie	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
213.1	It Happens Trust	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
213.2	It Happens Trust	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
215.1	Christopher Edmund Burke	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
215.2	Christopher Edmund Burke	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
217.1	Brian Leslie O'Neill	Reject intensification. Council should say no to the government's intensification plans.	Plan making and Procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
218.1	Chez Boon Lim	Reject intensification. Do not want to see a high density Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
219.1	Jo Banks	Approve the amendments proposed for the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay (including height, earthworks, coverage and landscape controls and assessment criteria).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
219.1	Jo Banks	Approve the amendments proposed for the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay (including height, earthworks, coverage and landscape controls and assessment criteria).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
219.2	Jo Banks	Retain the mapped extent of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay over the site at 1A Summit Drive Mount Albert, and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
219.3	Jo Banks	Amend to strengthen the provisions of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay through the introduction of: a minimum impermeable surface control, a more restrictive height in relation to boundary control, additional or strengthened assessment criteria, requiring applicants to undertake a comprehensive visual and landscape assessment including the totality and integrity of the maunga natural and cultural landscape as a whole.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
219.3	Jo Banks	Amend to strengthen the provisions of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay through the introduction of: a minimum impermeable surface control, a more restrictive height in relation to boundary control, additional or strengthened assessment criteria, requiring applicants to undertake a comprehensive visual and landscape assessment including the totality and integrity of the maunga natural and cultural landscape as a whole.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS173	BLUM Investments Limited	oppose
219.3	Jo Banks	Amend to strengthen the provisions of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay through the introduction of: a minimum impermeable surface control, a more restrictive height in relation to boundary control, additional or strengthened assessment criteria, requiring applicants to undertake a comprehensive visual and landscape assessment including the totality and integrity of the maunga natural and cultural landscape as a whole.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS317	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Oppose
219.3	Jo Banks	Amend to strengthen the provisions of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay through the introduction of: a minimum impermeable surface control, a more restrictive height in relation to boundary control, additional or strengthened assessment criteria, requiring applicants to undertake a comprehensive visual and landscape assessment including the totality and integrity of the maunga natural and cultural landscape as a whole.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS346	Elizabeth Knox Home and Hospital	oppose
219.4	Jo Banks	Amend to protect significant trees in the area of the site at 1A Summit Drive Mount Albert, and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga in the context of strengthening the provisions of the Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay in this location.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
219.5	Jo Banks	Amend the plan change to retain the Special Character Areas Overlay on the site at 1A Summit Drive Mount Albert, and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Support
219.5	Jo Banks	Amend the plan change to retain the Special Character Areas Overlay on the site at 1A Summit Drive Mount Albert, and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
219.6	Jo Banks	Amend to the effect that properties built prior to 1940 should be considered as special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
219.6	Jo Banks	Amend to the effect that properties built prior to 1940 should be considered as special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
219.7	Jo Banks	Rezone 1A Summit Drive Mount Albert, and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Support
219.7	Jo Banks	Rezone 1A Summit Drive Mount Albert, and surrounding sites on Ōwairaka Maunga to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS75	Elliot McCullough	Support
220.1	John Blake	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay in Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
226.1	Anthony James Duncan	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
226.2	Anthony James Duncan	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
227.1	Margreat Colledge	Reject proposed Mixed Housing Urban zoning at 18 Colchester Avenue, Glendowie and the surrounding Glendowie suburb [Extent of addresses not specified].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
227.2	Margreat Colledge	Amend to only allow increased density in areas close to transport and main centres where infrastructure can support it. Not everywhere in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
227.3	Margreat Colledge	Amend to protect heritage buildings and protect more heritage.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
233.1	Yves Trussel	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
233.2	Yves Trussel	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
234.2	Trussel Architects	Reject the removal of the SCA overlay from St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS249	Central Apartments	support
235.1	Patricia Everard	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
235.2	Patricia Everard	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
236.1	Bruce Robert Cullen	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
236.2	Bruce Robert Cullen	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
238.1	Josephine Ball	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
238.2	Josephine Ball	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
239.1	SNPshot Technologies	Protect Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua in particular the volcanic viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas around Takarunga / Mt Victoria.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
239.2	SNPshot Technologies	Include Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay within the plan change, in particular, Takarunga / Mt Victoria viewshafts.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
242.1	Craig Brownie	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
242.2	Craig Brownie	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
243.1	Frederick Ball	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
243.2	Frederick Ball	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
244.1	Jillian Elizabeth Cory	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
244.2	Jillian Elizabeth Cory	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
245.2	Jeremy Dillon	Amend to provide a 21m height control for the Devonport Business - Town Centre Zone.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS178	Devonport Heritage	oppose
247.1	Kevin Shoebridge	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
247.2	Kevin Shoebridge	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
248.1	Sarah Mary Shoebridge	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
248.2	Sarah Mary Shoebridge	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
253.1	P Todd	Decline the plan change; intensification will lead to degradation of the urban environment and will not provide affordable housing in Devonport [refer to the submission for examples].	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
258.1	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'historic heritage'.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
258.2	Christopher Rapson	Delete or significantly reduce areas that are exempted (from intensification) due to 'special character'.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
261.1	Nico Maiden	Rezone the residential areas in Huapai, Kumeu, and Riverhead to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose
261.1	Nico Maiden	Rezone the residential areas in Huapai, Kumeu, and Riverhead to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS375	Matvin Group Limite	support
263.1	Anita Jackson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
263.2	Anita Jackson	Reinstate the operative Special Character Area Overlay across St Marys Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS249	Central Apartments	support
264.1	Mark McCracken	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
266.1	Sam Cossey	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
266.1	Sam Cossey	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS418	JL Family Trust	oppose
266.1	Sam Cossey	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
266.1	Sam Cossey	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
267.1	John Kim	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
268.1	Max Kim	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
269.1	Hye Jeong Park	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
271.1	Scott Humphreys	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
272.1	Ben and Bronwyn Harman	Approve zoning of 1 Komaru Street, Remuera and the surrounding Komaru Street to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
272.1	Ben and Bronwyn Harman	Approve zoning of 1 Komaru Street, Remuera and the surrounding Komaru Street to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
272.1	Ben and Bronwyn Harman	Approve zoning of 1 Komaru Street, Remuera and the surrounding Komaru Street to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
274.1	JHY Investment Trust	Rezone 71 Margot Street, Epsom to THAB zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
274.1	JHY Investment Trust	Rezone 71 Margot Street, Epsom to THAB zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
274.1	JHY Investment Trust	Rezone 71 Margot Street, Epsom to THAB zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
276.3	Paul Ralston Bethune	Reject intensification where there are concerns relating to existing strain on water and wastewater infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
277.1	Robert Leslie Thomas	Reject the 3 properties, 3 storeys proposal and reconsider intensification proposals. Concerns relating to infrastructure, parking, privacy, height to boundary, lack of public transport, and social impact on communities.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
278.3	Stan Jan Augustowicz	Reject intensification re concerns relating to apartments not being suitable for long term living for most people.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
281.1	Charles James Reynolds Griffin	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
286.1	Ms Catherine Stormont	Remove 1 Garfield Street, 3 Garfield Street, 7 Garfield Street, 9 Garfield Street, 11 Garfield Street and 13 Garfield Street, Parnell from the Special Character qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS188	Bill Patterson, Ken W	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
286.1	Ms Catherine Stormont	Remove 1 Garfield Street, 3 Garfield Street, 7 Garfield Street, 9 Garfield Street, 11 Garfield Street and 13 Garfield Street, Parnell from the Special Character qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS280	Stephanie Radcliffe	Support
286.2	Ms Catherine Stormont	Rezone: 1 Garfield Street, 3 Garfield Street, 7 Garfield Street, 9 Garfield Street, 11 Garfield Street and 13 Garfield Street, Parnell to modified THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS188	Bill Patterson, Ken W	support
286.2	Ms Catherine Stormont	Rezone: 1 Garfield Street, 3 Garfield Street, 7 Garfield Street, 9 Garfield Street, 11 Garfield Street and 13 Garfield Street, Parnell to modified THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS280	Stephanie Radcliffe	Support
286.3	Ms Catherine Stormont	Rezone: 1 Garfield Street, 3 Garfield Street, 7 Garfield Street, 9 Garfield Street, 11 Garfield Street and 13 Garfield Street, Parnell to achieve intention of walkable catchment	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS188	Bill Patterson, Ken W	support
286.3	Ms Catherine Stormont	Rezone: 1 Garfield Street, 3 Garfield Street, 7 Garfield Street, 9 Garfield Street, 11 Garfield Street and 13 Garfield Street, Parnell to achieve intention of walkable catchment	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS280	Stephanie Radcliffe	Support
287.1	Patricia Gael Eastmond	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
288.1	Astina Jamil	Approve of intensification to meet future housing demand.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS31	Astina Jamil	Support
288.2	Astina Jamil	Removal of restrictions and easement on site and property title which adds and obstructs further building and prevents further housing growth on sections.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS31	Astina Jamil	Support
288.3	Astina Jamil	Remove the SEA qualifying matter from the site at 33 The Avenue, Albany to allow for intensification.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS31	Astina Jamil	Support
288.4	Astina Jamil	Remove SEA restrictions on properties, in particular those made prior to newer RMA regulations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS31	Astina Jamil	Support
289.1	Debra Elizabeth Whiting	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
292.1	CIVIX Ltd	Amend so that where no qualifying matter is relevant to the site, the Medium Density Residential Standards should apply to the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
292.1	CIVIX Ltd	Amend so that where no qualifying matter is relevant to the site, the Medium Density Residential Standards should apply to the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
292.1	CIVIX Ltd	Amend so that where no qualifying matter is relevant to the site, the Medium Density Residential Standards should apply to the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
292.1	CIVIX Ltd	Amend so that where no qualifying matter is relevant to the site, the Medium Density Residential Standards should apply to the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
292.1	CIVIX Ltd	Amend so that where no qualifying matter is relevant to the site, the Medium Density Residential Standards should apply to the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
292.2	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to include wider application of THAB zoning in existing walkable catchments within the entire central Auckland Light Rail Corridor area..	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
292.3	CIVIX Ltd	Amend to remove 7 Trafalgar Street, Onehunga from the Light Rail Corridor and apply the MDRS and NPS-UD provisions, at a minimum Mixed Housing Urban should apply.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
294.1	Joseph Nicholas Marinovic	Approve plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
294.1	Joseph Nicholas Marinovic	Approve plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
294.1	Joseph Nicholas Marinovic	Approve plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
297.1	Yvonne Hill	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
299.1	Dominique Bonn	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
301.1	Amoze Bonn	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
304.1	Irene Bonn	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
305.3	Kathryn E Davies	Reject high rise developments as inappropriate in Mission Bay.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	support
306.1	Kathryn Mary Roberts	Reject walkable catchment imposed on St Mary's Bay and reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
309.1	Martin Baker	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay in Hillpark as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
309.2	Martin Baker	Recognise Hillpark's significant natural environment as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
309.3	Martin Baker	Recognise Hillpark's significant landscape visual presence as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
311.1	Michael Thorpe	Retain the THAB Zone height in relation to boundary (with an adjoining site that is of a lower density) and the greater maximum height standards as notified in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS127	Aptus Holdings Ltd	Support
312.1	Philip Wheeler	Review the SEA-Terrestrial standards to include restrictions on dwelling height, height in relation to boundary, and impervious surface coverage, etc for any site which has a defined SEA-Terrestrial.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
312.1	Philip Wheeler	Review the SEA-Terrestrial standards to include restrictions on dwelling height, height in relation to boundary, and impervious surface coverage, etc for any site which has a defined SEA-Terrestrial.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
312.1	Philip Wheeler	Review the SEA-Terrestrial standards to include restrictions on dwelling height, height in relation to boundary, and impervious surface coverage, etc for any site which has a defined SEA-Terrestrial.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
312.1	Philip Wheeler	Review the SEA-Terrestrial standards to include restrictions on dwelling height, height in relation to boundary, and impervious surface coverage, etc for any site which has a defined SEA-Terrestrial.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	oppose
313.1	Rodney and Karyn Klarwill	Reject intensification due to concern relating to equipment or system failure of the sewage pumping station at Gulf Harbour, Whangaparāoa. Concern intensification could result in substantial overflow of sewage into surrounding streets and houses.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS357	Boezo Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
314.1	Sandra Joy Callister	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay in Hillpark as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
314.2	Sandra Joy Callister	Recognise Hillpark's significant natural environment as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
314.3	Sandra Joy Callister	Recognise Hillpark's significant landscape visual presence as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
320.1	David Barber	Retain the Hillpark Special Character Areas Overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
321.3	Exler Place Residents Association Incorporated	Amend the Walkable Catchment Rapid Transit Network (RTN) for Avondale to be in accordance with the distance guidelines (800m) and in turn exclude 14 - 58 Exler Place, Avondale from the walkable catchment. (Refer to map in submission for suggested walkable catchment).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
323.1	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the walkable catchments to be less than 1200m from the edge of the city centre	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.1	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the walkable catchments to be less than 1200m from the edge of the city centre	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
323.1	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the walkable catchments to be less than 1200m from the edge of the city centre	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
323.2	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the walkable catchments to be less than 800m from the edge of metropolitan centres.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.3	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the walkable catchments to be less than 800m around rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
323.4	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the application of the THAB Zone to be less than 400m from large town centres with high accessibility.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.5	Jennifer Goldsack	Reduce the extent of the application of the THAB Zone to residential areas to be less than 200m from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.6	Jennifer Goldsack	Recognise all existing Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter [inferred as set out in the AUP].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.7	Jennifer Goldsack	Add additional Special Character Areas Residential as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.8	Jennifer Goldsack	Add additional Special Character Areas Business as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
323.9	Jennifer Goldsack	Retain as a Qualifying Matter areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
325.2	Jinxi Xie	Ensure there is a correct estimation of the real demand of apartments and high-rise buildings to avoid an over supply of apartments.	Plan making and Procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
328.1	Herald Island Environmental Group	Rezone all properties on Herald Island proposed to be Mixed Housing Urban Zone to Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.1	Herald Island Environmental Group	Rezone all properties on Herald Island proposed to be Mixed Housing Urban Zone to Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.1	Herald Island Environmental Group	Rezone all properties on Herald Island proposed to be Mixed Housing Urban Zone to Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.1	Herald Island Environmental Group	Rezone all properties on Herald Island proposed to be Mixed Housing Urban Zone to Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.2	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
328.2	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.2	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.2	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.3	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion). Kingsway Road and Kauri Road infrastructure can not support the proposed intensification of Herald Island and Whenuapai.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.3	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion). Kingsway Road and Kauri Road infrastructure can not support the proposed intensification of Herald Island and Whenuapai.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.3	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion). Kingsway Road and Kauri Road infrastructure can not support the proposed intensification of Herald Island and Whenuapai.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.3	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport-related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (with the access subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion). Kingsway Road and Kauri Road infrastructure can not support the proposed intensification of Herald Island and Whenuapai.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.4	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (in particular on Herald Island) as intensification dispersed away from transport hubs is a negative climate input. Public transport options are also not very practical and additional cars would take up one side of the road carriageway, making driving unsafe and electric vehicle charging a logistical nightmare.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.4	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (in particular on Herald Island) as intensification dispersed away from transport hubs is a negative climate input. Public transport options are also not very practical and additional cars would take up one side of the road carriageway, making driving unsafe and electric vehicle charging a logistical nightmare.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.4	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (in particular on Herald Island) as intensification dispersed away from transport hubs is a negative climate input. Public transport options are also not very practical and additional cars would take up one side of the road carriageway, making driving unsafe and electric vehicle charging a logistical nightmare.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.4	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (in particular on Herald Island) as intensification dispersed away from transport hubs is a negative climate input. Public transport options are also not very practical and additional cars would take up one side of the road carriageway, making driving unsafe and electric vehicle charging a logistical nightmare.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.5	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification as tree loss (38% tree cover reduction) and increased impermeable surfaces (in particular on Herald Island) are a negative biodiversity, climate and harbour water quality input.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
328.5	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification as tree loss (38% tree cover reduction) and increased impermeable surfaces (in particular on Herald Island) are a negative biodiversity, climate and harbour water quality input.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
328.5	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification as tree loss (38% tree cover reduction) and increased impermeable surfaces (in particular on Herald Island) are a negative biodiversity, climate and harbour water quality input.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.5	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification as tree loss (38% tree cover reduction) and increased impermeable surfaces (in particular on Herald Island) are a negative biodiversity, climate and harbour water quality input.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.5	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification as tree loss (38% tree cover reduction) and increased impermeable surfaces (in particular on Herald Island) are a negative biodiversity, climate and harbour water quality input.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.6	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the stormwater system.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.6	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the stormwater system.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.6	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the stormwater system.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.6	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the stormwater system.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.7	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the reduction in water quality in the Upper Waitemata Harbour, particularly the SEA Marine 2 to the north.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.7	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the reduction in water quality in the Upper Waitemata Harbour, particularly the SEA Marine 2 to the north.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.7	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the reduction in water quality in the Upper Waitemata Harbour, particularly the SEA Marine 2 to the north.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.7	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification (Mixed Housing Urban zone) on Herald Island due to pressure this will place on the reduction in water quality in the Upper Waitemata Harbour, particularly the SEA Marine 2 to the north.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS523	Sue McElroy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
328.8	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (which is subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.8	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (which is subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.8	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (which is subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.8	Herald Island Environmental Group	Apply a transport related qualifying matter to all Herald Island properties due to limited public transport, limited car parking and only one access road (which is subject to coastal inundation/coastal erosion).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.9	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification of Herald Island, Whenuapai, Hobsonville, Greenhithe and West Harbour as it will exacerbate existing traffic congestion on Hobsonville Road and Brigham Creek Road due to roading infrastructure constraints. It will put similar pressure on the transport network that council determined would not cope when proposed under Plan Change 5. Should not go ahead without necessary transport infrastructure in place.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.9	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification of Herald Island, Whenuapai, Hobsonville, Greenhithe and West Harbour as it will exacerbate existing traffic congestion on Hobsonville Road and Brigham Creek Road due to roading infrastructure constraints. It will put similar pressure on the transport network that council determined would not cope when proposed under Plan Change 5. Should not go ahead without necessary transport infrastructure in place.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS361	Greenhithe Residents Association	support
328.9	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification of Herald Island, Whenuapai, Hobsonville, Greenhithe and West Harbour as it will exacerbate existing traffic congestion on Hobsonville Road and Brigham Creek Road due to roading infrastructure constraints. It will put similar pressure on the transport network that council determined would not cope when proposed under Plan Change 5. Should not go ahead without necessary transport infrastructure in place.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.9	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification of Herald Island, Whenuapai, Hobsonville, Greenhithe and West Harbour as it will exacerbate existing traffic congestion on Hobsonville Road and Brigham Creek Road due to roading infrastructure constraints. It will put similar pressure on the transport network that council determined would not cope when proposed under Plan Change 5. Should not go ahead without necessary transport infrastructure in place.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.9	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification of Herald Island, Whenuapai, Hobsonville, Greenhithe and West Harbour as it will exacerbate existing traffic congestion on Hobsonville Road and Brigham Creek Road due to roading infrastructure constraints. It will put similar pressure on the transport network that council determined would not cope when proposed under Plan Change 5. Should not go ahead without necessary transport infrastructure in place.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.10	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island as it is not suitable due to a small fixed area with a 1920s subdivision plan, coastal inundation, coastal erosion and narrow roads and any permitted intensification would be ad hoc.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.10	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island as it is not suitable due to a small fixed area with a 1920s subdivision plan, coastal inundation, coastal erosion and narrow roads and any permitted intensification would be ad hoc.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.10	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island as it is not suitable due to a small fixed area with a 1920s subdivision plan, coastal inundation, coastal erosion and narrow roads and any permitted intensification would be ad hoc.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
328.10	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island as it is not suitable due to a small fixed area with a 1920s subdivision plan, coastal inundation, coastal erosion and narrow roads and any permitted intensification would be ad hoc.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.12	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island due to the very negative environmental and social impacts it will have on the island, destroying its character and style of life, as well as tree cover providing habitat for native birds.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.12	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island due to the very negative environmental and social impacts it will have on the island, destroying its character and style of life, as well as tree cover providing habitat for native birds.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.12	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island due to the very negative environmental and social impacts it will have on the island, destroying its character and style of life, as well as tree cover providing habitat for native birds.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.12	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification on Herald Island due to the very negative environmental and social impacts it will have on the island, destroying its character and style of life, as well as tree cover providing habitat for native birds.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
328.13	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification and what the government is requiring as it will result in mass tree felling across Auckland and not help climate change and the environment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
328.13	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification and what the government is requiring as it will result in mass tree felling across Auckland and not help climate change and the environment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
328.13	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification and what the government is requiring as it will result in mass tree felling across Auckland and not help climate change and the environment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS414	Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association	support
328.13	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification and what the government is requiring as it will result in mass tree felling across Auckland and not help climate change and the environment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS522	Sally Ann Haysom	support
328.13	Herald Island Environmental Group	Reject intensification and what the government is requiring as it will result in mass tree felling across Auckland and not help climate change and the environment.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS523	Sue McElroy	support
329.1	Bridget Simpson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
330.1	Allana Robinson	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS159	Rutherford Rede Limited	Oppose
330.2	Allana Robinson	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
330.3	Allana Robinson	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
330.7	Allana Robinson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS159	Rutherford Rede Limited	Oppose
330.7	Allana Robinson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
333.1	Oscar Fransman	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
334.1	Barbara Joan Chapman	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
336.1	Roy Knill	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
337.1	Gail Ratcliffe	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
338.1	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the residential zones to rationalise them. E.g. stand alone housing, duplex houses and terrace houses are of a completely different typology and layout than apartments and should not be covered over the same zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.1	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the residential zones to rationalise them. E.g. stand alone housing, duplex houses and terrace houses are of a completely different typology and layout than apartments and should not be covered over the same zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
338.1	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the residential zones to rationalise them. E.g. stand alone housing, duplex houses and terrace houses are of a completely different typology and layout than apartments and should not be covered over the same zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
338.2	Urban Planning Consultants	Increase the scope of the plan change to include Rule E12.5 (Land disturbance- district - Notification) and amend this rule to state that district level earthworks in residential and business zones will not be subject to public or limited notification.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.3	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the land disturbance - district E12.7.2(1) with an additional assessment criterion (g) relating to whether excessive earthworks, which enable development exceeding the number of storeys provided for in the zone, are avoided.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.4	Urban Planning Consultants	Retain deletion of the alternative height in relation to boundary standard in the Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.5	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.6.11(3) (Landscape area) to include 'access to'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.6	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend to include a definition of 'Developed site' which has the same meaning as the 'net site area' definition. Required as the new standard inserted into the plan by the MDRS relating to the landscaped area (H5.6.11.) has no associated definition of what a 'developed site' is.	Plan making and Procedural	Definitions	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.7	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the landscaped area standard (H5.6.11(6)) for four or more dwellings to require an area of 4x4m for each ground floor unit constructed, one specimen tree and to be physically separate from the required outdoor living area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.7	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the landscaped area standard (H5.6.11(6)) for four or more dwellings to require an area of 4x4m for each ground floor unit constructed, one specimen tree and to be physically separate from the required outdoor living area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS384	Retirement Villages Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
338.7	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the landscaped area standard (H5.6.11(6)) for four or more dwellings to require an area of 4x4m for each ground floor unit constructed, one specimen tree and to be physically separate from the required outdoor living area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
338.8	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the 'Landscaped Area' definition to specify that the landscaped area provided in side yard areas between buildings on a site is excluded from the required landscaped areas.	Plan making and Procedural	Definitions	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.9	Urban Planning Consultants	Approve proposed outlook space standards (H5.6.12) being applied to four or more dwellings to ensure that acceptable levels of on-site amenity are provided for multi-unit development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.10	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete the outlook space standard H5.6.12(2)(a)(ii).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.11	Urban Planning Consultants	Approve outlook space standard H5.6.12 9(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.12	Urban Planning Consultants	Approve the proposed standards in H5.6.14 (Outdoor Living Space) being applied to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.13	Urban Planning Consultants	Remedy drafting errors by amending the standards H5.6.14(1)(a) relating to outdoor living space. Amend subclause (1) of the standard - there should not be a reference to 'ground level' or balconies, roof terraces or similar. These are covered in later sub clauses. Also amend as current standard also causes confusion if full 20m ² is required or a lesser standard for ground-level units, which is not the intention. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.14	Urban Planning Consultants	Add an additional subclause to standard H5.6.14 (5) (outdoor living space) stating that no private outdoor living space may be provided in the front yard, or otherwise between a street facing building and the road. This is to avoid inappropriate use of the front yard (i.e.: clothes lines, storage shed, decking areas).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.15	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the new subclause (4) in standard H5.6.14 (outdoor living space) so reference to sunlight access under (4)(e) is changed to summer to align with other parts of the AUP and to recognise that most people will be spending time outside during those times, than winter.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.16	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete standard H5.6.19 (Deep Soil Areas) and replace with the changes to the Landscaped Area standard sought in this submission (requiring a landscaped area measuring 4m x 4m for each ground floor residential unit, one specimen tree and physically separate from required private outdoor living areas).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.17	Urban Planning Consultants	Retain the new standard relating to Safety and Privacy Buffer – H5.6.20, given the opportunity for better safety and amenity outcomes on multi-unit development sites and because the standard will allow for amenity planting to improve the quality of spaces used by pedestrians when accessing their homes.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.18	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Residential Waste Management standard – H5.6.21 Sub-clause (c). It reads as an assessment criterion and should be deleted and moved to that section of the AUP.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
338.18	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Residential Waste Management standard – H5.6.21 Sub-clause (c). It reads as an assessment criterion and should be deleted and moved to that section of the AUP.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.19	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Residential Waste Management standard – H5.6.21 Sub-clause (c). It reads as an assessment criterion and should be deleted and moved to that section of the AUP.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
338.19	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Residential Waste Management standard – H5.6.21 Sub-clause (c). It reads as an assessment criterion and should be deleted and moved to that section of the AUP.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.20	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete subclauses within Residential Waste Management standard – H5.6.21 relating to a waste management and minimisation plan as is unclear what the point would be, and how non-compliance would be treated.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
338.20	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete subclauses within Residential Waste Management standard – H5.6.21 relating to a waste management and minimisation plan as is unclear what the point would be, and how non-compliance would be treated.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.21	Urban Planning Consultants	Add a new standard relating to Building Separation in H5.6 requiring no more than four terrace dwellings formed as one building along a side boundary. Where additional terrace buildings proposed along a side boundary, a minimum separation of 4m between the buildings, with the intervening area to landscaped and kept free of structures.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.21	Urban Planning Consultants	Add a new standard relating to Building Separation in H5.6 requiring no more than four terrace dwellings formed as one building along a side boundary. Where additional terrace buildings proposed along a side boundary, a minimum separation of 4m between the buildings, with the intervening area to landscaped and kept free of structures.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
338.21	Urban Planning Consultants	Add a new standard relating to Building Separation in H5.6 requiring no more than four terrace dwellings formed as one building along a side boundary. Where additional terrace buildings proposed along a side boundary, a minimum separation of 4m between the buildings, with the intervening area to landscaped and kept free of structures.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
338.22	Urban Planning Consultants	Retain the strengthened criteria within H5.8.2(2) relating to construction of four or more dwellings, as a significant issue with the intensification allowed under the NPS is the lack of quality developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.23	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete the circular reference to the zone standards under H5.8.2(2) clause (a). Standards already apply to four or more dwelling under the plan change and have their own criteria where a standard is breached. Criterion therefore adds no value to the assessment.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.24	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend/delete standard H5.8.2(2) clause (ab)(vi) [providing adequate breaks in long continuous buildings to minimise the appearance of length] as this is better addressed through a Building Separation standard. Reference to “adequate” breaks without any definition about how that might be applied will be an ineffective assessment criterion and there will be wide variation in how it is administered.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.25	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete H5.8.2(2) clause (ab)(vii) as is superfluous with standards already requiring this [locating deep soil areas and/or communal outdoor spaces around and between buildings to provide space and soften the built form].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.26	Urban Planning Consultants	Redraft H5.8.2.(2) clause (ab)(viii) [designing balconies as an integral part of the building] as it is unclear what an 'integral part of the building' means.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.27	Urban Planning Consultants	Redraft H5.8.2.(2) clause (ac) as will be hard to administer. Consider what the definition of 'durable' is. Focus on visual quality aspects including materials that add colour, texture, and a sense of permanence.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.28	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.8.2.(2) clause (ae) to include additional criteria relating to: (1) gable roof forms orientated towards road, not side boundaries; (2) buildings fronting street located at the same height as road reserve (or 1m above road reserve, not excavated down below); (3) carparking between buildings and road avoided and; (4) vehicle access alongside boundaries rather than centrally through development fronting road. (See photo showing example of issue).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.29	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) as unclear why the assessment criteria relating to transport improvements are different for four or more dwellings and integrated development. With respect to the more detailed criteria under (l) the requirement under (iv) to have front berms is not appropriate as in many urban environments berms may not currently exist, or there may not be room to achieve this outcome.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.30	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.8.2(10) - Building Coverage Assessment Criteria to ensure appropriate assessment of building infringements can occur. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support
338.31	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.8.2(17) - Minimum Dwelling Size as the three criteria are located in the wrong place. Relocate the criteria under 17(d)(a)(i)-(iii) to H5.8.2(2) and include reference to the Auckland Design Manual guidance.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kennedy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
338.31	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.8.2(17) - Minimum Dwelling Size as the three criteria are located in the wrong place. Relocate the criteria under 17(d)(a)(i)-(iii) to H5.8.2(2) and include reference to the Auckland Design Manual guidance.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
338.31	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend H5.8.2(17) - Minimum Dwelling Size as the three criteria are located in the wrong place. Relocate the criteria under 17(d)(a)(i)-(iii) to H5.8.2(2) and include reference to the Auckland Design Manual guidance.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS407	Sarah Gambitsis	support
338.32	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the title and description of the THAB Zone to 'Apartment Building Zone'. Also amend the zone description, objectives and policies and a standard to achieve apartment building development. Manage terrace housing through the Mixed Housing Urban Zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.33	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the title and description of the THAB Zone to 'Apartment Building Zone'. Also amend the zone description, objectives and policies and a standard to achieve apartment building development. Manage terrace housing through the Mixed Housing Urban Zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.34	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the title and description of the THAB Zone to 'Apartment Building Zone'. Also amend the zone description, objectives and policies and a standard to achieve apartment building development. Manage terrace housing through the Mixed Housing Urban Zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.35	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend standards for Building Separation, Height in Relation to Boundary and Yards as little point controlling building height, which per the notified standards would be limited to 8m in height beyond 21.5m from the road reserve. Need to address the sheer length of building bulk that could be orientated to look over neighbouring sites, rather than the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.35	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend standards for Building Separation, Height in Relation to Boundary and Yards as little point controlling building height, which per the notified standards would be limited to 8m in height beyond 21.5m from the road reserve. Need to address the sheer length of building bulk that could be orientated to look over neighbouring sites, rather than the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
338.35	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend standards for Building Separation, Height in Relation to Boundary and Yards as little point controlling building height, which per the notified standards would be limited to 8m in height beyond 21.5m from the road reserve. Need to address the sheer length of building bulk that could be orientated to look over neighbouring sites, rather than the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
338.36	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete suburban standards being applied to the Apartment Zone. The Height in Relation to Boundary controls are inappropriate. Focus on provisions of appropriate yards surrounding buildings so apartment buildings are set centrally within sites with opportunities for access and landscaping along the side and rear boundaries.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.36	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete suburban standards being applied to the Apartment Zone. The Height in Relation to Boundary controls are inappropriate. Focus on provisions of appropriate yards surrounding buildings so apartment buildings are set centrally within sites with opportunities for access and landscaping along the side and rear boundaries.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
338.36	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete suburban standards being applied to the Apartment Zone. The Height in Relation to Boundary controls are inappropriate. Focus on provisions of appropriate yards surrounding buildings so apartment buildings are set centrally within sites with opportunities for access and landscaping along the side and rear boundaries.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
338.37	Urban Planning Consultants	Add further standards to ensure a separation distance between buildings as viewed along the side boundaries and to replace ineffective Height in Relation to Boundary standards. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
338.37	Urban Planning Consultants	Add further standards to ensure a separation distance between buildings as viewed along the side boundaries and to replace ineffective Height in Relation to Boundary standards. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
338.37	Urban Planning Consultants	Add further standards to ensure a separation distance between buildings as viewed along the side boundaries and to replace ineffective Height in Relation to Boundary standards. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
338.38	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the yard standard in Table H6.6.9.2 [1?] from 1m to 4m for side and rear yards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.39	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the Height in Relation to Boundary standard, if retained, to 19m + 60 degrees on all boundaries.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.40	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend the Landscape Area standard - H6.6.12 to require an area of 4x4 for each ground floor unit; one specimen tree; and physically separate from required private outdoor living areas. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.41	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete H6.6.13(2)(iii) - Outlook Space [Where the principal living room outlook is on the ground floor and is defined by a boundary fence, outlook depth may be reduced to 5m].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.42	Urban Planning Consultants	Add subclause for Outdoor Living Area -H6.6.15(1) that it must not be located within the front yard or between any building and the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.43	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete Deep Soil Area standard -H6.6.20. Replace with an improved Landscape standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.44	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Deep Soil Area standard - H6.6.20 to provide additional requirements for more than 20 residential units in the form of a communal space.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.45	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Residential Waste Management standard – H6.6.22. It reads as an assessment criterion and should be deleted and moved.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
338.45	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend Residential Waste Management standard – H6.6.22. It reads as an assessment criterion and should be deleted and moved.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.46	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete subclauses within Residential Waste Management standard – H6.6.22(6) relating to a waste management and minimisation plan as is unclear what the point would be, and how non-compliance would be treated.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
338.46	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete subclauses within Residential Waste Management standard – H6.6.22(6) relating to a waste management and minimisation plan as is unclear what the point would be, and how non-compliance would be treated.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.47	Urban Planning Consultants	Retain the addition of further criteria to allow assessment of proposal for four or more dwellings, given the importance of ensuring high quality design and on-site amenity is preserved as development intensity increases.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.48	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete assessment criteria H6.8.2(2)(a) (in relation to four or more dwellings) as criterion adds no value and addressed by other criteria where an applicable zone standard has not been met.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.49	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend assessment criteria H6.8.2(2)(aa) (in relation to four or more dwellings) to refer to 'architectural design' quality.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.50	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete criteria H6.8.2(2)(ab)(vi) and (vi) and replace with an amended Building Separation Standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.51	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend criteria (ae) to ensure high quality urban design outcomes, noting that excessive balconies in many cases degrade rather than improve architectural expression. Also that requiring individual entries to units in an apartment building is not sensible design, with most serviced by a common building entry. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.52	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend criteria H6.8.2(2)(l) - New Criteria For Public Transport to integrate bus stop infrastructure such as seating, signage and weather protection into the building design.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.53	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend subdivision notification rules in E38.5, as the proposed changes to notification provisions are overly complicated. Amend E38.5(1) Notification (Subdivision - Urban) to include 'and activities A13A -A13E'. Refer to full submission for details.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.54	Urban Planning Consultants	Delete proposed rules E38.5 Notification (Subdivision -Urban) (2A) and (2B) in relation to circumstances for notification (Subdivision - Urban).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.55	Urban Planning Consultants	[Specific decision not stated- inferred as review] table 38.4.2 Subdivision in residential zones (A13D) which relates to subdivision that does not comply with the landuse consent which is a grant/decline matter, not a notification matter.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.56	Urban Planning Consultants	Amend to fix drafting errors in the subdivision chapter that currently cause a change in activity status and unnecessary complexity to the consenting process. Address with inserting in E38.6 (General Standards for subdivision) and E38.8 General Standards for residential zones that 'standard(s) in this section do not apply to activities E38.4.2(A13A) - (A15)'.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.57	Urban Planning Consultants	Approve standards relating to subdivision around existing building or a land use consent, but amend to insert into Section 38.7 (Standards for subdivision for specific purposes) as relates to controlled activities. Also amend to avoid unintended consequences and ensure they can be well administered. Refer to full submission for details of proposed amended wording to E38.8.1A.1 and E38.8.1A.2.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.58	Urban Planning Consultants	Approve the walkable catchment distances proposed. Approve the application of the THAB zoning within those catchments. Approve the application of the Mixed Housing Urban Zone as a sensible approach to accommodating the MDRS.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support
338.59	Urban Planning Consultants	No specific decision stated. Reservations about the negative impact changes will have on the quality of the city and urban design outcomes if design standards associated with changes are not robust.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS373	Thomas John Kenned	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
339.2	Michael Damian Wagg	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
339.3	Michael Damian Wagg	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
339.7	Michael Damian Wagg	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
340.2	Dr Catherine Elizabeth Stone	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
340.3	Dr Catherine Elizabeth Stone	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
340.7	Dr Catherine Elizabeth Stone	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
341.1	Michele Clare Maddison	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
343.1	Roderick MacLeod	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
345.1	Greg Jones	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
345.1	Greg Jones	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
346.1	Kieran McLean	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
347.1	Alister Hartstonge	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
348.2	Richard Rolfe	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
348.3	Richard Rolfe	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
348.7	Richard Rolfe	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
348.11	Richard Rolfe	Reject any further intensification [inferred] remove the THAB Zone] over 1a-29 and 18-28 Ireland Street, 32 England Street, 9 Middle Street, and 40-82 Franklin Road, Freemans Bay. [Inferred to include: 1A Ireland Street, 1 Ireland Street, 3 Ireland Street, 5 Ireland Street, 7 Ireland Street, 9 Ireland Street, 11 Ireland Street, 13 Ireland Street, 15 Ireland Street, 17 Ireland Street, 19 Ireland Street, 21 Ireland Street, 23 Ireland Street, 25 Ireland Street, 27 Ireland Street and 29 Ireland Street; and 18 Ireland Street, 20 Ireland Street, 22 Ireland Street, 24 Ireland Street and 28 Ireland Street; and 32 England Street, 9 Middle Street; and 40-46 Franklin Road, 48 Franklin Road, 50 Franklin Road, 52 Franklin Road, 54 Franklin Road, 58 Franklin Road, 60 Franklin Road, 62 Franklin Road, 64 Franklin Road, 66 Franklin Road, 68 Franklin Road, 70 Franklin Road, 72 Franklin Road, 74 Franklin Road, 76 Franklin Road, 78 Franklin Road, 80 Franklin Road and 82 Franklin Road, Freemans Bay].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
350.1	Nelson Bennett	Approve the plan change as more density is needed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
350.1	Nelson Bennett	Approve the plan change as more density is needed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
350.1	Nelson Bennett	Approve the plan change as more density is needed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.1	iSolutions	Approve the overall intent of the plan change to enable higher density residential development across Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.2	iSolutions	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zone in the majority of suburbs and the THAB zone around business hubs and transport nodes.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.3	iSolutions	Reject the restriction of higher density development due to lack of infrastructure. Review and apply an evidence-based forecast of the infrastructure demand as a result of the intensification, in the short, medium and long term; based on which infrastructure provisions should be planned and delivered.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.4	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sturges Road. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.5	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Henderson. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.6	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Ranui. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.7	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Glen Eden. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.8	iSolutions	Amend the approach with how Qualifying Matters have been applied in relation to walkable catchments over land adjacent to and close to the train station in Sunnyvale. More permissive development on the fringes goes against conventional town planning wisdom.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS140	Beach Haven Road A	supports
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.9	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS205	Gerrad Hall	support
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.10	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.11	iSolutions	Reject and remove the proposed infrastructure qualifying matter: Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.12	iSolutions	Apply the proposed intensification changes all over Auckland. Include the Auckland Light Rail Corridor within the scope of the plan change. Then use a variation later once details of the light rail are available.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Support
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Support
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS162	The Subdivision Com	support
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.13	iSolutions	Reject excluding MDRS in the case of four or more dwellings in the Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zones. Apply the MDRS standard regardless of the number of dwellings i.e. also in the case of four or more dwellings.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
351.14	iSolutions	Reject in its entirety the Deep soil area and canopy tree standard.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
352.1	Tracy Feickert	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
353.1	Gary and Pam Bonham	Reject intensification in Gulf Harbour as the marina is not able to cope with another sewage spill.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS357	Boezo Limited	oppose
353.2	Gary and Pam Bonham	Reject intensification as high density housing will result in people being shaded by high rise development.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS357	Boezo Limited	oppose
354.1	Ivy Li	Amend to recognise 4 Browns Bay Road, Rothesay Bay as four individual sites due to the approved subdivision consent and pending titles. See attached granted resource consent and title details.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS450	Wayne Patrick Donnelly	neutral
354.2	Ivy Li	Approve the Planning Map, Management Layer 'Policy 3(d) - Upzoning around Town and Local Centre Zones' applying to 4 Browns Bay Road, Rothesay Bay. Give effect to Policy 3(d) for this site.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS450	Wayne Patrick Donnelly	oppose
354.3	Ivy Li	Do not apply blanket approach recommendation to apply the new Low Density Residential Zone to all properties currently zoned Residential Single House Zone identified within the AUPs' coastal hazard areas that may be susceptible to risk.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
354.3	Ivy Li	Do not apply blanket approach recommendation to apply the new Low Density Residential Zone to all properties currently zoned Residential Single House Zone identified within the AUPs' coastal hazard areas that may be susceptible to risk.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS450	Wayne Patrick Donnelly	oppose
354.4	Ivy Li	Undertake further assessment in the future Coastal Hazard plan change, including the opportunity for property owners to prepare site-specific coastal risk assessments and provide technical evidence on their sites.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
354.6	Ivy Li	Zone sites subject to coastal hazard risks in accordance with the direction in NPS UD (Policy 3). Coastal-related constraints will be assessed through and land use consent in relation to E36 and E38 and require a coastal hazards assessment. This will determine the most appropriate site-specific approach to manage effects (including height and intensity considerations) and have tailored management/mitigation measures.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
354.8	Ivy Li	Rezone Lot 1, 4 Browns Bay Road, Rothesay Bay (Pt Lot 14 Blk XII DP 10801) from Low-Density Residential to Mixed Housing Urban Zone. [See attached resource consent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS172	John Winston Bell	oppose
354.9	Ivy Li	Apply 'Coastal Erosion' and 'Coastal' Inundation' Qualifying Matters to Lot 1, 4 Browns Bay Road, Rothesay Bay (Pt Lot 14 Blk XII DP 10801). [See attached resource consent].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
354.10	Ivy Li	Rezone Lot 2, 4 Browns Bay Road, Rothesay Bay (Pt Lot 14 Blk XII DP 10801) from Low-Density Residential to THAB Zone. [See attached resource consent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS172	John Winston Bell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
354.12	Ivy Li	Rezone Lot 3, 4 Browns Bay Road, Rothesay Bay (Pt Lot 14 Blk XII DP 10801) from Low-Density Residential to THAB Zone. [See attached resource consent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS172	John Winston Bell	oppose
357.1	Ryan James Bull	Add the wider Hillpark suburb as a new Qualifying matter recognising the significant natural environment of the area. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
360.2	Michael Stewart Kelly	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
360.3	Michael Stewart Kelly	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
360.7	Michael Stewart Kelly	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
362.1	Simon Chinchawala	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
366.1	Gareth Shute	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
366.1	Gareth Shute	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
366.1	Gareth Shute	Approve the plan change without any amendments.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
368.1	Songfeng Liu	Reject the reduction of maximum building coverage to 35 per cent within Height and Building Sensitive Areas at 198 Clovelly Road, Bucklands Beach.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
368.1	Songfeng Liu	Reject the reduction of maximum building coverage to 35 per cent within Height and Building Sensitive Areas at 198 Clovelly Road, Bucklands Beach.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
368.1	Songfeng Liu	Reject the reduction of maximum building coverage to 35 per cent within Height and Building Sensitive Areas at 198 Clovelly Road, Bucklands Beach.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
368.1	Songfeng Liu	Reject the reduction of maximum building coverage to 35 per cent within Height and Building Sensitive Areas at 198 Clovelly Road, Bucklands Beach.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
370.1	Martin Dobson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
377.2	Jacqueline Ryan	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
377.3	Jacqueline Ryan	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
377.7	Jacqueline Ryan	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
379.1	Cameron William Churchill	Reject Special Character Areas - Residential, allow for more density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
381.1	Carolyn Brown	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
382.1	Trevor Brown	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
383.1	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Do not increase intensification for properties on the Whangaparāoa Peninsula. (Refer to 2010 Environment Court Consent Order attached).This includes properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly [inferred: 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS60	Jack Malpass	Support
383.1	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Do not increase intensification for properties on the Whangaparāoa Peninsula. (Refer to 2010 Environment Court Consent Order attached).This includes properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly [inferred: 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS69	Flora Gao	Support
383.1	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Do not increase intensification for properties on the Whangaparāoa Peninsula. (Refer to 2010 Environment Court Consent Order attached).This includes properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly [inferred: 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS74	Marie Chantal Catlin	Support
383.1	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Do not increase intensification for properties on the Whangaparāoa Peninsula. (Refer to 2010 Environment Court Consent Order attached).This includes properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly [inferred: 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS104	Hilary Craig	Support
383.1	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Do not increase intensification for properties on the Whangaparāoa Peninsula. (Refer to 2010 Environment Court Consent Order attached).This includes properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly [inferred: 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS422	Campbell Mickell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
383.1	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Do not increase intensification for properties on the Whangaparāoa Peninsula. (Refer to 2010 Environment Court Consent Order attached).This includes properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly [inferred: 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS517	John William Rinkin and Heather Mary Rinkin	support
383.2	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to Little Manly SEA (Refer to attachment). [inferred in relation to properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly - 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly] .	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS60	Jack Malpass	Support
383.2	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to Little Manly SEA (Refer to attachment). [inferred in relation to properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly - 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly] .	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS69	Flora Gao	Support
383.2	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to Little Manly SEA (Refer to attachment). [inferred in relation to properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly - 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly] .	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS74	Marie Chantal Catlin	Support
383.2	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to Little Manly SEA (Refer to attachment). [inferred in relation to properties between Whangaparāoa Road, Tiri Road and South Avenue, Little Manly - 1 South Avenue, 3 South Avenue, 5 South Avenue, 7 South Avenue, 7A South Avenue, 899 Whangaparāoa Road, 901 Whangaparāoa Road, 903 Whangaparāoa Road, 905 Whangaparāoa Road, and 907 Whangaparāoa Road, Little Manly] .	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS104	Hilary Craig	Support
383.3	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to roading constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS60	Jack Malpass	Support
383.3	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to roading constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS69	Flora Gao	Support
383.3	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to roading constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS74	Marie Chantal Catlin	Support
383.3	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to roading constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS104	Hilary Craig	Support
383.4	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to qualifying matter of Water and Wastewater constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS60	Jack Malpass	Support
383.4	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to qualifying matter of Water and Wastewater constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS74	Marie Chantal Catlin	Support
383.4	Bryan Evans and Sharon Evans	Reject intensification of Whangaparāoa Peninsula due to qualifying matter of Water and Wastewater constraints.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS104	Hilary Craig	Support
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS452	Stepping Towards Far Limited and KA Waimanawa Limited	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS485	Templeton Group	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
384.1	Roger Williams	Identify Warkworth as having the same qualifying matter of Transport Infrastructure constraint as Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
386.1	Steve Donoghue-Cox	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
391.1	James Schoch	Approve the plan change to allow for more housing for Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
391.1	James Schoch	Approve the plan change to allow for more housing for Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
391.1	James Schoch	Approve the plan change to allow for more housing for Auckland.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
394.2	Hamish Burt	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay, as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
394.3	Hamish Burt	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
395.1	Virginia Caplen	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
396.1	Desmond Paul Driver	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
398.1	Reuben Dylan Fong	Support intensification proposed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS360	Patrick Faigan and Maryann Savage	support
398.1	Reuben Dylan Fong	Support intensification proposed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
398.1	Reuben Dylan Fong	Support intensification proposed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
398.1	Reuben Dylan Fong	Support intensification proposed.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
404.2	Simon Jeremy Kember	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
404.3	Simon Jeremy Kember	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
404.5	Simon Jeremy Kember	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
405.1	Sarah Kerr	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
408.2	Natasha Mahony	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
409.1	MBSB Investments Ltd	Remove the Moderate Aircraft Noise Area (MANA) standard requiring average minimum site size of 400m2 and assess on a case by case basis.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS307	Board of Airline Repr	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
409.1	MBSB Investments Ltd	Remove the Moderate Aircraft Noise Area (MANA) standard requiring average minimum site size of 400m2 and assess on a case by case basis.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
410.1	Jane Neill	Retain all the Special Character currently in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
410.2	Jane Neill	Retain all the Heritage Areas currently in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
411.1	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the mapped Parnell Train Station entrance points to be the true access points and amend the surrounding walkable catchment accordingly. Exclude any walkable catchment overlay from the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road [inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also exclude any walkable catchment from the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell]. Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
411.1	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the mapped Parnell Train Station entrance points to be the true access points and amend the surrounding walkable catchment accordingly. Exclude any walkable catchment overlay from the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road [inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also exclude any walkable catchment from the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell]. Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
411.1	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the mapped Parnell Train Station entrance points to be the true access points and amend the surrounding walkable catchment accordingly. Exclude any walkable catchment overlay from the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road [inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also exclude any walkable catchment from the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell]. Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
411.1	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the mapped Parnell Train Station entrance points to be the true access points and amend the surrounding walkable catchment accordingly. Exclude any walkable catchment overlay from the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road [inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also exclude any walkable catchment from the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell]. Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
411.1	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the mapped Parnell Train Station entrance points to be the true access points and amend the surrounding walkable catchment accordingly. Exclude any walkable catchment overlay from the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road [inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also exclude any walkable catchment from the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell]. Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
411.2	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the City Centre Walkable Catchment extent to remove part of Parnell, specifically the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road, Parnell[inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also remove the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell].Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
411.2	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the City Centre Walkable Catchment extent to remove part of Parnell, specifically the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road, Parnell[inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also remove the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell].Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
411.2	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Amend the City Centre Walkable Catchment extent to remove part of Parnell, specifically the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road, Parnell[inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]. Also remove the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell].Recognise the walkability in relation to steep topography and limited access to amenities (Refer to the submission for further details).	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
411.3	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Rezone the mapped high density Residential zones in the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road, Parnell[inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell] to Residential - Low Density Residential. Also rezone the mapped high density Residential zones in the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell] to Residential - Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
411.3	Mrs Judith Newhook et al	Rezone the mapped high density Residential zones in the enclave bounded by Judges Bay Road, Judges Bay, Saint Stephens Avenue, and Gladstone Road, Parnell[inferred including Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell] to Residential - Low Density Residential. Also rezone the mapped high density Residential zones in the strip one section deep, on the west side of Gladstone Road between Cleveland Road and upper Saint Stephens Avenue opposite the corner of Parnell School [inferred including 12-88 Gladstone Road, Parnell] to Residential - Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
413.1	Christopher Donald Healy Ross	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
420.1	Mahajabeen Padamsee	Support the intensification in the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
420.1	Mahajabeen Padamsee	Support the intensification in the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
420.1	Mahajabeen Padamsee	Support the intensification in the plan change.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
422.1	Glyn Evan Williams	Add new qualifying matter for Transport in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS371	J&S West Trading Limited	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
422.2	Glyn Evan Williams	Add qualifying matters for Wastewater in Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
423.1	Witten-Hannah Howard Solicitors	Approve the plan change for intensification.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
423.1	Witten-Hannah Howard Solicitors	Approve the plan change for intensification.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
423.1	Witten-Hannah Howard Solicitors	Approve the plan change for intensification.	Plan making and Procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
424.2	Jane Pepper	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
424.3	Jane Pepper	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
426.2	Pamela Willi	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
426.3	Pamela Willi	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
426.7	Pamela Willi	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
428.2	Gerard Robert Murphy	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
428.3	Gerard Robert Murphy	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
429.2	Mary Constance Kelly	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
429.3	Mary Constance Kelly	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
429.7	Mary Constance Kelly	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
431.1	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Support the total building height of 21m (6 storeys) (Table H11.6.11) and height in relation to boundary of 19m +60 degrees (Table H11.6.2.1) in the walkable catchments in the Local Centre Zone.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS125	692D Limited	Support
431.1	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Support the total building height of 21m (6 storeys) (Table H11.6.11) and height in relation to boundary of 19m +60 degrees (Table H11.6.2.1) in the walkable catchments in the Local Centre Zone.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
431.1	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Support the total building height of 21m (6 storeys) (Table H11.6.11) and height in relation to boundary of 19m +60 degrees (Table H11.6.2.1) in the walkable catchments in the Local Centre Zone.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
431.1	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Support the total building height of 21m (6 storeys) (Table H11.6.11) and height in relation to boundary of 19m +60 degrees (Table H11.6.2.1) in the walkable catchments in the Local Centre Zone.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
432.2	Sean Spratt	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
432.3	Sean Spratt	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
432.7	Sean Spratt	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
433.2	Andrew Bennetts	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
433.3	Andrew Bennetts	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
434.2	Patricia Austin	Retain the classification [inferred Low Density Residential Zone] in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
434.3	Patricia Austin	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
434.5	Patricia Austin	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
441.2	Keith Morris	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
441.3	Keith Morris	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
441.7	Keith Morris	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
443.14	Peter George Watts and Stephanie Joyce Lees	Oppose the affects of THAB adjoining properties within the Historic Heritage Overlay, including overshadowing effects. Request that properties surrounding and within a 50m radius of Historic Heritage be restricted to 3 storeys in height or, alternatively, remove the historic heritage status of the place unless Council buys the historic house from the owner at full market value of the underlying land.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS384	Retirement Villages	oppose
443.14	Peter George Watts and Stephanie Joyce Lees	Oppose the affects of THAB adjoining properties within the Historic Heritage Overlay, including overshadowing effects. Request that properties surrounding and within a 50m radius of Historic Heritage be restricted to 3 storeys in height or, alternatively, remove the historic heritage status of the place unless Council buys the historic house from the owner at full market value of the underlying land.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
445.1	Yi Chang	Include Whitford Rural - Countryside Living zone in the plan change and rezone to allow for housing.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
445.1	Yi Chang	Include Whitford Rural - Countryside Living zone in the plan change and rezone to allow for housing.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
445.1	Yi Chang	Include Whitford Rural - Countryside Living zone in the plan change and rezone to allow for housing.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
446.2	Liam Taylor	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
446.3	Liam Taylor	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
446.7	Liam Taylor	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
447.2	Lola Taylor	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
447.3	Lola Taylor	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
447.7	Lola Taylor	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
448.2	Kathryn Wilson	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
448.3	Kathryn Wilson	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
448.7	Kathryn Wilson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
449.2	Stella Taylor	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
449.3	Stella Taylor	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
449.7	Stella Taylor	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
451.1	Declan Graham	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay (excluding the existing THAB and Business Mixed Use). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
452.1	Brent Clode	Reject the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control over Chatswood catchment due to the upgrade of wastewater being an easy option. Rezone the area to MHU.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
452.1	Brent Clode	Reject the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control over Chatswood catchment due to the upgrade of wastewater being an easy option. Rezone the area to MHU.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
452.1	Brent Clode	Reject the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control over Chatswood catchment due to the upgrade of wastewater being an easy option. Rezone the area to MHU.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
453.1	Christoph Soltau	Reject the intensification due to lack of infrastructure including open space, roads, footpaths, stormwater, wastewater and potable water.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
456.1	Eve Skogstad	Remove Notable Trees as a qualifying matter [which limits development] at 2 Hatton Road, Orewa.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
456.1	Eve Skogstad	Remove Notable Trees as a qualifying matter [which limits development] at 2 Hatton Road, Orewa.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
456.3	Eve Skogstad	Remove Coastal Inundation QM from 2 Hatton Road, Orewa as latest information from NIWA does not show sea level rise near the property.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
456.3	Eve Skogstad	Remove Coastal Inundation QM from 2 Hatton Road, Orewa as latest information from NIWA does not show sea level rise near the property.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
457.1	Jeremy Crosby	Approve the plan change as it will future proof the city, maximise transport network usage and more equitable access to inner city living.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
457.1	Jeremy Crosby	Approve the plan change as it will future proof the city, maximise transport network usage and more equitable access to inner city living.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
457.1	Jeremy Crosby	Approve the plan change as it will future proof the city, maximise transport network usage and more equitable access to inner city living.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
459.1	Bill Mathews	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
462.2	Mr John Godfrey	Approve the additional qualifying matters relating to flood hazards.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
466.1	Wilhelmina H Streefland	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
467.1	Brent Spillane	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
468.1	Michael Hillyer	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
470.1	Aren Patel	Approve the zoning of 1 Posa Avenue, Henderson.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS165	Aren Patel	support
470.2	Aren Patel	Remove the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraint control from 1 Posa Avenue, Henderson.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS165	Aren Patel	support
471.2	Barry Wood	Request for stormwater to be included as a qualifying matter with a wider area based risk assessment.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
473.1	Tracy Feickert	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
477.2	Craig McCormick	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
477.3	Craig McCormick	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
477.7	Craig McCormick	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
479.1	James Thomas White	Reinstate the Special Character Area Overlay over 5 Pukeora through to 740 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
480.1	Joshua Ho	Approve all changes to further intensify Auckland, notably in the areas which connects public transport amenities.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
480.1	Joshua Ho	Approve all changes to further intensify Auckland, notably in the areas which connects public transport amenities.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
480.1	Joshua Ho	Approve all changes to further intensify Auckland, notably in the areas which connects public transport amenities.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
481.1	Megan Kully	Apply MDRS zoning (MHU zone) to Herringson and Rona Avenue and parts of Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn. (supporting documents of the submission-diagram page 4).	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
481.1	Megan Kully	Apply MDRS zoning (MHU zone) to Herringson and Rona Avenue and parts of Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn. (supporting documents of the submission-diagram page 4).	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
481.1	Megan Kully	Apply MDRS zoning (MHU zone) to Herringson and Rona Avenue and parts of Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn. (supporting documents of the submission-diagram page 4).	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
482.1	Michael Richard Adamson	Allow mass development in all character areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
483.1	Renee Leightley	Move the edge of the city centre zone for the purpose of setting walkable catchments to Spark arena where the 30km speed zone commences.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS37	Renee Leightley	Support
483.2	Renee Leightley	Reinstate the two blocks on St Stephens Avenue between Canterbury Place and Judge Street, Parnell as Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS37	Renee Leightley	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
488.1	Yi Cao	Reject THAB implementation over Northpark area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Meadowlands Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS03	Ying Mu	Support
488.1	Yi Cao	Reject THAB implementation over Northpark area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Meadowlands Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS04	Zaixing Cao	Support
502.2	Andrew Kent Robertson	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
502.3	Andrew Kent Robertson	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
502.7	Andrew Kent Robertson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
503.1	Catherine Kempe	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS318	David Alison	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS408	Michelle Elizabeth Goldfinch	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS413	Maureen Harris	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS426	Andrew Kent Robertson	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
504.1	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS318	David Alison	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS408	Michelle Elizabeth Goldfinch	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS413	Maureen Harris	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS426	Andrew Kent Robertson	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
504.2	Charles H Levin	See PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS318	David Alison	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS408	Michelle Elizabeth Goldfinch	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS413	Maureen Harris	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS426	Andrew Kent Robertson	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
504.3	Charles H Levin	Include a rule for any new buildings or structures within an extent of Place (including the Renall Street, Ponsonby Historic Heritage Extent of Place) to have a restricted discretionary activity status.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
504.4	Charles H Levin	Include rules in Chapter D17 Historic Heritage to more clearly protect the setting of the Renall Street, Ponsonby sites (and if necessary the setting of all Category A sites) as a Historic Heritage Extent of Place. See submission for details.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.4	Charles H Levin	Include rules in Chapter D17 Historic Heritage to more clearly protect the setting of the Renall Street, Ponsonby sites (and if necessary the setting of all Category A sites) as a Historic Heritage Extent of Place. See submission for details.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
504.4	Charles H Levin	Include rules in Chapter D17 Historic Heritage to more clearly protect the setting of the Renall Street, Ponsonby sites (and if necessary the setting of all Category A sites) as a Historic Heritage Extent of Place. See submission for details.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS318	David Alison	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS408	Michelle Elizabeth Goldfinch	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS413	Maureen Harris	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS426	Andrew Kent Robertson	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
504.5	Charles H Levin	Include a new local public viewshaft to Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule to protect the views from Renall Street, Ponsonby to the City Centre zone.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 11 Local Public View Schedule	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS401	Gille Demaneuf	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS408	Michelle Elizabeth Goldfinch	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS413	Maureen Harris	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS420	Trevor Lund	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS426	Andrew Kent Robertson	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
504.6	Charles H Levin	Impose a 13m height variation control for all properties in the Business Town Centre zone east of Ponsonby Road, specifically in relation to the properties adjacent to the entrance to Renall Street and at 3-7 Margaret Street and 100 College Hill, Freemans Bay.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
505.1	Tracey Bakker	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
506.1	Debra Helene Ross	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
507.1	Paul Jancys	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay (excluding the existing THAB and Business Mixed Use). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
507.2	Paul Jancys	Reject and amend the 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
509.1	Claire Stewart	Decline the plan change as it will lead to the creation of slums and take people's space and sunlight.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
510.1	David Cunningham	Decline the plan change as there has been lack of consultation with the community and the plan change will have adverse impacts on culture and feel of community.	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
514.1	Josh Irvine	Allow for unlimited height at 37 Esmonde Road and surrounding area in Takapuna walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
514.1	Josh Irvine	Allow for unlimited height at 37 Esmonde Road and surrounding area in Takapuna walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
514.1	Josh Irvine	Allow for unlimited height at 37 Esmonde Road and surrounding area in Takapuna walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	support
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
515.1	Liam Appleton	Remove all Special Character Areas, it perpetuates inequitable wealth and social outcomes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
515.2	Liam Appleton	Expand walkable catchments to no less than 1500m, with provision for further expansion, to allow for more efficient intensification around existing public transport routes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
515.2	Liam Appleton	Expand walkable catchments to no less than 1500m, with provision for further expansion, to allow for more efficient intensification around existing public transport routes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	support
515.2	Liam Appleton	Expand walkable catchments to no less than 1500m, with provision for further expansion, to allow for more efficient intensification around existing public transport routes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
516.1	Maryann Savagr	Approve the plan change, reduce the effect of climate change, make housing more accessible and support urban density.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
516.1	Maryann Savagr	Approve the plan change, reduce the effect of climate change, make housing more accessible and support urban density.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
516.1	Maryann Savagr	Approve the plan change, reduce the effect of climate change, make housing more accessible and support urban density.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
517.2	Min Lee	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
517.3	Min Lee	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
517.7	Min Lee	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
520.1	Tara Moreton	Decline the plan change because of lack of planning and thought of the impact of the plan change on existing residents [inferred]. Impact on property values, shading, traffic, wastewater and stormwater.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
520.5	Tara Moreton	Keep intensification above 3 storeys out of town centres. [Inferred Milford].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
520.5	Tara Moreton	Keep intensification above 3 storeys out of town centres. [Inferred Milford].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
521.1	Tatiana Nazarova	Approve the plan change to accommodate more people into affordable housing, for example in Henderson.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS168	Tatiana Nazarova	support
521.1	Tatiana Nazarova	Approve the plan change to accommodate more people into affordable housing, for example in Henderson.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
521.1	Tatiana Nazarova	Approve the plan change to accommodate more people into affordable housing, for example in Henderson.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
521.1	Tatiana Nazarova	Approve the plan change to accommodate more people into affordable housing, for example in Henderson.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
521.2	Tatiana Nazarova	Approve the plan change and make the consenting process simpler and faster.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS168	Tatiana Nazarova	support
521.3	Tatiana Nazarova	Resolve constraints to intensification by engineering solutions.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS168	Tatiana Nazarova	support
523.1	Bruce Gilbert	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
524.1	Accommodation Investment Trust	Remove the SCAR from 187 Gillies Avenue and 191 Gillies Avenue, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
524.1	Accommodation Investment Trust	Remove the SCAR from 187 Gillies Avenue and 191 Gillies Avenue, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
524.1	Accommodation Investment Trust	Remove the SCAR from 187 Gillies Avenue and 191 Gillies Avenue, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
529.1	Douglas Sierra Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning at 50 Sierra Street, Glendowie and surrounding properties, [inferred] to include 70 Pembroke Crescent, 52 Sierra Street, 52A Sierra Street and 68 Pembroke Crescent, Glendowie.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
529.1	Douglas Sierra Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning at 50 Sierra Street, Glendowie and surrounding properties, [inferred] to include 70 Pembroke Crescent, 52 Sierra Street, 52A Sierra Street and 68 Pembroke Crescent, Glendowie.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
529.1	Douglas Sierra Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning at 50 Sierra Street, Glendowie and surrounding properties, [inferred] to include 70 Pembroke Crescent, 52 Sierra Street, 52A Sierra Street and 68 Pembroke Crescent, Glendowie.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
530.1	ET Commercial Investments Limited	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay from 102 Arney Road and 102A Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
530.1	ET Commercial Investments Limited	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay from 102 Arney Road and 102A Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
530.1	ET Commercial Investments Limited	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay from 102 Arney Road and 102A Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
536.1	Misa Properties Partnership	Rezone 116 Balmoral Road and 122 Balmoral Road, Mt Eden to THAB or such other intensification zone as may be appropriate.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
536.1	Misa Properties Partnership	Rezone 116 Balmoral Road and 122 Balmoral Road, Mt Eden to THAB or such other intensification zone as may be appropriate.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
536.1	Misa Properties Partnership	Rezone 116 Balmoral Road and 122 Balmoral Road, Mt Eden to THAB or such other intensification zone as may be appropriate.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
536.1	Misa Properties Partnership	Rezone 116 Balmoral Road and 122 Balmoral Road, Mt Eden to THAB or such other intensification zone as may be appropriate.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
537.1	P G Beattie and W M Walker Trust	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay from 39B Disraeli Street, Mt Eden.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
537.1	P G Beattie and W M Walker Trust	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay from 39B Disraeli Street, Mt Eden.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
537.1	P G Beattie and W M Walker Trust	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay from 39B Disraeli Street, Mt Eden.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
538.1	Yash Idnani and Divya Verma	Approve Mixed Housing Urban zoning for 75 Jolson Road, Mount Wellington.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
538.1	Yash Idnani and Divya Verma	Approve Mixed Housing Urban zoning for 75 Jolson Road, Mount Wellington.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
538.1	Yash Idnani and Divya Verma	Approve Mixed Housing Urban zoning for 75 Jolson Road, Mount Wellington.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
538.3	Yash Idnani and Divya Verma	Remove the flood plain QM and enable MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case by case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
538.3	Yash Idnani and Divya Verma	Remove the flood plain QM and enable MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case by case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
538.3	Yash Idnani and Divya Verma	Remove the flood plain QM and enable MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case by case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
539.1	Zora Trust	Rezone 218 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 220 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 222 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 222B Hibiscus Coast Highway, 2 Beach Road, 2 Loop Road and 10 Loop Road, Orewa to THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
539.1	Zora Trust	Rezone 218 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 220 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 222 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 222B Hibiscus Coast Highway, 2 Beach Road, 2 Loop Road and 10 Loop Road, Orewa to THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
539.1	Zora Trust	Rezone 218 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 220 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 222 Hibiscus Coast Highway, 222B Hibiscus Coast Highway, 2 Beach Road, 2 Loop Road and 10 Loop Road, Orewa to THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
540.1	Margaret Anne Cuthers	Retain Hillpark Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
541.1	Mark Forman	Amend the Beachlands Transport Constraints Control as a QM because if current and future unitary plan change applications for housing developments are approved, it will be negated.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Beachlands transport infrastructure constraint	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	support
545.1	Mary Williamson	Reject proposed zoning for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lake Road, Regent Street, Ngataringa Road, Abbotsford Terrace, Aramoana Avenue, Vauxhall Road, Kawerau Avenue, Ariho Terrace, King Edward Parade, Church Street, Empire Road, Mozeley Avenue, Oxford Terrace, Merwood Lane, Victoria Road, Wesley Street, Tudor Street, Kerr Street, Cambria Road, Stanley Point Road, Glen Road, Russell Street, and Albert Road, Devonport.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
545.2	Mary Williamson	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
545.2	Mary Williamson	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
545.2	Mary Williamson	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
549.1	Murali Narayana Swamy	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
549.1	Murali Narayana Swamy	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
549.1	Murali Narayana Swamy	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
551.2	Nigel Staples	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
551.3	Nigel Staples	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
551.7	Nigel Staples	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
554.1	Patrick Howard Castle	Approve the proposed THAB zoning on the western side of St Stephens Avenue from Bridgewater Road to Judge Street and on the south side of Judge Street in Parnell East, including 130 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 124A St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 114 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 10 Judge Street, 8 Judge Street, 6 Judge Street and 4 Judge Street, Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
554.1	Patrick Howard Castle	Approve the proposed THAB zoning on the western side of St Stephens Avenue from Bridgewater Road to Judge Street and on the south side of Judge Street in Parnell East, including 130 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 124A St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 114 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 10 Judge Street, 8 Judge Street, 6 Judge Street and 4 Judge Street, Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
554.1	Patrick Howard Castle	Approve the proposed THAB zoning on the western side of St Stephens Avenue from Bridgewater Road to Judge Street and on the south side of Judge Street in Parnell East, including 130 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 124A St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 114 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 10 Judge Street, 8 Judge Street, 6 Judge Street and 4 Judge Street, Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose in part
559.1	Peter Norwood	Reject proposed zoning for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lake Road, Regent Street, Ngataringa Road, Abbotsford Terrace, Aramoana Avenue, Vauxhall Road, Kawerau Avenue, Ariho Terrace, King Edward Parade, Church Street, Empire Road, Mozeley Avenue, Oxford Terrace, Merwood Lane, Victoria Road, Wesley Street, Tudor Street, Kerr Street, Cambria Road, Stanley Point Road, Glen Road, Russell Street and Albert Road, Devonport.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
559.2	Peter Norwood	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
559.2	Peter Norwood	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
559.2	Peter Norwood	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
560.1	Professor Christopher J Martin	[Inferred Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay]. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
571.1	Rupinderdhillon	Remove flood plains as a qualifying matter from 23 Patts Avenue, Glendene [inferred and generally] and enable housing intensification with a minimum floor level.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
571.1	Rupinderdhillon	Remove flood plains as a qualifying matter from 23 Patts Avenue, Glendene [inferred and generally] and enable housing intensification with a minimum floor level.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
572.1	Sabrina Joy Davies	[Inferred] Amend the plan to add more mature green spaces.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS39	Sabrina Joy Davies	Support
572.2	Sabrina Joy Davies	Retain Hillpark as a Special Character Area. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS39	Sabrina Joy Davies	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
572.2	Sabrina Joy Davies	Retain Hillpark as a Special Character Area. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Oppose
572.3	Sabrina Joy Davies	Undertake an assessment to address the need for further protections to the natural environment beyond the existing SEAs so as to not require overreliance on notable trees as a planning tool.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS39	Sabrina Joy Davies	Support
575.1	Shanna Frost	Retain the Hillpark Special Character Areas Overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
577.2	Tessa Wilson	Approve the plan change only if the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay are retained for Devonport. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
578.1	Tom Yang	Decline the plan change. Support the submission of Wayne Donnelly.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS172	John Winston Bell	support
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS141	Cockle Bay Residents	supports
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS351	Drive Holdings Limited	Support
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
580.1	Yuva Adhikary	Adopt a staged intensification strategy, firstly around city centre, at RTN's and metropolitan centres and then staged intensification in the suburbs.	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
580.2	Yuva Adhikary	Include an Infrastructure stormwater constraints control as a QM where existing stormwater infrastructure at the site and downstream is not adequate to support proposed intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
581.1	Zita Thomas	Reject the 1200m City Centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
581.1	Zita Thomas	Reject the 1200m City Centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
581.2	Zita Thomas	Approve the use of qualifying matters for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
581.2	Zita Thomas	Approve the use of qualifying matters for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
581.2	Zita Thomas	Approve the use of qualifying matters for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
582.2	Brave Architects	Remove qualifying matter Flooding from 127 Hutchinson Avenue, New Lynn, as qualifying matter restricts the use of MDRS rules.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
583.1	Danute Leathem	Decline plan change: Intensification of Mt Eden and Auckland should not be done at expense of established and iconic housing and areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS228	JGUO Developments Limited	oppose
583.2	Danute Leathem	Decline plan change in relation to intensification of Mt Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
591.1	Diane Jelas	Approve plan change: provides for new housing.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
591.1	Diane Jelas	Approve plan change: provides for new housing.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
591.1	Diane Jelas	Approve plan change: provides for new housing.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
593.1	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Transport as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth, as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
593.2	Gail Lorraine Stratton	Apply Infrastructure as a QM to reduce impact of MDRS in Warkworth as applies to (operative) Single House zoned sites.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
594.2	Gilles Demaneuf	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
594.3	Gilles Demaneuf	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
594.7	Gilles Demaneuf	Reject the 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific change requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
595.1	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth needs infrastructure upgrades to cope with growth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS501	Falls Road LP	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
595.2	Gordon Loughnan	Decline the plan change: Warkworth does not have a transport hub commensurate with MDRS of PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS501	Falls Road LP	oppose
603.1	Kristin Edgeworth	Approve THAB zoning as notified in Saint Heliers.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.2	Kristin Edgeworth	Reject zoning of properties to new Medium Density Residential Standards and Mixed Housing Urban in Saint Heliers and Glendowie.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.3	Kristin Edgeworth	[Inferred] Reinstate the Special Character Areas Residential overlay within St Heliers (Parkside Street SCA Overlay Isthmus B Survey Area Number 55) including properties on Parkside Street, Fern Glen Road South, Fern Glen Road North, Long Drive and Saint Heliers Bay Road, Saint Heliers.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
603.4	Kristin Edgeworth	Adjust approach to assessing and scoring Special Character to use 4/6, not 5/6 as threshold score for assessing percentage of properties.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.5	Kristin Edgeworth	Adjust approach to assessing and scoring Special Character to use a lower percentage threshold for SCA (prefer 50% of properties instead of 66%).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.6	Kristin Edgeworth	[Inferred] Adjust approach to assessing and scoring Special Character by identifying groupings of 10 properties (or across road, around the block, etc) in rough clusters, not just next door.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.7	Kristin Edgeworth	[Inferred] Adjust approach to assessing and scoring Special Character to incorporate landscape values in property assessments	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.8	Kristin Edgeworth	Amend the plan to require discretionary activity consent for 4 or more dwellings on a site previously zoned Mixed Housing Suburban or Single House zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.9	Kristin Edgeworth	Amend the plan to provide stricter controls/ standards/ rules when multiple (more than 3) units are being built on sites, in terms of height, side and yard controls, height and landscaping requirements.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.10	Kristin Edgeworth	Apply the Coastal Hazard/Protection Area QM along the coastal fringe (setback from Queens Chain) along the Saint Heliers and Glendowie coastline [Refer to submission for map].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS479	Zhou & Li Trustees Limited	oppose
603.10	Kristin Edgeworth	Apply the Coastal Hazard/Protection Area QM along the coastal fringe (setback from Queens Chain) along the Saint Heliers and Glendowie coastline [Refer to submission for map].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.11	Kristin Edgeworth	Amend the plan to protect coastal areas of Saint Heliers and Glendowie from overdevelopment and from coastal inundation, erosion and land stability as required by the NZ Coastal Policy Statement 2020.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS479	Zhou & Li Trustees Limited	oppose
603.11	Kristin Edgeworth	Amend the plan to protect coastal areas of Saint Heliers and Glendowie from overdevelopment and from coastal inundation, erosion and land stability as required by the NZ Coastal Policy Statement 2020.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.12	Kristin Edgeworth	Undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment on all other properties (at least one-two sections back from the coast or Queens Chain delineation) on the coastal fringe of Saint Heliers and Glendowie. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS479	Zhou & Li Trustees Limited	oppose
603.12	Kristin Edgeworth	Undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment on all other properties (at least one-two sections back from the coast or Queens Chain delineation) on the coastal fringe of Saint Heliers and Glendowie. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
603.13	Kristin Edgeworth	Rezoning sites with immediate access to Glendowie Road in vicinity of Glendowie Boating (Sailing) Club to Low Density Residential, including 307A Riddell Road, 38A Glendowie Road, 38 Glendowie Road, 36 Glendowie Road, 34 Glendowie Road, 32 Glendowie Road, 30 Glendowie Road, 28 Glendowie Road, 28A Glendowie Road, 26 Glendowie Road, 24 Glendowie Road, 22 Glendowie Road, 20 Glendowie Road, 18 Glendowie Road, 16 Glendowie Road, 16A Glendowie Road, 14 Glendowie Road, 12 Glendowie Road, 12A Glendowie Road, 10 Glendowie Road, 8 Glendowie Road, 36 Glendowie Road, 261 Riddell Road and 259 Riddell Road, Glendowie. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS479	Zhou & Li Trustees Limited	oppose
603.13	Kristin Edgeworth	Rezoning sites with immediate access to Glendowie Road in vicinity of Glendowie Boating (Sailing) Club to Low Density Residential, including 307A Riddell Road, 38A Glendowie Road, 38 Glendowie Road, 36 Glendowie Road, 34 Glendowie Road, 32 Glendowie Road, 30 Glendowie Road, 28 Glendowie Road, 28A Glendowie Road, 26 Glendowie Road, 24 Glendowie Road, 22 Glendowie Road, 20 Glendowie Road, 18 Glendowie Road, 16 Glendowie Road, 16A Glendowie Road, 14 Glendowie Road, 12 Glendowie Road, 12A Glendowie Road, 10 Glendowie Road, 8 Glendowie Road, 36 Glendowie Road, 261 Riddell Road and 259 Riddell Road, Glendowie. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.14	Kristin Edgeworth	Reassess Vista Crescent properties (including 16 Vista Crescent and 18 Vista Crescent, Glendowie) as they are in the coastal inundation area, and undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.15	Kristin Edgeworth	Rezoning Vista Crescent properties (including 16 Vista Crescent and 18 Vista Crescent, Glendowie) in the coastal inundation area to Low Density Residential zone. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.16	Kristin Edgeworth	Undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment on the remaining area of Saint Heliers and Glendowie's coast. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.17	Kristin Edgeworth	Apply the Coastal Hazard/Protection Area QM to the Vista Crescent properties including 16 Vista Crescent, 18 Vista Crescent, 20 Vista Crescent, 22 Vista Crescent, 24 Vista Crescent and 26 Vista Crescent, Glendowie. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
603.18	Kristin Edgeworth	Rezoning the Vista Crescent properties including 16 Vista Crescent, 18 Vista Crescent, 20 Vista Crescent, 22 Vista Crescent, 24 Vista Crescent and 26 Vista Crescent, Glendowie to Low Density Residential. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
605.1	Julia Gatley	Approve Special Character Areas in Auckland's older suburbs, as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
605.2	Julia Gatley	[Inferred] Approve the use of the Special Character Areas Business overlay category for business areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
612.1	Kevin Pan	Remove Aircraft Noise Overlay D24 as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS307	Board of Airline Repr	oppose
612.1	Kevin Pan	Remove Aircraft Noise Overlay D24 as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
612.2	Kevin Pan	Remove the density limit in Aircraft Noise Overlay D24 for MANA (Moderate Aircraft Noise Area) where new dwellings in a residential zone cannot exceed a density of one dwelling per 400m ² .	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS307	Board of Airline Repr	oppose
612.2	Kevin Pan	Remove the density limit in Aircraft Noise Overlay D24 for MANA (Moderate Aircraft Noise Area) where new dwellings in a residential zone cannot exceed a density of one dwelling per 400m ² .	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
612.3	Kevin Pan	Apply the density exceptions in I412 Flat Bush Precinct Plan, (sub-precinct D and sub-precinct E with a maximum allowable density of 150m ² and 180m ² per dwelling) to 219 Portage Road, Papatoetoe and the area nearby.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS307	Board of Airline Repr	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
612.3	Kevin Pan	Apply the density exceptions in I412 Flat Bush Precinct Plan, (sub-precinct D and sub-precinct E with a maximum allowable density of 150m ² and 180m ² per dwelling) to 219 Portage Road, Papatoetoe and the area nearby.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
615.1	Lin Song	Remove volcanic viewshaft height restrictions.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
615.1	Lin Song	Remove volcanic viewshaft height restrictions.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
615.1	Lin Song	Remove volcanic viewshaft height restrictions.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
615.1	Lin Song	Remove volcanic viewshaft height restrictions.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
616.1	Jochen Speer	Reject the planned intensification for Mt Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
617.1	Jennifer Sutton	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
617.1	Jennifer Sutton	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
617.1	Jennifer Sutton	Approve the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
619.1	John Weston	Amend the plan so that zoning changes are based on a study of existing infrastructure and local amenities, such as drainage, offsite parking, and availability of schooling, public transport and hospitals in the immediate vicinity.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
619.2	John Weston	Exclude Glendowie as a whole from any further zoning changes.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
619.3	John Weston	Amend the plan to direct future intensive development to be only along main [inferred] arterial routes and using existing brownfield and commercial areas.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
625.2	Andre Joseph Babich	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
625.3	Andre Joseph Babich	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
625.8	Andre Joseph Babich	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
626.1	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Add the Balmoral East Character and Heritage Area as a Character and Heritage area in the Proposed Plan Change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS130	Misa Properties Partnership	Oppose
629.1	Ally Billaney	Retain the Hillpark special character area as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
631.1	Chantel Clayton	Retain the Grey Lynn special character area as notified in the proposed plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
631.1	Chantel Clayton	Retain the Grey Lynn special character area as notified in the proposed plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
631.2	Chantel Clayton	Reduce the City Centre walkable catchment extent from 1200m to a 500-800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
631.2	Chantel Clayton	Reduce the City Centre walkable catchment extent from 1200m to a 500-800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
631.2	Chantel Clayton	Reduce the City Centre walkable catchment extent from 1200m to a 500-800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS364	Citadel Capital Limited	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS369	Fortland Capital Limited	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
636.1	Glenbrook Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	Approve the plan change without any amendments. Particularly the exclusion of small settlements of less than 5000 people from MDRS and THAB zoning.	Outside Urban Environment	Outside Urban Environment - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
648.1	Chimene Del La Varis	Apply a green corridor around special ecological areas to soften the impact of intensification.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	oppose
649.3	Annabelle White	Retain the Water and Wastewater Constraints control qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	oppose
651.1	Clem Wilson	Increase the area covered by the Special Character Area Overlay to include more residential villas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
651.2	Clem Wilson	Increase density in areas not covered by Special Character Area Overlay.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
651.2	Clem Wilson	Increase density in areas not covered by Special Character Area Overlay.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
652.1	Brian Wood	Retain the Special Character Area for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
653.2	Rachel and Anil Sharma	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
653.3	Rachel and Anil Sharma	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
653.7	Rachel and Anil Sharma	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
654.2	Alan John Clark et al	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
654.3	Alan John Clark et al	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
654.7	Alan John Clark et al	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
656.2	Deborah Lynnette Simpson	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
656.3	Deborah Lynnette Simpson	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
656.7	Deborah Lynnette Simpson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
656.7	Deborah Lynnette Simpson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
657.2	Fraser Gracechurch Development Limited	Review all flood plain areas located identified within Plan Change 78 , and any areas that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone due to the flood plain overlay should be rezoned to Mixed Housing Urban as a minimum.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
657.2	Fraser Gracechurch Development Limited	Review all flood plain areas located identified within Plan Change 78 , and any areas that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone due to the flood plain overlay should be rezoned to Mixed Housing Urban as a minimum.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
657.2	Fraser Gracechurch Development Limited	Review all flood plain areas located identified within Plan Change 78 , and any areas that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone due to the flood plain overlay should be rezoned to Mixed Housing Urban as a minimum.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
658.1	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
659.1	Pieter Lionel Holl	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
661.2	Mark Dowling	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
661.3	Mark Dowling	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
661.4	Mark Dowling	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay (excluding the existing THAB and Business Mixed Use).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
664.1	Hector John Cumming	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
664.2	Hector John Cumming	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
665.1	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Approve the proposed zoning of Low Density Residential Zone at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS286	William Peake	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
665.2	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay at 14 Bella Vista Road and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay and surrounding residential area, Herne Bay, including properties on Galatea Terrace, Marine Parade, Jervois Road, Bella Vista Road, Herne Bay Road, Annan Street and Wolseley Avenue, Herne Bay (identified as Herne Bay West Special Character Area).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
665.3	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zoning as notified on 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street,10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
665.4	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Support the removal of the Special Character Overlay - Residential as notified from 1 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 1 Waitemata Street], 3 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 3 Waitemata Street], 5 Harbour Street [referred to in submission as 5 Waitemata Street], 8 Waitemata Street, 10-12 Waitemata Street,14 Waitemata Street and 16 Waitemata Street, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
665.5	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay as notified, and retain the intensified development standards.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
665.6	Bosnyak Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban Zone on 60 Park Rise, Campbells Bay, and retain the intensified development standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
666.1	Colleen Brown	Retain the Hill Park Special Character Overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
666.1	Colleen Brown	Retain the Hill Park Special Character Overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS251	Diana Coleman	support
666.2	Colleen Brown	Protect more trees and groups of trees in Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
666.2	Colleen Brown	Protect more trees and groups of trees in Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS251	Diana Coleman	support
671.1	Perry Ivan Kostanich	Oppose the loss of Special Character in Freemans Bay and surrounding suburbs. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
671.2	Perry Ivan Kostanich	Reject the intensification of Freemans Bay and surrounding suburbs as it would be better achieved in areas close to rail lines such as Eden Terrace. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS448	Mark Catley	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
675.1	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add wastewater QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS501	Falls Road LP	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS448	Mark Catley	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
675.2	Warkworth Area Liason Group [WALG]	Add a Transport QM for Warkworth.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS501	Falls Road LP	oppose
678.2	Hamish Brett Dockery	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
678.3	Hamish Brett Dockery	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
678.7	Hamish Brett Dockery	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
688.1	Joy Harding	Retain the Special Character Overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
691.1	Richard Pamatatau	Approve plan change for intensification to prevent urban sprawl.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
691.1	Richard Pamatatau	Approve plan change for intensification to prevent urban sprawl.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
691.1	Richard Pamatatau	Approve plan change for intensification to prevent urban sprawl.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
692.1	Kristen Jane and John Douglas Parlane	Approve proposed zoning for Greenhithe area to Mixed Housing Urban to support sustainable management of resources and allow more houses to be built.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
692.1	Kristen Jane and John Douglas Parlane	Approve proposed zoning for Greenhithe area to Mixed Housing Urban to support sustainable management of resources and allow more houses to be built.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
692.1	Kristen Jane and John Douglas Parlane	Approve proposed zoning for Greenhithe area to Mixed Housing Urban to support sustainable management of resources and allow more houses to be built.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
693.1	Darren Rawnsley	Approve the zoning for Hillpark, as notified. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
694.3	Jeremy Adams	Delete all the provisions from within the MHU Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay, including Objective H5.2.9, Policy H5.3.15, Table H5.4.1(A2A), Table H5.4.1(A2B), 5.6.10(2), H5.7.1 Matters for Control and H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
694.3	Jeremy Adams	Delete all the provisions from within the MHU Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay, including Objective H5.2.9, Policy H5.3.15, Table H5.4.1(A2A), Table H5.4.1(A2B), 5.6.10(2), H5.7.1 Matters for Control and H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS187	Orewa Development	support
694.3	Jeremy Adams	Delete all the provisions from within the MHU Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay, including Objective H5.2.9, Policy H5.3.15, Table H5.4.1(A2A), Table H5.4.1(A2B), 5.6.10(2), H5.7.1 Matters for Control and H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
694.3	Jeremy Adams	Delete all the provisions from within the MHU Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay, including Objective H5.2.9, Policy H5.3.15, Table H5.4.1(A2A), Table H5.4.1(A2B), 5.6.10(2), H5.7.1 Matters for Control and H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
695.1	Heidi Ruth Baker	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
698.1	Deborah VL Cox	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
701.1	Christopher L Lynch	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
703.1	Rutherford Rede Limited	Retain Objective H13.2(10) and Policy H13.3(12A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS121	College Hill Investments Ltd.	Support
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS286	William Peake	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
703.2	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the City Centre walkable catchment includes the Business Mixed Use zoned sites on the north side of College Hill, Ponsonby.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
703.3	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the 21m height standard applicable to the City Centre walkable catchment.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
703.4	Rutherford Rede Limited	Approve the height in relation to boundary standards within the Business Mixed Use zone applicable to the walkable catchment, as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS121	College Hill Investments Ltd.	Support
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
703.5	Rutherford Rede Limited	Remove the Height Variation Control from the Business Mixed Use zone sites on the northern side of College Hill, Ponsonby including 107-109 College Hill, 101 College Hill, 99 College Hill, 95 College Hill, 93 College Hill, 91 College Hill, 89 College Hill, 87 College Hill, 83-85 College Hill, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
704.1	Debra Tunnicliffe	Reject Central Government's interference in Auckland City's future - overruling the very Aucklanders that live in the city.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
704.2	Debra Tunnicliffe	[Inferred] Retain Auckland's heritage.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
706.1	Tara-Lee Carden	Rezone sites along Island Bay Road, Beach Haven to Mixed Housing Urban zone, including 38 Island Bay Road, 40 Island Bay Road, 40A Island Bay Road, 44 Island Bay Road, 46 Island Bay Road, 52 Island Bay Road, 54 Island Bay Road, 56 Island Bay Road, 60 Island Bay Road, 62A Island Bay Road, 68 Island Bay Road, 72 Island Bay Road, 74 Island Bay Road, 76 Island Bay Road, 78 Island Bay Road, 80 Island Bay Road, 82 Island Bay Road and 84 Island Bay Road, Beach Haven. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
706.1	Tara-Lee Carden	Rezone sites along Island Bay Road, Beach Haven to Mixed Housing Urban zone, including 38 Island Bay Road, 40 Island Bay Road, 40A Island Bay Road, 44 Island Bay Road, 46 Island Bay Road, 52 Island Bay Road, 54 Island Bay Road, 56 Island Bay Road, 60 Island Bay Road, 62A Island Bay Road, 68 Island Bay Road, 72 Island Bay Road, 74 Island Bay Road, 76 Island Bay Road, 78 Island Bay Road, 80 Island Bay Road, 82 Island Bay Road and 84 Island Bay Road, Beach Haven. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
706.1	Tara-Lee Carden	Rezone sites along Island Bay Road, Beach Haven to Mixed Housing Urban zone, including 38 Island Bay Road, 40 Island Bay Road, 40A Island Bay Road, 44 Island Bay Road, 46 Island Bay Road, 52 Island Bay Road, 54 Island Bay Road, 56 Island Bay Road, 60 Island Bay Road, 62A Island Bay Road, 68 Island Bay Road, 72 Island Bay Road, 74 Island Bay Road, 76 Island Bay Road, 78 Island Bay Road, 80 Island Bay Road, 82 Island Bay Road and 84 Island Bay Road, Beach Haven. (Refer to submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
706.2	Tara-Lee Carden	Review all SEA areas identified within the plan change, and rezone any areas that have been down-zoned to Low Density Residential zoning due to the SEA overlay, based on the most appropriate zone reflective of accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
711.1	Jessica de Heij	Approve plan change provisions allowing for more intensification as this will decrease house prices, increase build quality and is more equitable, as outlined in submission.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
711.1	Jessica de Heij	Approve plan change provisions allowing for more intensification as this will decrease house prices, increase build quality and is more equitable, as outlined in submission.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
711.1	Jessica de Heij	Approve plan change provisions allowing for more intensification as this will decrease house prices, increase build quality and is more equitable, as outlined in submission.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
711.5	Jessica de Heij	Amend walkable catchments to greater areas, as people can walk further than 10 minutes. (Refer to submission for detail).	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS474	Alastair Porter	support
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
711.7	Jessica de Heij	Remove Special Character Areas as the compromise the delivery of housing. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
716.1	Kylie Kathleen Shaw	Retain the Special Character Overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
717.1	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Retain the protection for the Stockade Hill view.	Qualifying Matters Other	Stockade Hill Viewshaft (D20A)	FS289	Andries Popping	support
717.1	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Retain the protection for the Stockade Hill view.	Qualifying Matters Other	Stockade Hill Viewshaft (D20A)	FS446	Box Property Investments Ltd	oppose
717.1	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Retain the protection for the Stockade Hill view.	Qualifying Matters Other	Stockade Hill Viewshaft (D20A)	FS486	Diane Dorothy Maloney	support
717.2	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to include medium to long term economic and opportunity costs (or risks) in relation to infrastructure capacity and availability as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS289	Andries Popping	support
717.2	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to include medium to long term economic and opportunity costs (or risks) in relation to infrastructure capacity and availability as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS446	Box Property Investments Ltd	oppose
717.2	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to include medium to long term economic and opportunity costs (or risks) in relation to infrastructure capacity and availability as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS486	Diane Dorothy Maloney	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
717.3	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to include stormwater infrastructure as a qualifying matter. There is no provision for areas such as Cockle Bay (and other parts of Auckland) where stormwater is not fully accommodated within fixed infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS289	Andries Popping	support
717.3	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to include stormwater infrastructure as a qualifying matter. There is no provision for areas such as Cockle Bay (and other parts of Auckland) where stormwater is not fully accommodated within fixed infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS446	Box Property Investments Ltd	oppose
717.3	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to include stormwater infrastructure as a qualifying matter. There is no provision for areas such as Cockle Bay (and other parts of Auckland) where stormwater is not fully accommodated within fixed infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS486	Diane Dorothy Maloney	support
717.4	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend to include the NZ Coastal Policy Statement as a qualifying matter in this plan change rather than future plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS289	Andries Popping	support
717.4	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend to include the NZ Coastal Policy Statement as a qualifying matter in this plan change rather than future plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS446	Box Property Investments Ltd	oppose
717.4	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend to include the NZ Coastal Policy Statement as a qualifying matter in this plan change rather than future plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS486	Diane Dorothy Maloney	support
717.5	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to be more prescriptive within the new planning rules [and standards] on what requirements must be met.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS289	Andries Popping	support
717.5	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to be more prescriptive within the new planning rules [and standards] on what requirements must be met.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS446	Box Property Investments Ltd	oppose
717.5	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Amend plan change to be more prescriptive within the new planning rules [and standards] on what requirements must be met.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS486	Diane Dorothy Maloney	support
717.6	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Review criteria used to assess special character in the plan change so larger areas can be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS289	Andries Popping	support
717.6	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Review criteria used to assess special character in the plan change so larger areas can be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
717.6	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Review criteria used to assess special character in the plan change so larger areas can be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
717.6	Cockle Bay Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc.	Review criteria used to assess special character in the plan change so larger areas can be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS486	Diane Dorothy Maloney	support
721.1	Bruce Anthony Gulley	Retain Balmoral East Character and Heritage Area as a Character and Heritage area in the proposed plan change	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS130	Misa Properties Partnership	Oppose
733.1	Tim Allen	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
734.1	Helen Cherry	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
736.1	Elizabeth Bourke	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
737.1	Cheryll Linda Walmsley	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
738.1	Ritz van Hall	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
739.1	Babich Wines Limited	Rezone sites 8 Babich Road, 9 Babich Road, 10 Babich Road, 11 Babich Road, 12 Babich Road, 15D Babich Road, 19 Babich Road and 21 Babich Road, Henderson Valley, Babich Precinct to MHU [refer to page 2 of submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
739.1	Babich Wines Limited	Rezone sites 8 Babich Road, 9 Babich Road, 10 Babich Road, 11 Babich Road, 12 Babich Road, 15D Babich Road, 19 Babich Road and 21 Babich Road, Henderson Valley, Babich Precinct to MHU [refer to page 2 of submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
739.1	Babich Wines Limited	Rezone sites 8 Babich Road, 9 Babich Road, 10 Babich Road, 11 Babich Road, 12 Babich Road, 15D Babich Road, 19 Babich Road and 21 Babich Road, Henderson Valley, Babich Precinct to MHU [refer to page 2 of submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
739.1	Babich Wines Limited	Rezone sites 8 Babich Road, 9 Babich Road, 10 Babich Road, 11 Babich Road, 12 Babich Road, 15D Babich Road, 19 Babich Road and 21 Babich Road, Henderson Valley, Babich Precinct to MHU [refer to page 2 of submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
739.2	Babich Wines Limited	Amend I600.6.1 Maximum density to delete provisions relating to MHU zoned land in sub-precinct A and C.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
739.3	Babich Wines Limited	Amend I600.6.1 Yards to delete the 3m front yard setback and apply MDRS standard.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
739.4	Babich Wines Limited	Amend I600.6.1 Subdivision minimum site size to apply the E38.8.4.1. Vacant sites subdivision involving parent sites of 1 hectare or greater for MHU.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
740.1	HND TS Limited	Amend sub-precinct A to include a height overlay of up to 8 storeys (33m).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
740.1	HND TS Limited	Amend sub-precinct A to include a height overlay of up to 8 storeys (33m).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
740.1	HND TS Limited	Amend sub-precinct A to include a height overlay of up to 8 storeys (33m).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
741.1	Qi Fan	Rezoning 35 Laurie Avenue, 33 Laurie Avenue, 31 Laurie Avenue, 29 Laurie Avenue, 27 Laurie Avenue, 25 Laurie Avenue, 23 Laurie Avenue, 21 Laurie Avenue, 19 Laurie Avenue, 14 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street. 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 22 Ayr Street, 24B Ayr Street, 24A Ayr Street, 26 Ayr Street, 28 Ayr Street to THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
741.1	Qi Fan	Rezoning 35 Laurie Avenue, 33 Laurie Avenue, 31 Laurie Avenue, 29 Laurie Avenue, 27 Laurie Avenue, 25 Laurie Avenue, 23 Laurie Avenue, 21 Laurie Avenue, 19 Laurie Avenue, 14 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street. 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 22 Ayr Street, 24B Ayr Street, 24A Ayr Street, 26 Ayr Street, 28 Ayr Street to THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
741.1	Qi Fan	Rezoning 35 Laurie Avenue, 33 Laurie Avenue, 31 Laurie Avenue, 29 Laurie Avenue, 27 Laurie Avenue, 25 Laurie Avenue, 23 Laurie Avenue, 21 Laurie Avenue, 19 Laurie Avenue, 14 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street. 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 22 Ayr Street, 24B Ayr Street, 24A Ayr Street, 26 Ayr Street, 28 Ayr Street to THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
741.3	Qi Fan	Seeks Auckland Council review SEAs identified in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
741.3	Qi Fan	Seeks Auckland Council review SEAs identified in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
741.4	Qi Fan	Seeks Auckland Council review all flood plains identified in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
741.4	Qi Fan	Seeks Auckland Council review all flood plains identified in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
741.4	Qi Fan	Seeks Auckland Council review all flood plains identified in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
741.5	Qi Fan	Rezoning any areas that have been zoned LDR due to an SEA to a more appropriate zone reflective of accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
741.5	Qi Fan	Rezoning any areas that have been zoned LDR due to an SEA to a more appropriate zone reflective of accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
741.5	Qi Fan	Rezoning any areas that have been zoned LDR due to an SEA to a more appropriate zone reflective of accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
741.6	Qi Fan	Rezoning any areas that have been zoned LDR due to an flood plain to a more appropriate zone reflective of accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
743.1	Maurits Evert van Hall	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
744.1	Dawn MacLean	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
746.1	Bruce J Goldfinch	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
748.1	Marisa Cameron	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
748.1	Marisa Cameron	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
748.2	Marisa Cameron	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
748.2	Marisa Cameron	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
748.3	Marisa Cameron	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
748.3	Marisa Cameron	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
748.4	Marisa Cameron	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
748.4	Marisa Cameron	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
749.1	Pauline Donna Hillyer	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
750.1	Peter Bruce Clarke	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
750.1	Peter Bruce Clarke	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
750.2	Peter Bruce Clarke	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
750.2	Peter Bruce Clarke	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
750.3	Peter Bruce Clarke	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
750.3	Peter Bruce Clarke	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
750.4	Peter Bruce Clarke	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
750.4	Peter Bruce Clarke	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
752.1	Jan Davies	Rezone THAB zoned land [37 Grosvenor Street, 81 Williamson Avenue, 79 Williamson Avenue, 77 Williamson Avenue, 75 Williamson Avenue, 73 Williamson Avenue] across from 92 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn to be zoned for the Great North Road ridgeline.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
752.1	Jan Davies	Rezone THAB zoned land [37 Grosvenor Street, 81 Williamson Avenue, 79 Williamson Avenue, 77 Williamson Avenue, 75 Williamson Avenue, 73 Williamson Avenue] across from 92 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn to be zoned for the Great North Road ridgeline.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
753.1	Lynda Murphy	Reduce the number of Qualifying Matters to enable the purpose of the plan change [being intensification] to go ahead.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
754.1	Mark Ziegler	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay and the application of low density residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
755.2	Mount Albert Historical Society	Retain the Special Character Overlays in Mount Albert except on main roads.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
756.1	Simarpreet	No intensification, leave Hillpark as it is [no decision requested]. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
758.1	Andrew Cashmore	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
759.1	Pamela Gayle Ingram	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
760.1	Jules Averill	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
763.2	Liz Adams	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
763.3	Liz Adams	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
763.7	Liz Adams	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
766.2	Douglas Kenneth Stockwell	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
766.3	Douglas Kenneth Stockwell	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
766.7	Douglas Kenneth Stockwell	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
775.1	Chris Sherwood	Reject the THAB zoning of 13 Knox Road, Swanson (Redwood Park Golf Club).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS32	Chris Sherwood	Support
776.1	Colleen Anne Sherwood	Reject the THAB zoning of 13 Knox Road, Swanson (Redwood Park Golf Club).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS33	Kia Kaha Trust	Support
776.2	Colleen Anne Sherwood	Identify 13 Knox Road, Swanson (Redwood Park Golf Club) as an historic site. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS33	Kia Kaha Trust	Support
780.1	Reydon Place Residents Society Incorporated	Approve the plan change with respect to the city and metropolitan areas where there is established transport hubs, adequate infrastructure to support intensification [inferred City Centre Zone and Metropolitan Centre Zones].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS141	Cockle Bay Residents	supports
780.1	Reydon Place Residents Society Incorporated	Approve the plan change with respect to the city and metropolitan areas where there is established transport hubs, adequate infrastructure to support intensification [inferred City Centre Zone and Metropolitan Centre Zones].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
780.1	Reydon Place Residents Society Incorporated	Approve the plan change with respect to the city and metropolitan areas where there is established transport hubs, adequate infrastructure to support intensification [inferred City Centre Zone and Metropolitan Centre Zones].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
780.2	Reydon Place Residents Society Incorporated	Reject the city wide approach, seeks intensification/development to be targeted to locations currently identified for intensification within the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
780.6	Reydon Place Residents Society Incorporated	Reject large development or intensification adjacent to streams and not allow stormwater to runoff into the adjacent stream.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
781.1	Brand Qu	Enable 12m building height for waterfront property owners on Churchill Road, Murrays Bay.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
781.1	Brand Qu	Enable 12m building height for waterfront property owners on Churchill Road, Murrays Bay.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
781.1	Brand Qu	Enable 12m building height for waterfront property owners on Churchill Road, Murrays Bay.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
782.1	Tina Louise Lynch	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
784.1	James Thompson Hudson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
785.1	William Akel & Robyn Hughes	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
787.1	Paul Jurasovich	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
790.1	John Kenneth Diprose	Apply transport related qualifying matters to all properties on Herald Island.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
790.1	John Kenneth Diprose	Apply transport related qualifying matters to all properties on Herald Island.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
790.2	John Kenneth Diprose	Apply stormwater related qualifying matters to all properties on Herald Island.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
790.2	John Kenneth Diprose	Apply stormwater related qualifying matters to all properties on Herald Island.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
790.3	John Kenneth Diprose	Rezone all of Herald Island properties zoned Mixed Housing Urban to Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
790.3	John Kenneth Diprose	Rezone all of Herald Island properties zoned Mixed Housing Urban to Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS275	Charissa Snijders	support
791.1	Barrie Connor	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
792.2	Ida Dowling	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
792.4	Ida Dowling	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
793.1	Coralie van Camp	Reject the intensification law and government directives to intensify Auckland.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
793.2	Coralie van Camp	Reinstate the AUP operative SCAR overlay across Remuera including Garden Road [inferred to include 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 5 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 7 Garden Road, 9 Garden Road, 9 Garden Road, 11 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road, 15A Garden Road, 16 Garden Road, 17 Garden Road, 18 Garden Road, 19 Garden Road, 20 Garden Road and 22 Garden Road].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
797.1	Annemarie Hay	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
798.1	Yvonne Chan-Cashmore	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
801.1	Golden Bay Cement, a division of Fletcher Concrete & Infrastructure Ltd (GBC)	Add additional QM, in relation to reverse sensitivity for residential activities that adjoin industrial activities within the Business-City Centre Zone as detailed in Appendix B [page 9] and C [page 11] of the submission. [Appendix C maps the area as at least including 21-23 Quay Street and 7 Tinley Street]	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS383	Ports of Auckland Limited	support
801.1	Golden Bay Cement, a division of Fletcher Concrete & Infrastructure Ltd (GBC)	Add additional QM, in relation to reverse sensitivity for residential activities that adjoin industrial activities within the Business-City Centre Zone as detailed in Appendix B [page 9] and C [page 11] of the submission. [Appendix C maps the area as at least including 21-23 Quay Street and 7 Tinley Street]	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS443	The Fuel Companies	support
801.1	Golden Bay Cement, a division of Fletcher Concrete & Infrastructure Ltd (GBC)	Add additional QM, in relation to reverse sensitivity for residential activities that adjoin industrial activities within the Business-City Centre Zone as detailed in Appendix B [page 9] and C [page 11] of the submission. [Appendix C maps the area as at least including 21-23 Quay Street and 7 Tinley Street]	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS444	Z Energy Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
801.2	Golden Bay Cement, a division of Fletcher Concrete & Infrastructure Ltd (GBC)	Amend policy H8.3(25) in the Business-City Centre zone to read: Limit activities that would have reverse sensitivity effects on established and future marine and port activities, or existing lawfully established industrial activities within the Port Precinct.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS383	Ports of Auckland Lin	support
802.1	Maree van de Water	Add a Transportation related qualifying matter at Matija Place, Red Beach as appropriate for development to be limited and resource consent application take in account infrastructure related constraints. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.2	Maree van de Water	Rezone to Single House zone (SHZ) for Red Beach, Orewa, Silverdale and the Hibiscus Coast and in particular Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.3	Maree van de Water	Reject the Mixed Housing Urban zone for sites in Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.4	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that resource consent applications can be declined when there is insufficient servicing capacity, or servicing options are proposed that are suboptimal. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.5	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that the density of development is appropriate for the site, including having regard to development on adjacent sites (including character and amenity effects experienced on adjacent sites) and infrastructure capacity. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.6	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objective, policies, rules and criteria so that all residential developments must be required to have close regard to the interface with existing residential development on adjoining sites as detailed on page 5 and 6 of the submission. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.7	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that landscaping on-site is maximised, to improve amenity both on site and on those adjoining. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.8	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that applications, including those that do not provide a suitable interface with adjoining sites, can be notified and that affected parties can become involved in the resource consent process. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.9	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are identified for waste and recycling storage and on-site collection. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.10	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria to ensure that potential effects on adjacent residential sites are avoided, remedied or mitigated and the owners/ occupiers of adjoining sites can become involved in resource consent applications so that waste and recycling areas are screened from adjoining sites and in larger development with communal waste storage areas, that waste is managed to control odour. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.11	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are provided on-site for delivery vehicles, parking and visitors to remove or minimise the need for on- street parking. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.12	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that there is provision for on-going management of communal areas (including access, parking and landscaped areas) and services on sites, such as a body corporate or similar. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.13	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.14	Maree van de Water	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.15	Maree van de Water	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.15	Maree van de Water	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.16	Maree van de Water	Reword Objective E38.2(10)(d) to "Avoid subdivision that cannot be appropriately serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks".	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.16	Maree van de Water	Reword Objective E38.2(10)(d) to "Avoid subdivision that cannot be appropriately serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks".	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.17	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.18	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.18	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.19	Maree van de Water	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.19	Maree van de Water	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.19	Maree van de Water	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
802.20	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(31).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.20	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(31).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.21	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(32).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.21	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(32).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.22	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(33)(c).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.23	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(34).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.23	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(34).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.24	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(35).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.24	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy E38.3(35).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.25	Maree van de Water	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29A)-sites subject to Infrastructure stormwater disposals constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.25	Maree van de Water	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29A)-sites subject to Infrastructure stormwater disposals constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.26	Maree van de Water	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.26	Maree van de Water	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	oppose
802.27	Maree van de Water	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2A), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.27	Maree van de Water	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2A), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.28	Maree van de Water	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2B), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.28	Maree van de Water	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2B), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.29	Maree van de Water	Amend Standard E38.6.3 Services to refer to appropriate services or words to that effect.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.29	Maree van de Water	Amend Standard E38.6.3 Services to refer to appropriate services or words to that effect.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.30	Maree van de Water	Amend E38.8.2.8 (2) to read: “The technical report must demonstrate: a) That infrastructure and servicing can be achieved. b) The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; c) The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; d) The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; e) The potential effects of the proposed servicing; f) Proposed long term management of the system/s.”	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.30	Maree van de Water	Amend E38.8.2.8 (2) to read: “The technical report must demonstrate: a) That infrastructure and servicing can be achieved. b) The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; c) The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; d) The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; e) The potential effects of the proposed servicing; f) Proposed long term management of the system/s.”	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.31	Maree van de Water	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.31	Maree van de Water	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
802.32	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.11.1(2) Matters of Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.32	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.11.1(2) Matters of Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.33	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.11.2(2) Assessment Criteria - All controlled activities in Table E38.4.2...	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.33	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.11.2(2) Assessment Criteria - All controlled activities in Table E38.4.2...	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.34	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.1(10) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.34	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.1(10) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.35	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.1(11) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.35	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.1(11) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.36	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.2(10) Assessment criteria required in stormwater QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.36	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.2(10) Assessment criteria required in stormwater QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.37	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.37	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.37	Maree van de Water	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	oppose
802.38	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.38	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.39	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.39	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.39	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
802.40	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(11) to read: Land near the Business – Metropolitan Centre Zone and the Business – Town Centre Zone, high-density residential areas and close to the public transport network is efficiently used for higher density residential living and to provide urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to public transport, unless it is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.40	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(11) to read: Land near the Business – Metropolitan Centre Zone and the Business – Town Centre Zone, high-density residential areas and close to the public transport network is efficiently used for higher density residential living and to provide urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to public transport, unless it is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
802.41	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(3), in particular where it refers to high quality amenity in relation to adjacent sites and the street.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.42	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.42	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.43	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.43	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.44	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(7) to read: "Development that cannot be appropriately serviced for water supply, wastewater or stormwater is avoided."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.44	Maree van de Water	Amend Objective H5.2(7) to read: "Development that cannot be appropriately serviced for water supply, wastewater or stormwater is avoided."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.45	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.45	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.46	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.46	Maree van de Water	Approve Objective H5.2(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.47	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy 5.3(B1) wording "...except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.47	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy 5.3(B1) wording "...except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.48	Maree van de Water	Amend Policy H5.3(C1) to read: "Encourage Require development to achieve attractive and safe streets and public open spaces, including by providing for passive surveillance."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.49	Maree van de Water	Amend Policy H5.3(E1) to read: Provide for developments not meeting permitted activity status, while encouraging high-quality developments "Require developments to achieve a high-quality environment."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.50	Maree van de Water	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) to include: ...(j) Establishing landscaping (vegetation) to create quality on- site amenity and soften the interface between the site and adjoining residential sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.51	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.51	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.52	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.52	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.53	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.53	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.54	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.54	Maree van de Water	Approve Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.55	Maree van de Water	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14B) where one dwelling on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a permitted activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.56	Maree van de Water	Amend Table H5.4.1 to introduce "Standards to be complied with". The section should include reference to standards H5.6.3 - H5.6.21 and the new standard sought with respect to the wastewater and/or wastewater constraints control to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed, and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.56	Maree van de Water	Amend Table H5.4.1 to introduce "Standards to be complied with". The section should include reference to standards H5.6.3 - H5.6.21 and the new standard sought with respect to the wastewater and/or wastewater constraints control to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed, and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.57	Maree van de Water	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14C) where two or more dwellings on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a restricted discretionary activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.57	Maree van de Water	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14C) where two or more dwellings on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a restricted discretionary activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.58	Maree van de Water	Insert in Table H5.4.1 a new row (A14D) to reflect the QM applied to Matija Place, Red Beach: "Two or more dwellings per site in the Matija Place Transportation Qualifying Matter Control"- Restricted Discretionary Activity."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.59	Maree van de Water	Reject Notification H5.5(5) which precludes limited or full notification for a development of four or more dwellings, irrespective of meeting standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.59	Maree van de Water	Reject Notification H5.5(5) which precludes limited or full notification for a development of four or more dwellings, irrespective of meeting standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.60	Maree van de Water	Approve Notification H5.5(6) where development is subject to the normal tests for notification.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.60	Maree van de Water	Approve Notification H5.5(6) where development is subject to the normal tests for notification.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.61	Maree van de Water	Introduce specific Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control standard to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.61	Maree van de Water	Introduce specific Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control standard to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	oppose
802.62	Maree van de Water	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard and the removal of consideration of boundaries adjoining lower zones [inferred standard H5.6.5(2)(b)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.63	Maree van de Water	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard where the HIRB should apply from the site boundary, not right of way/access lot boundaries.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.64	Maree van de Water	Reject the minimum yard requirements in Table H5.6.8.1.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.65	Maree van de Water	Amend the "Yard" definition to reduce the length of eaves that can encroach into yard setbacks.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.66	Maree van de Water	Amend H5.11(5)-Landscaped Area to read: The minimum landscaped area must be at least 35 percent of the net site area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.67	Maree van de Water	Approve the H5.6.12-Outlook Space standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.68	Maree van de Water	Amend H5.6.14(4)-Communal Outdoor Living Space to read: (h) Is managed and maintained by a body corporate or similar legal entity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.69	Maree van de Water	Amend H5.6.21 Residential waste management to read: H5.6.21(6) A waste management and minimisation plan must be provided and implemented for 10 or more dwellings, and this must include details of how odour will be controlled.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.69	Maree van de Water	Amend H5.6.21 Residential waste management to read: H5.6.21(6) A waste management and minimisation plan must be provided and implemented for 10 or more dwellings, and this must include details of how odour will be controlled.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
802.70	Maree van de Water	Reject the deletion of the word "intensity" from Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2)(a)(i). Amend H5.8.1 Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2) for four or more dwellings per site: (a)(i): (i) building intensity, scale and location, including:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.71	Maree van de Water	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(A)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
802.72	Maree van de Water	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(B) to read: "the extent to which the height, roof form and design of buildings respond to the local streetscape, adjacent sites, ..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.73	Maree van de Water	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(ai) [inferred (ia)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.73	Maree van de Water	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(ai) [inferred (ia)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.74	Maree van de Water	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.74	Maree van de Water	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
802.75	Maree van de Water	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(d) to read: "the effects of the development on the safe access for pedestrians and other transportation users on the adjacent road network."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.76	Maree van de Water	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.77	Maree van de Water	Amend Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ab) by adding: (ix) Placing lower buildings adjacent to residential dwellings on adjoining sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.78	Maree van de Water	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ac).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.79	Maree van de Water	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ae).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.80	Maree van de Water	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(af).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.81	Maree van de Water	Insert new Assessment Criteria related to H.8.1(6) (More than one dwelling in Waste and Wastewater Constraints Control). Insert the following or words to same effect: I. The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; II. The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; III. The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; IV. The potential effects of the proposed servicing; V. Proposed long term management of the system/s.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
802.82	Maree van de Water	Approve the definition of "landscaped area."	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
803.1	Robert James Donaldson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
804.1	Garry Downs	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
805.1	Suzanne Downs	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
808.2	Lindsay Foster	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
808.3	Lindsay Foster	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
808.7	Lindsay Foster	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
809.1	Stephen E. Jancys	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
809.1	Stephen E. Jancys	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
809.2	Stephen E. Jancys	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
809.2	Stephen E. Jancys	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
809.3	Stephen E. Jancys	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
809.3	Stephen E. Jancys	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
809.4	Stephen E. Jancys	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
809.4	Stephen E. Jancys	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
810.1	Sally Louise Lawrence	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
810.2	Sally Louise Lawrence	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
810.3	Sally Louise Lawrence	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
810.4	Sally Louise Lawrence	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera. , for visual coherence and protection across the entire length of the street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
811.1	Michael Lowe	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
811.3	Michael Lowe	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
811.5	Michael Lowe	Increase front yard setback requirement (approx. 6m) along 'high traffic volume' arterial and collector roads to allow for front yard specimen trees.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
811.6	Michael Lowe	Have a minimum requirement for 1 front yard specimen tree per ground level dwelling frontage, with a minimum tree pit/ unobstructed root zone of min ~4m2.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.7	Michael Lowe	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.8	Michael Lowe	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.9	Michael Lowe	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.10	Michael Lowe	Amend the minimum outdoor living space rule to be scale in size based on the number of household bedrooms.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.11	Michael Lowe	Amend the threshold/minimum number of units required before a communal outdoor space is required to be significantly lower i.e. 12 dwellings (currently proposed at 20) and be calculated based on bedroom count not dwelling so as to reflect the likely household occupancy.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.12	Michael Lowe	Include more prescriptive measures about the design requirements for what constitutes good design in a 'communal outdoor space'.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.13	Michael Lowe	Amend communal outdoor space rule to not apply to developments within 100m of a neighbourhood park or reserve.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.14	Michael Lowe	Include a requirement for a minimum percentage of accessibility dwellings for developments over 12 dwellings. Accessibility could be defined as per Life mark 3 which enables a dwelling to be future proofed for wheel chair access.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.15	Michael Lowe	Include rules to require water tanks (with the minimum volume size based on the number of bedrooms per dwelling).	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
811.16	Michael Lowe	Include rules to require hydraulic neutrality.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.1	Iain McManus	Amend H10.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.2	Iain McManus	Amend H11.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS507	Arthur Murray	support
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.3	Iain McManus	Amend H12.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS507	Arthur Murray	support
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.4	Iain McManus	Amend H13.6.1 (Building Height) height limit from 21m to 22.5m, to enable 6 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.5	Iain McManus	Amend the definition of deep soil area to allow open slatted decking and pergolas within a deep soil area or a portion of a deep soil area so that landowners can have part of an outdoor living space within a deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.6	Iain McManus	Review Council's application of Section 77G(6) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.7	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 77I of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.8	Iain McManus	Review Councils application of Section 80E(1)(b)(iii) of the RMA throughout PC78 - whether this section allows Council to replace MDRS/NPS UD Policy 3 with less enabling standards for any other reason than presence of a QM. Where the panel concludes that Council does not have power described above then seek deletion of all such standards. Otherwise seek clarification of circumstances where standards can be imposed and delete or amend standards where Council has crossed the line.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 771 of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.9	Iain McManus	Reject Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under section 77I of the RMA and clause 3.32 of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.10	Iain McManus	Reject application of Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control as a qualifying matter under sections 32, 77J and 77L of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.11	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be rezoned for greater intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.12	Iain McManus	Amend the extent of the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control (if approved as a QM) to remove it from areas proposed to be zoned THAB.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.13	Iain McManus	Apply separate controls for water constraints and wastewater constraints if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS434	Ge Li	support
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.14	Iain McManus	Apply matters of discretion criteria that only require assessment of the network/ constraint that caused the control to be applied if the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control is approved as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.15	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(4) in its entirety. Objective H3A.2(1) covers similar ground in a more appropriate way.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.16	Iain McManus	Amend to explicitly link each objective in H3A.2(5) to (11) to the relevant qualifying matter to provide more certainty for applicants and council planners when assessing applications.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.17	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(13); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective (1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.18	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.2(7) in its entirety as it adds nothing to its related objective H3A.2(4).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.19	Iain McManus	Amend Policy H3A.3(10) to explicitly link it to the relevant QM - (10) Require development on sites containing scheduled sites or places of significance to mana whenua to be at a scale that is in keeping with the identified cultural values to avoid adverse effects on the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wahi tapu, and other taonga.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.20	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.3(18); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.20	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.3(18); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.20	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.3(18); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.20	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.3(18); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.20	Iain McManus	Delete objective H3A.3(18); or amend this objective to remove the conflict with the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height; or amend the zone description, objective H3A.2(1) and standard H3A.6.7 Building height to recognise and provide for development up to 3 storeys in appropriate locations (i.e. within those parts of the zone where a height limit of 2 storeys is not necessary to accommodate the relevant qualifying matter).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.21	Iain McManus	Delete rule H3A.5(4) in its entirety as it conflicts with H3A.5(3).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.22	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H3A.6.9.2 as follows: - 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams. Use of word is unclear.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.23	Iain McManus	Add a new standard - H3A.6.18 Residential waste management containing the same residential waste management standards as proposed for the MHU and THAB zones, minus the requirement for paved surfaces along the bin transport route (see the submitter's submissions on the MHU and THAB zones for the reason for that deletion).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.24	Iain McManus	Delete Policy H5.3(14) as it is unclear how it is to be applied.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.25	Iain McManus	Delete policy H5.5(6) as there is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.26	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.11(3) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.27	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.12(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.28	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.12(9)(d) as the alignment of all outlook spaces for a unit the principal private outdoor living space is difficult for most developments. Replace with a new standard H5.6.12(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.29	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H5.6.14(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.30	Iain McManus	Delete standard H5.6.19 in its entirety as it is unnecessarily and unreasonably onerous.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m ² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m ² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m ² with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m ² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m ² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m ² with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m ² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m ² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m ² with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m ² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m ² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m ² with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	<p>Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows:</p> <p>(1) Any sites greater than 200m² must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following:</p> <p>(a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions;</p> <p>(b) Except that sites 1,200m² or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that:</p> <p>(i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m² with 3m 2m dimensions; and</p> <p>(ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area;</p> <p>(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and</p> <p>(d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...</p>	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.31	Iain McManus	Amend H5.6.19 (if not deleted) as follows: (1) Any sites greater than 200m2 must provide a deep soil area that must comply with the following: (a) 10 per cent of the site area must be provided as 1 contiguous deep soil area with minimum 3m 2m dimensions; (b) Except that sites 1,200m2 or greater may have more than 1 deep soil area provided that: (i) each deep soil area is a minimum of 60m2 with 3m 2m dimensions; and (ii) the combined total deep soil area is a minimum 10 per cent of the site area; (c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.32	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.20(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.33	Iain McManus	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.34	Iain McManus	Approve standards H5.6.21(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.35	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.36	Iain McManus	Amend H5.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, form and appearance: (i) whether the intensity and scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.37	Iain McManus	Relocate Table H5.9(3) to standard H5.6.19 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.38	Iain McManus	Amend policies D18.3(7A) and D18.3(7B) as follows (or along similar lines): (7A) Enable the conversion of existing buildings to provide for up to two dwellings, or for up to two dwellings and one minor dwelling where the design of the building for the minor dwelling maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained. (7B) Enable the establishment of a minor dwelling where the design of the building maintains the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.39	Iain McManus	Approve rule D18.4(3) as proposed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary’s Bay Association	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the “Standards to be complied with” column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.40	Iain McManus	Amend activity D18.4(A1) to delete the standards listed in the "Standards to be complied with" column.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.41	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1A.2(3) as follows: (3) Where the minor dwelling is proposed as a standalone building on a front site, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.42	Iain McManus	Amend standard D18.6.1.2(1) as follows: (1) Buildings in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential must not project above a 45 60 degree recession plane measured from a point 3m 4m above the ground level along any boundary of the site.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.43	Iain McManus	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 to illustrate the freedom provided by standard D18.6.1.3(2), namely to show one of the rear sites with 3m yards to the long boundaries and 1.2m yards to the short boundaries (or some other arrangement that is different to the arrangement shown for the other rear site).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.44	Iain McManus	Amend Table D18.6.1.4.1 as follows: to contain only two qualifiers - Site area of up to 200m2 = 55 percent Building coverage of net site area Site area greater than 200m2 = 50 percent building coverage of net site area	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.45	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.5.1 to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.46	Iain McManus	Add consequential amendments to Table D18.6.1.6.1. to reflect the higher building coverage permitted on sites greater than 200m2 within the relief sought on standard D18.6.1.4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.47	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(B1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brearley	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.48	Iain McManus	Review the need to retain D18.8.1.1(AB1) as it appears to cover the same ground as D18.8.1.1(A1).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.49	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(c) with the following revised wording (or words to similar effect): (c) limiting visual dominance effects on adjoining sites, to the extent practicable given the height permitted in the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.50	Iain McManus	Amend policy H6.3(A4)(f) as follows: (f) minimising limiting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to....	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.51	Iain McManus	Delete policy H6.3(14) in its entirety. It is unclear how the policy will be applied.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.52	Iain McManus	Delete activities H6.4(A3B) and H6.4(A3C) and all related provisions. These appear to be a 'cut and paste' error. And it is not good planning practice to zone for intensification and then prevent this due to infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.53	Iain McManus	Delete rule H6.5(6) in its entirety. There is no sound resource management basis for the approach to notification under this clause.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.54	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(a) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes. In the event that the above relief is not granted, replace the proposed standard with one that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height as permitted for developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary’s Bay Association	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.55	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.5(1)(b) with a standard that permits “any other development” outside a walkable catchment to a height of 18m to enable 5 storeys with good urban design outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.56	Iain McManus	Amend and replace standard H6.6.6(1) with a standard that permits developments containing up to 3 dwellings to the same height in relation to boundary as developments of 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.57	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(2) as follows: (2) Standards H6.6.6(1), and H6.6.6(2), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) do does not apply to a boundary, or part of a boundary, adjoining any of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.58	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(3) as follows: (3) Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) do not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.59	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.6(4) as follows: (4) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way, the control in Standards H6.6.6(1), H6.6.6(1A), H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C) H6.6.6(2) and H6.6.6(3) applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site or pedestrian access way.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.60	Iain McManus	Amend the riparian yard requirement in Table H6.6.9.2 as follows: 10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.61	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.12(A1) and (A2) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.62	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standard H6.6.13(A1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.63	Iain McManus	In the event that the relief sought in the submission point 812.62 is rejected, amend standard H6.6.13(2)(a)ii to make it clear whether this clause requires the outlook space at all levels of a building greater than 3 storeys (other than the ground floor, as per the exception in clause iii) to have a minimum 8m depth or only the outlook space for levels 4 and above.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.64	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.13(9)(d) and replace it with a new standard H6.6.13(10) along the lines of the following: (10) The outlook space from a principal living room must align with the private outdoor living space for that dwelling.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.65	Iain McManus	Apply the MDRS in standards H6.6.15(A1) and (B1) to all developments irrespective of size.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.66	Iain McManus	Delete standard H6.6.20 in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose in part
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.67	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.20 (if not deleted) as follows: (1)(a) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(b)(i) - minimum dimension of 2m not 3m (1)(c) Deep soil areas must not be provided in private outdoor living spaces but can be provided in communal outdoor living spaces and landscaped areas as shown in Figure ... but no more than 50% of a private outdoor living space may be included in the calculation for a deep soil area; and (d) The deep soil area(s) must contain a canopy tree(s) that meets the minimum requirements as set out in Table H6.6.20.1 below; ...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.68	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.21(1) as follows (or to similar effect): (1) A minimum 1m buffer must be provided between a dwelling and private pedestrian and/or vehicle accessways to another dwelling as shown above in Figure...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.69	Iain McManus	Amend standard H6.6.22(1)(c) as follows: (c) The location of bins must: (i) be visually screened from within the site, from the street and/or adjacent sites. (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.70	Iain McManus	Approve standards H6.6.22(2), (4) and (5) as proposed.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.71	Iain McManus	Amend H6.8.1(1)(b)(i) as proposed in the plan change.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.72	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.73	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(2)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.74	Iain McManus	Delete H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.75	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(1)(b) as follows (Council's changes incorporated and my relief shown with strikethrough): (b) building scale, location, building form and appearance: (i) whether the scale of the activity, the building scale, location, form and appearance is of a high-quality and compatible with the character and residential amenity provided for within the zone and compatible with the surrounding residential area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.76	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(1)(f) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.77	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(aa) as follows: (aa) the extent to which the building location, scale, form and appearance is of a high quality and compatible with the planned urban built character and residential amenity of the surrounding residential area provided for within the zone. The reference to 'high quality' has the potential to create problems at the resource consent stage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.78	Iain McManus	Amend criterion H6.8.2(2)(ab)(v) as set out below: (ab) the extent to which buildings are designed to manage building length and bulk and visual dominance by: (v) using other building elements including materials, surface detailing, balconies, architectural detail and roof design to provide visual interest along building facades including blank side/party walls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.79	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(2)(m) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.80	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(3)(l) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
812.81	Iain McManus	Delete criterion H6.8.2(6)(g) in its entirety.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
812.82	Iain McManus	Amend and Relocate Table H6.9(3) to standard H6.6.20 and reduce the minimum mature tree heights and minimum mature tree canopy diameter for small, medium and large trees.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
813.1	Gaynor Steel	Approve the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS398	Citizens Against The	support/n
813.2	Gaynor Steel	Support the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
813.3	Gaynor Steel	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
813.7	Gaynor Steel	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
814.1	Albert Harison Waalkens	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
814.2	Albert Harison Waalkens	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
814.3	Albert Harison Waalkens	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
814.4	Albert Harison Waalkens	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
815.1	Keith Law	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
815.4	Keith Law	Delete the walkable catchment of 400m measured from Ponsonby Road Town Centre western edge and replace it with a 200m walkable catchment measured from the Three Lamps intersection.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
818.1	Diana Faith Coleman	Recognise Hillpark's ecological values as a Qualifying Matter (SEA coverage, notable trees, streams, importance as part of a wider ecological network and supporting wildlife). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
818.1	Diana Faith Coleman	Recognise Hillpark's ecological values as a Qualifying Matter (SEA coverage, notable trees, streams, importance as part of a wider ecological network and supporting wildlife). [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS509	Shanna Frost	support
818.2	Diana Faith Coleman	Recognise Hillpark's landscape visual significance as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
818.2	Diana Faith Coleman	Recognise Hillpark's landscape visual significance as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS509	Shanna Frost	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
818.3	Diana Faith Coleman	Retain the Hillpark Special Character Area Overlay as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
818.3	Diana Faith Coleman	Retain the Hillpark Special Character Area Overlay as a Qualifying Matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS509	Shanna Frost	support
821.2	Maureen Harris	Support the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
821.3	Maureen Harris	Amend the Special Character Overlay [inferred in Freemans Bay] to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Support
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
823.1	Jones Family Trust	Zoning around existing rapid transit stations in the Light Rail Corridor should not be excluded from the plan change where they already exist and form part of the transport network	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
823.2	Jones Family Trust	Add walkable catchments of 1200m/15 minutes' walk time around the existing Mt Eden train station and rezone residential areas within as THAB walkable catchment to enable 6 storey development at least [area within ALRC should be included in plan change].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
823.3	Jones Family Trust	Add walkable catchments of 1200m/15 minutes' walk time around the existing Morningside train station and rezone residential areas within as THAB walkable catchment to enable 6 storey development at least [area within ALRC should be included in plan change].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
823.4	Jones Family Trust	Amend provisions for the walkable catchment of Business - Local Centre Zones to enable development of at least 6 storeys as a permitted activity (RD for additional height).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS125	692D Limited	Support
823.4	Jones Family Trust	Amend provisions for the walkable catchment of Business - Local Centre Zones to enable development of at least 6 storeys as a permitted activity (RD for additional height).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
823.5	Jones Family Trust	Add walkable catchments of 1200m/15 minutes' walk time around the existing Kingsland train station and rezone residential areas within as THAB walkable catchment to enable 6 storey development at least [area within ALRC should be included in plan change].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
823.6	Jones Family Trust	Amend provisions for the walkable catchment of Business - Mixed Use Zones to enable development of at least 8 storeys as a permitted activity (RD for additional height).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
827.1	Jonathan Mitchell	Delete the Coastal Erosion QM from the property at 25 Clifton Rd, Hauraki and instead rely on the existing rules within Chapter E36 of the AUP to manage intensification on this site.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
827.1	Jonathan Mitchell	Delete the Coastal Erosion QM from the property at 25 Clifton Rd, Hauraki and instead rely on the existing rules within Chapter E36 of the AUP to manage intensification on this site.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
827.3	Jonathan Mitchell	Delete the Water/Wastewater Constraints Control QM from the property [25 Clifton Road, Hauraki] and enable the up-zoning required for relevant residential zones under section 77G of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
828.1	Jon Moses and Maryrose Morgan-Coakle	Reject the definition of walkable catchment of a 1200m distance to a rapid transit stop equating to a 15 minute walk. This is not achievable by older people or people with disabilities.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS474	Alastair Porter	support
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	support
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS474	Alastair Porter	support
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
830.1	Ockham Group Ltd	Ensure that all walkable catchment boundaries are ground truthed based on at least a 15 minutes' walk time and incorporate any amendments to walkable catchment boundaries based on these findings.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	support
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS424	Motu Design Limited	Support in part
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
830.2	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend the extents of THAB zone to apply more widely by way of integrating areas that interconnect with the walkable catchments [removing perceived zoning anomalies; Avondale (figure 2) and Greenlane-Ellerslie (figure 3) illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	support
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support in part
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
830.3	Ockham Group Ltd	Rezoning abutting land adjacent to primary bus routes and arterial roads as THAB, and within walkable catchments. [Blockhouse Bay (figure 4) and figure 5 illustrated as examples in submission].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
830.17	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend notification standards for H5.4.1 so that where exclusions from notification are already specified in the rules, that such exclusions incorporate proposals where other reasons for consent arise and have a restricted discretionary activity status. [for further detail and examples refer to page 8 and 9 of submission].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.17	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend notification standards for H5.4.1 so that where exclusions from notification are already specified in the rules, that such exclusions incorporate proposals where other reasons for consent arise and have a restricted discretionary activity status. [for further detail and examples refer to page 8 and 9 of submission].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
830.18	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend notification standards for H6.4.1 so that where exclusions from notification are already specified in the rules, that such exclusions incorporate proposals where other reasons for consent arise and have a restricted discretionary activity status. [for further detail and examples refer to page 8 and 9 of submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.18	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend notification standards for H6.4.1 so that where exclusions from notification are already specified in the rules, that such exclusions incorporate proposals where other reasons for consent arise and have a restricted discretionary activity status. [for further detail and examples refer to page 8 and 9 of submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
830.19	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete proposed provision H5.6.14(4)(a) -(g) requiring a ratio of outdoor communal living space in the MHU Zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.19	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete proposed provision H5.6.14(4)(a) -(g) requiring a ratio of outdoor communal living space in the MHU Zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
830.20	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete proposed provision H6.6.15(4)(a) -(g) requiring a ratio of outdoor communal living space in the THAB Zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.20	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete proposed provision H6.6.15(4)(a) -(g) requiring a ratio of outdoor communal living space in the THAB Zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	Support in part
830.21	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend standard H6.6.13 so that the proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, should be for a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) should be measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.21	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend standard H6.6.13 so that the proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, should be for a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) should be measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.22	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend outlook space standard in all residential zones [other than THAB zone subject to submission point 830.9] so that the depth of outlook (at whichever level it is applied) should be measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.22	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend outlook space standard in all residential zones [other than THAB zone subject to submission point 830.9] so that the depth of outlook (at whichever level it is applied) should be measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.23	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend standard H6.6.5 so that the building height standard within the THAB Zones in walkable catchments is varied by enabling the spatial application of increased building height (above 21m).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.23	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend standard H6.6.5 so that the building height standard within the THAB Zones in walkable catchments is varied by enabling the spatial application of increased building height (above 21m).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.24	Ockham Group Ltd	Apply relief sought in submission point 830.10 also be applied to the 'extended' walkable catchment areas as sought in submission point 830.1. [This relief sought can be achieved through a range of planning methods as detailed and proposed under the subheadings of 'Relief by Variation' (paragraphs 9.2.1 and 9.2.2) and 'Immediate Relief' (paragraphs 9.2.3, 9.2.4, 9.2.5, 9.2.6, 9.2.7 and 9.2.7.1) in the submission].	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.24	Ockham Group Ltd	Apply relief sought in submission point 830.10 also be applied to the 'extended' walkable catchment areas as sought in submission point 830.1. [This relief sought can be achieved through a range of planning methods as detailed and proposed under the subheadings of 'Relief by Variation' (paragraphs 9.2.1 and 9.2.2) and 'Immediate Relief' (paragraphs 9.2.3, 9.2.4, 9.2.5, 9.2.6, 9.2.7 and 9.2.7.1) in the submission].	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS424	Motu Design Limited	support
830.25	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree standard H5.6.19.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.25	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree standard H5.6.19.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
830.26	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree standard H6.6.20.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.26	Ockham Group Ltd	Delete Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree standard H6.6.20.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.27	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend H6.6.11(1) to reflect a maximum building coverage of 60 per cent of net site area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.27	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend H6.6.11(1) to reflect a maximum building coverage of 60 per cent of net site area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
830.28	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend Activity Table H6.4.1 so that a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) are provided for in the THAB Zone as permitted activities with subsequent changes to the activity table and standards to follow from that - e.g., Rule A15 being removed as the submitter's relief will provide for restaurants and cafes up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.28	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend Activity Table H6.4.1 so that a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) are provided for in the THAB Zone as permitted activities with subsequent changes to the activity table and standards to follow from that - e.g., Rule A15 being removed as the submitter's relief will provide for restaurants and cafes up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	Support
830.30	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend standard H6.6.6 figure H6.6.6.1B and the associated provisions to use a 25m 'setback' for the recession plane (HIRB) of 19m + 60°, and that H6.6.6.1C is amended accordingly	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS175	Kheng Kai Chew	support
830.30	Ockham Group Ltd	Amend standard H6.6.6 figure H6.6.6.1B and the associated provisions to use a 25m 'setback' for the recession plane (HIRB) of 19m + 60°, and that H6.6.6.1C is amended accordingly	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
833.1	Waterchild Ltd	Require currently zoned MHS and SHZ land which is rezoned as MHU and have no qualifying matters to be subject to current MHU AUP(OP) standards with immediate effect, with considerable weighting for 'MHU proposed' (PC780 density standards).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
833.1	Waterchild Ltd	Require currently zoned MHS and SHZ land which is rezoned as MHU and have no qualifying matters to be subject to current MHU AUP(OP) standards with immediate effect, with considerable weighting for 'MHU proposed' (PC780 density standards).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
833.1	Waterchild Ltd	Require currently zoned MHS and SHZ land which is rezoned as MHU and have no qualifying matters to be subject to current MHU AUP(OP) standards with immediate effect, with considerable weighting for 'MHU proposed' (PC780 density standards).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
834.1	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Rezone part of 100 Ascot Avenue, Greenlane from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Business - Mixed Use. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 6 for further details and mapped extent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	neutral
834.1	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Rezone part of 100 Ascot Avenue, Greenlane from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Business - Mixed Use. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 6 for further details and mapped extent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
834.1	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Rezone part of 100 Ascot Avenue, Greenlane from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Business - Mixed Use. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 6 for further details and mapped extent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
834.1	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Rezone part of 100 Ascot Avenue, Greenlane from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Business - Mixed Use. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 6 for further details and mapped extent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
834.2	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Rezone part of 100 Ascot Avenue, Greenlane from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to THAB. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 7 for further details and mapped extent].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	neutral
834.3	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Delete the Ellerslie 2 Precinct in full, or alternatively amend precinct to give effect to the NPS-UD including but not limited to increasing the height limit to 50m. [Refer to Schedule 1 pages 9 and 10 for further details and mapped extent].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I312 Ellerslie 2 Precinct	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	neutral
834.3	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Delete the Ellerslie 2 Precinct in full, or alternatively amend precinct to give effect to the NPS-UD including but not limited to increasing the height limit to 50m. [Refer to Schedule 1 pages 9 and 10 for further details and mapped extent].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I312 Ellerslie 2 Precinct	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
834.4	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Apply a 50 m Height Variation Control to Business - Mixed Use zoned land to Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated's landholdings at 100 [summary point 834.1], 110-120 and 130 Ascot Avenue, Greenlane. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 11 and 12 for further details and mapped extent of proposed boundary change].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	neutral
834.5	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated	Amend the Ellerslie Racecourse Precinct boundary to follow the cadastral boundary between 100 Ascot Avenue and 6 Peach Parade. [Refer to Schedule 1 page 8 for further details and mapped extent of proposed boundary change].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	neutral
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS59	Catherine Farmer	Oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS117	Nicholas Lau	Oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS118	Body Corporate 517681	Oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS227	Janet Margaret Charman	oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS330	Aktive	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
835.1	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the Avondale Town Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
835.2	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the walking catchment New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS59	Catherine Farmer	Oppose
835.2	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the walking catchment New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS117	Nicholas Lau	Oppose
835.2	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the walking catchment New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS118	Body Corporate 517681	Oppose
835.2	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the walking catchment New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS227	Janet Margaret Charman	oppose
835.2	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the walking catchment New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS330	Aktive	oppose
835.2	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Amend the walking catchment New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchment by consolidating and expanding the Avondale Town Centre and New Lynn Metropolitan Centre walkable catchments with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads. {refer to attachment 2, page 8 for mapped proposed extent}.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
835.3	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone all of the land located within the amended walkable catchment with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads, Avondale, that is currently zoned Residential -Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone. [refer to summary points 835.1 and 835.2 and attachment 2, page 8]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS59	Catherine Farmer	Oppose
835.3	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone all of the land located within the amended walkable catchment with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads, Avondale, that is currently zoned Residential -Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone. [refer to summary points 835.1 and 835.2 and attachment 2, page 8]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS117	Nicholas Lau	Oppose
835.3	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone all of the land located within the amended walkable catchment with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads, Avondale, that is currently zoned Residential -Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone. [refer to summary points 835.1 and 835.2 and attachment 2, page 8]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS118	Body Corporate 517681	Oppose
835.3	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone all of the land located within the amended walkable catchment with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads, Avondale, that is currently zoned Residential -Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone. [refer to summary points 835.1 and 835.2 and attachment 2, page 8]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS227	Janet Margaret Charman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
835.3	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone all of the land located within the amended walkable catchment with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads, Avondale, that is currently zoned Residential -Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone. [refer to summary points 835.1 and 835.2 and attachment 2, page 8]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS330	Aktive	oppose
835.3	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone all of the land located within the amended walkable catchment with a new northern boundary along Ash Street, and a new southern boundary along Wolverton and Blockhouse Bay Roads, Avondale, that is currently zoned Residential -Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone. [refer to summary points 835.1 and 835.2 and attachment 2, page 8]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS59	Catherine Farmer	Oppose
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS117	Nicholas Lau	Oppose
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS118	Body Corporate 517681	Oppose
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS227	Janet Margaret Charman	oppose
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS330	Aktive	oppose
835.4	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Apply to the Avondale Racecourse site a special height control of 32.5m [Height Variation Control], consistent with adjoining land located to the south of Racecourse Parade. [Refer to attachment 1, page 7 for mapped extent].	Height	Height response other zones	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS59	Catherine Farmer	Oppose
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS117	Nicholas Lau	Oppose
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS118	Body Corporate 517681	Oppose
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS227	Janet Margaret Charman	oppose
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS330	Aktive	oppose
835.5	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Rezone the entirety of the Avondale Racecourse site from SPZ and/or MUZ to THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
835.6	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Delete the Avondale Racecourse and Avondale 1 Precincts, or otherwise amend those Precincts to remove any constraints on developing the land and establishing activities otherwise enabled by the THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS59	Catherine Farmer	Oppose
835.6	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Delete the Avondale Racecourse and Avondale 1 Precincts, or otherwise amend those Precincts to remove any constraints on developing the land and establishing activities otherwise enabled by the THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS227	Janet Margaret Charman	oppose
835.6	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Delete the Avondale Racecourse and Avondale 1 Precincts, or otherwise amend those Precincts to remove any constraints on developing the land and establishing activities otherwise enabled by the THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS330	Aktive	oppose
835.6	Avondale Jockey Club Incorporated	Delete the Avondale Racecourse and Avondale 1 Precincts, or otherwise amend those Precincts to remove any constraints on developing the land and establishing activities otherwise enabled by the THAB zone. Requested irrespective of relief sought in submission points 835.1-835.5.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.1	North Eastern Investments Limited	See PC 71: NPS-UD Removal of Car Parking Minimums – Consequential Technical Amendments PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions) PC 81 (Additions to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule) PC 82 (Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule)	Other Plan Change	0	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS437	St Mary’s Bay Association	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.2	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] the “designation” recorded in the plan change Map Viewer on the land at 56 Fairview Avenue as a “Spatially Qualifying Matter”.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.3	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove [inferred] any designation being applied to any land parcel in the Auckland region through the plan change Map Viewer where there is no supporting information as to why the designation is being applied.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose in part
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.4	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain a walkable catchment of 1200 metres or more for the city centre (refer Attachment 4, page 70/105 of submission)	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.5	North Eastern Investments Limited	Retain the walkable catchment pertaining to the submitter's land - 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.6	North Eastern Investments Limited	Amend (inferred) any plan change text which is not in accordance with the mandatory directions of the National Planning Standards, with particular reference to 'height'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.7	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Residential or other zones.	Height	Residential Height - Technical Elements (storeys to height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.8	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove any aspect of 'height' (e.g. 21 metres) that does not enable at least six storeys of high-amenity, well-serviced, weathertight buildings in the Business or other zones.	Height	Business Height - Technical Elements (occupiable height, storeys to height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.9	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any added definition to the plan change text (in respect of height) for partial level, mezzanine, or similar term. Do not include any restriction on a partial level or mezzanine within a storey of a building.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.10	North Eastern Investments Limited	Remove (do not add) any qualifying matter to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany as an outcome of the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.11	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 56 Fairview Avenue or 129 Oteha Valley Road, Albany; delete all text changes in the plan change in relation to this; do not apply (erroneously) any flood plains, flood prone, flood sensitive mapping to this land.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.12	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Flood plains (i)' as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to any land in the Auckland Region through the plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.13	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete the 'Further information (i)' (inferred 'Flood plains (i)') as a 'spatially qualifying matter' (which is opposed) applying to 32, 41, 43, 45 and 52 Springvale Drive, Albany (on the eastern boundary of 56 Fairview Avenue).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.14	North Eastern Investments Limited	Delete all text amendments that are proposed (and which are opposed) for Chapter E36 Natural hazards and flooding of the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.15	North Eastern Investments Limited	Apply a new "Waikahikatea Precinct" (Attachment One of the submission), or variations of it, to 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road. It proposes to encapsulate the previous decisions of the Court including the Network Discharge Consent provisions which prevent increased flood levels in the relevant (affected) streams.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.16	North Eastern Investments Limited	Make amendments to the plan change to enable a supportive planning framework to enable intensive residential and mixed-use business development at 56 Fairview Avenue and 129 Oteha Valley Road, with additional height controls (and) with site-specific (Waikahikatea Precinct or similar) provisions for the treatment of the streams and riparian margins in a similar manner to the previous Environment Court Consent Orders and (from 2009-2016), inclusive of any consequential changes to the plan change (and PC71, PC79 and PC80) text to secure that outcome.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS286	William Peake	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
836.17	North Eastern Investments Limited	NEIL supports a duplicate submission in English of all Te Reo submissions being approved by the original submitter (as a correct submitter-approved English translation) prior to further notification. NEIL supports the original Te Reo submission and the English translation of the original Te Reo submission being numbered as the same submission for further submission notification. NEIL supports the provision of an English interpreter for all Te Reo at hearing	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
837.1	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	[Amend the plan change to ensure residential developments provide for:] wayfinding for different properties on a development are clear in day and night, developments give effect to the guidance provided in the Firefighting Operations Emergency Vehicle Access Guide, pedestrian accessways have a minimum width of: 3m on a straight accessway; 6.2m on a curved or cornered accessway; 4.5m space to position the ladder and perform operational tasks.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS384	Retirement Villages	oppose in
837.1	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	[Amend the plan change to ensure residential developments provide for:] wayfinding for different properties on a development are clear in day and night, developments give effect to the guidance provided in the Firefighting Operations Emergency Vehicle Access Guide, pedestrian accessways have a minimum width of: 3m on a straight accessway; 6.2m on a curved or cornered accessway; 4.5m space to position the ladder and perform operational tasks.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose in
837.3	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	[Retain] Objective E38.2(10)(e) and Policy E38.3(32) for the avoidance of subdivision in areas subject to transport infrastructure constraints that does not comply with minimum site sizes.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
837.18	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Activity table H5.4.1(A23) Emergency services adjoining an arterial road from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity. Exempt activity from standards related to setbacks and vehicle crossings [refer to full submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
837.18	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Activity table H5.4.1(A23) Emergency services adjoining an arterial road from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity. Exempt activity from standards related to setbacks and vehicle crossings [refer to full submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS476	Stride Property Limited	support
837.22	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend H5.8.2(1)(a)(i) Assessment criteria as follows: '(i) Whether there is adequate capacity in the existing stormwater and public reticulated water supply and wastewater network to service the proposed development, including for firefighting.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
837.22	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend H5.8.2(1)(a)(i) Assessment criteria as follows: '(i) Whether there is adequate capacity in the existing stormwater and public reticulated water supply and wastewater network to service the proposed development, including for firefighting.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS476	Stride Property Limited	support
837.24	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) to include an additional note as follows: 'Note: see SNZ 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice for information on alternative firefighting water supplies. Adequate water for firefighting is included in Te Ture ā-Rohe Whakaroto Wai me te Pae Kōtuitui Wai Para 2015 Water Supply and Wastewater Network Bylaw 2015. The bylaw provides for Watercare to refuse a connection to the water supply if the connection may detrimentally affect its ability to supply water at the volume and/or pressure needed for firefighting.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS260	Henla Limited	support
837.28	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Policy H6.3.(12) as follows: 'Require dwellings to be provided with access to safe and reliable drinking water, adequate water for firefighting, wastewater and stormwater disposal services'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS466	Margaret Larsen	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
837.28	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Policy H6.3.(12) as follows: 'Require dwellings to be provided with access to safe and reliable drinking water, adequate water for firefighting, wastewater and stormwater disposal services'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS467	Wayne Larsen	support
837.29	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Activity table H6.4.1(A24) Emergency services adjoining an arterial road from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity. Exempt activity from standards related to setbacks and vehicle crossings [refer to full submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS466	Margaret Larsen	support
837.29	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Activity table H6.4.1(A24) Emergency services adjoining an arterial road from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity. Exempt activity from standards related to setbacks and vehicle crossings [refer to full submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS467	Wayne Larsen	support
837.30	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Standard H6.6.9 Yards to include following: 'Advice note: Site layout requirements are further controlled by the Building Code. This includes the provision for firefighter access to buildings and egress from buildings. Plan users should refer to the applicable controls within the Building Code to ensure compliance can be achieved at the building consent stage. Issuance of a resource consent does not imply that waivers of Building Code requirements will be considered/granted.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS192	Heather Webster	oppose
837.30	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Standard H6.6.9 Yards to include following: 'Advice note: Site layout requirements are further controlled by the Building Code. This includes the provision for firefighter access to buildings and egress from buildings. Plan users should refer to the applicable controls within the Building Code to ensure compliance can be achieved at the building consent stage. Issuance of a resource consent does not imply that waivers of Building Code requirements will be considered/granted.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS211	Andrew Mark Robilliard	oppose
837.30	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Standard H6.6.9 Yards to include following: 'Advice note: Site layout requirements are further controlled by the Building Code. This includes the provision for firefighter access to buildings and egress from buildings. Plan users should refer to the applicable controls within the Building Code to ensure compliance can be achieved at the building consent stage. Issuance of a resource consent does not imply that waivers of Building Code requirements will be considered/granted.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS276	David John Stringer	oppose
837.30	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Standard H6.6.9 Yards to include following: 'Advice note: Site layout requirements are further controlled by the Building Code. This includes the provision for firefighter access to buildings and egress from buildings. Plan users should refer to the applicable controls within the Building Code to ensure compliance can be achieved at the building consent stage. Issuance of a resource consent does not imply that waivers of Building Code requirements will be considered/granted.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS519	Marco Lupp	oppose
837.36	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Assessment criteria H6.8.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: '(i) Whether there is adequate capacity in the existing stormwater and public reticulated water supply and wastewater network to service the proposed development, including adequate water for firefighting.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS427	St Heliers and Glendowie Residents Association	oppose
837.36	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Assessment criteria H6.8.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: '(i) Whether there is adequate capacity in the existing stormwater and public reticulated water supply and wastewater network to service the proposed development, including adequate water for firefighting.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS466	Margaret Larsen	support
837.36	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Assessment criteria H6.8.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: '(i) Whether there is adequate capacity in the existing stormwater and public reticulated water supply and wastewater network to service the proposed development, including adequate water for firefighting.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS467	Wayne Larsen	support
837.37	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Assessment criteria H6.8.2(1)(a)(ii) to include the additional note as follows: '(ii) Where adequate network capacity is not available, whether adequate mitigation is proposed. Note: see SNZ 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice for information on alternative firefighting water supplies.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS427	St Heliers and Glendowie Residents Association	oppose
837.41	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Retain Assessment criteria H6.8.2(21) including the Water Supplies Code of Practice being an assessment criterion for developments containing more than one dwelling per site in areas identified as being subject to the Infrastructure – Water Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
837.42	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Retain Standard H8.6.34 Through Site Links.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS427	St Heliers and Glendowie Residents Association	support
837.43	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Matters of discretion H8.8.1(6)(d) to include the following additional clause: '(d) the provision of effective and efficient emergency responder servicing'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS424	Motu Design Limited	oppose
837.45	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Delete the proposed qualifying matter in relation to Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control that is proposed for the site at 960 Whangaparaoa Road, Manly [see page 33 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
837.46	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Approve QM relating to transport constraints proposed for Beachlands.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Beachlands transport infrastructure constraint	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
838.1	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area east of St Stephens Avenue for 1-2 and 3 storey development. This is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS253	Bill Endean and Chris	oppose
838.1	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area east of St Stephens Avenue for 1-2 and 3 storey development. This is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.1	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area east of St Stephens Avenue for 1-2 and 3 storey development. This is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.1	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area east of St Stephens Avenue for 1-2 and 3 storey development. This is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
838.1	Parnell East Community Group	Rezoning the area east of St Stephens Avenue for 1-2 and 3 storey development. This is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.2	Parnell East Community Group	Review and amend the City Centre walkable catchment methodology as it applies to Parnell.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS253	Bill Endean and Chris	oppose
838.2	Parnell East Community Group	Review and amend the City Centre walkable catchment methodology as it applies to Parnell.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.2	Parnell East Community Group	Review and amend the City Centre walkable catchment methodology as it applies to Parnell.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.2	Parnell East Community Group	Review and amend the City Centre walkable catchment methodology as it applies to Parnell.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.2	Parnell East Community Group	Review and amend the City Centre walkable catchment methodology as it applies to Parnell.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.2	Parnell East Community Group	Review and amend the City Centre walkable catchment methodology as it applies to Parnell.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
838.3	Parnell East Community Group	Amend City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East.[inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS253	Bill Endean and Chris	oppose
838.3	Parnell East Community Group	Amend City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East.[inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.3	Parnell East Community Group	Amend City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East.[inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
838.3	Parnell East Community Group	Amend City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East.[inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.3	Parnell East Community Group	Amend City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East.[inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.3	Parnell East Community Group	Amend City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East.[inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
838.4	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended.[inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS253	Bill Endean and Chris	oppose
838.4	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended.[inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.4	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended.[inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.4	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended.[inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.4	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended.[inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.4	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended.[inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS115	Andrew Reed	Support
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.5	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Special Character Area overlay in Parnell East as identified in the plan change. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Support
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS115	Andrew Reed	Support
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
838.6	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East for properties subject to the Special Character Area overlay. Parnell East is the area of Parnell that includes the Rose Gardens and Dove Myer Robinson Park and is bordered: (a)to the west by Gladstone Road from Dove Myer Robinson Park to the intersection of St Stephens Avenue; and (b)to the south by Takutai Street. [inferred includes Gladstone Road, St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Crescent Road, Glanville Terrace, Awatea Road, Papahia Street, Waitoa Street, Logan Terrace, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
838.7	Parnell East Community Group	Apply the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.7	Parnell East Community Group	Apply the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.7	Parnell East Community Group	Apply the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.7	Parnell East Community Group	Apply the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.7	Parnell East Community Group	Apply the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
838.8	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East to Low Density Residential zoning.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.8	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East to Low Density Residential zoning.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
838.8	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East to Low Density Residential zoning.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.8	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East to Low Density Residential zoning.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.8	Parnell East Community Group	Rezone 104-112 and 118-130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street and the Queen Victoria School site in Parnell East to Low Density Residential zoning.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
838.9	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay for Queen Victoria School, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
838.9	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay for Queen Victoria School, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
838.9	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay for Queen Victoria School, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support in part
838.9	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay for Queen Victoria School, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
838.9	Parnell East Community Group	Retain the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay for Queen Victoria School, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	neutral
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.1	Russell Property Group	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.2	Russell Property Group	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brearley	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS398	Citizens Against The	neutral
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.3	Russell Property Group	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS398	Citizens Against The	support in
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.4	Russell Property Group	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.5	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.6	Russell Property Group	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.7	Russell Property Group	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.8	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.9	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 13 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.10	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.11	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.12	Russell Property Group	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.13	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to pages 14 and 15 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.14	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.15	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.16	Russell Property Group	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.17	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.18	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 18 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.19	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 18 and 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.20	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.21	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 19 and 20 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.22	Russell Property Group	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.23	Russell Property Group	Retain mapped extent of MHS Zone	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.24	Russell Property Group	Retain the provisions of the MHS zone	Mixed Housing Suburban Zone provisions	H4 MHS Zone Provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.25	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.26	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brearley	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.27	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.28	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.29	Russell Property Group	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.30	Russell Property Group	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 23-26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.31	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 26 and 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.32	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 27 - 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.33	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.34	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.35	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.36	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.37	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.38	Russell Property Group	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.39	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.40	Russell Property Group	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.41	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 32 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.42	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.43	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 33 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.44	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.45	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.46	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.47	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.48	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.49	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.50	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.51	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed additions to matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.52	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.53	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.54	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.55	Russell Property Group	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.56	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.57	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.58	Russell Property Group	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.59	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.60	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.61	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.62	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.63	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.64	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.65	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.66	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.67	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.68	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.69	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.70	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.71	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.72	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.73	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.74	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.75	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.76	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.77	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.78	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.79	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.80	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.81	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.82	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.83	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.84	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.85	Russell Property Group	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.86	Russell Property Group	[Amend plan] so any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.87	Russell Property Group	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.88	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 Objectives.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.89	Russell Property Group	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 Policies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.90	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.91	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.92	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.93	Russell Property Group	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.94	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.95	Russell Property Group	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.96	Russell Property Group	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.97	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.98	Russell Property Group	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.99	Russell Property Group	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.100	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.101	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.102	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.103	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.104	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.105	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.106	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.107	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.108	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.109	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment Criteria for Controlled Activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.110	Russell Property Group	Reject Matters of Discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.111	Russell Property Group	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.112	Russell Property Group	Delete Assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.113	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)(a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.114	Russell Property Group	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.115	Russell Property Group	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.116	Russell Property Group	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.117	Russell Property Group	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 Matters of discretion [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.118	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment Criteria [refer to submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.119	Russell Property Group	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.120	Russell Property Group	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.121	Russell Property Group	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.122	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.123	Russell Property Group	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.124	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.125	Russell Property Group	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.126	Russell Property Group	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.127	Russell Property Group	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.128	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.129	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Service area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.130	Russell Property Group	Delete the proposed definition for 'Urban Heat Island'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.131	Russell Property Group	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brearley	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.132	Russell Property Group	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS441	Radio New Zealand	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.133	Russell Property Group	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.134	Russell Property Group	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.135	Russell Property Group	Rezone 150 - 170 Marua Road, Mount Wellington to THAB.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS187	Orewa Development	support
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brearley	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
839.136	Russell Property Group	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.1	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Apply a standard walkable catchment length of 2,000 metres applies across all areas of Auckland rather than three different walkable catchments with three different distances.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.2	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Add provisions in the Unitary Plan for future developments in the city centre that provide for Resource Consent conditions to include public benefits within, and beyond, the envelope of a particular building and that process/methodology be used for negotiation with developers in terms of the type and extent of a particular development.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.3	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.4	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support unlimited height limits in the city centre but recommend that specific changes are incorporated into the Unitary Plan so as to facilitate Auckland Council having the decision making authority as to the height, dimensions and form of different buildings, in different locations, spread across the city centre space, and including public benefits/space, sustainability and climate change initiatives together with traffic/transport considerations as considered appropriate by Council on a site by site basis.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.5	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Amend phrase 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall buildings are of a form that fits the context of our city' with 'Changes to current standards (and some new standards) to ensure that tall building are of a form that contributes to a well-functioning urban environment as determined on a site by site basis'.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.6	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support removal of FAR bonus standards from as all sites will have greater height and/or development capacity so transfer of floor space is no longer needed.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.7	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Note podium developments, narrowing as the height increases, which ensures maximum sunlight to all residential homes in the city centre. However, it needs to be Auckland Council who makes these decisions on a site by site basis given that Placemaking is the key means of achieving the Purposes of the Local Government Act 2002.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.8	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum dwelling size [H8.6.33] to ensure dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose in part
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.9	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision for minimum floor to floor height [H8.6.27] to ensure that dwellings are of an acceptable size for residents.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.10	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Support provision of sunlight in outlook controls as Council has advised it intends to enforce for areas surrounding the city centre. City Centre residents expect their council, and governments, to ensure that the same rights apply to every residential area across all of Auckland and that Council will ensure this is respected through adherence to, and application of, Policy 1 of the NPSUD.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.11	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the maximum tower dimensions, setbacks from street and tower separation distances being maintained.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.12	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Approve the continued management of City Centre precincts with area specific controls including height and development capacity.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.13	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Insert maximum MDRS and mixed use developments in all of the surrounding suburbs of the city centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	support
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.14	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	For the purposes of giving effect to the NPSUD Objectives, do not make Special Character Areas a Qualifying Matter under clause 3.32 or 3.33 of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.15	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Reject reliance on Section 3.32 (h) as a means of preventing developments in SCA's. Consider this in breach of both the objectives and intention of the NPSUD.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Support
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
840.16	Auckland City Centre Residents Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail corridor into their current NPSUD so that decisions related to progress on the ALR corridor are supported by appropriate area maps showing intensification, zoning, walkable catchments and the required level of intensification around city, metropolitan and local centres.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.1	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In terms of enabling intensification outside of these arbitrary limits [regarding application of the 800m walkable catchment], it is logical to ensure that the land on the periphery/edge is able to be up zoned if this is the most appropriate outcome, as opposed to further urban sprawl. On this basis, the catchment for the Highland Park metropolitan centre for Upzoning around Local Centre and Town Centres in line with NPS-UD Policy 3d should be extended to include Pakuranga Park Village and other above-mentioned properties located along Pakuranga Road, including Business Mixed Use Zone land [refer to pages 7-8 of the submission for further details, and includes properties at 189 Pigeon Mountain Road Half Moon Bay, 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road, 332 Pakuranga Road, 334S Pakuranga Road, 350 Pakuranga Road, 470 Pakuranga Road, 472 Pakuranga Road, 474 Pakuranga Road and 490 Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	neutral
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.2	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS-UD and RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.3	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS-UD or RM Enabling Act [refer to page 11 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS398	Citizens Against The	neutral
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.4	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS398	Citizens Against The	support in
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.5	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 12 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.6	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.7	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.8	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.9	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.10	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.11	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional objectives inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.12	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete additional policies inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 15 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.13	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 17 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.14	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) Notification [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.15	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.16	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.17	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.18	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.19	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.20	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.21	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.22	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.23	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.24	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.25	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.26	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.27	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.28	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.29	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.30	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.31	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.32	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Matters of Control H5.7.1 and Assessment Criterion H5.7.2(1) relating to one dwelling per site in a SEA [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.33	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Matters of discretion H5.8.1(1) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to page 29 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.34	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from Matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and Assessment criteria H5.8.1(2)(a)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.35	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.36	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from the Assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.37	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from Assessment criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.38	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H5.8.1(1)(c), H5.8.1(2)(c) and H5.8.1(3)(d) and assessment criteria at H5.8.2(1)(c), H5.8.2(2)(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.39	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2) [refer to submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.40	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Developments [refer to page 37 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.41	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Matters of discretion at H5.8.1 for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.42	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) for building height [refer to page 41 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.43	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.44	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.45	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.46	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 45 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.47	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscape area [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.48	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.49	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.50	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.51	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.52	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.53	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.54	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil and canopy [tree] [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.55	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.56	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.57	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearney	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.58	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.59	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements H5.9 [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.60	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.61	Villages of New Zealand Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.62	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description as notified [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.63	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Objectives at H6.2 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.64	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Policies at H6.3 [refer to page 53 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.65	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete changes proposed to Activity table H6.4.1 regarding standards to be complied with [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.66	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] at H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) where located in a SEA [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.67	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 56 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.68	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.69	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4B for Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.70	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete [H6.6.4C for Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control, refer to page 57 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.71	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments [that is, amend H6.6.5 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.72	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.73	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.74	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.75	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.76	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 59 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.77	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.78	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 60 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.79	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 61 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.80	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 62 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.81	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.82	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.83	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 63 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.84	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of control H6.7.1 [refer to page 65 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.85	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Assessment criteria for controlled activities H6.7.2 [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.86	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.87	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 66 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.88	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad) for [assessment of the extent to which buildings adjoining or across the street from an identified special character area or a scheduled historic heritage place].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.89	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brearley	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.90	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.91	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.92	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.93	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 67 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.94	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 [refer to page 70 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brer	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.95	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Special information requirements H6.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.96	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.97	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Zone description H13.1 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.98	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Objectives H13.2 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.99	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Objectives H13.2 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.100	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to Policies H13.3 remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 79 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.101	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Policies H13.3 to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.102	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed changes to purpose of Standard H13.6.1 to remove reference to qualifying matters [refer to page 80 of the submission for further details].	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.103	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend Standard H13.6.1(1) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.104	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.105	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Service Area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.106	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete proposed Urban Heat Island definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.107	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.108	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 14 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearon	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.109	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.110	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.110	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.110	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.110	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.110	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs [refer to page 52 of the submission for further details].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.111	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the broad application of the MHU zone [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.112	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.113	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to page 4 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmondson	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.114	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.115	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Delete the LDR Zone in its entirety [refer to page 5 of the submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.116	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Rezone 37A Stanniland Street and 320 Pakuranga Road, 330 Pakuranga Road and 334S Pakuranga Road, Pakuranga to THAB [refer to page 7 of the submission for a diagram].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
841.117	Villages of New Zealand Limited	In our view, the Council has taken an arbitrary approach to the application of the 800m walkable catchment. A more appropriate zone boundary would be to follow a road boundary, rather than cadastral boundaries between sites [refer to page 8 of the submission for further details].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
841.118	Villages of New Zealand Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone [refer to page 57 of the submission for further details].	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose